

TENNESSEE CITIZENS FOR WILDERNESS PLANNING

*Newsletter No. 51, October 28, 1972

* Editor: Lee Russell, 130 Tabor Road, Oak Ridge, TN 37830, Phone 615, 482-2153

The major portion of this NEWSLETTER is devoted to information on political candidates and to news of our annual meeting. Other news had to be greatly condensed.

- I. Annual Meeting, Dec. 12 p. 1
- II. Brief news capsules (Nixon vetoes our Big S. Fork bill; House passes strong stripmine bill; TCWP files stripmine suit against TVA; new TCWP chapter)
- III. Proposed amendment to bylaws p. 1
- IV. Nominess for TCWP offices p. 2
- V. Make the environment an issue in the coming election!
 - a. Candidate Information--Congressional races pp. 3-6
Who responded; ratings of incumbents. The questionnaire and the replies
 - b. Candidate Information--State Legislature pp. 7-10
Rate of return; TCWP scores for incumbents; the questionnaire and the replies

I. ANNUAL MEETING, Dec. 12

Time: Tuesday, Dec. 12, 8:00 p.m.

Place: Oak Ridge Civic Center, Library Auditorium (in NE corner of the library wing), Oak Ridge Turnpike

Program: (1) Short reports on year's effort and look into the future
(2) Election of 1973 officers, Board, and nominating committee (see item IV)
(3) Slide show on "New Zealand and her National Parks", by W. L. Russell, featuring 7 of the country's 10 Parks and an account of the achievements and problems of N.Z. conservationists.

Though only members will be permitted to vote, the meeting is open to the public. Bring friends and prospective members to hear about TCWP and to enjoy the program!

II. BRIEF NEWS CAPSULES

Nixon vetoes our Big S. Fork bill. The bill to establish the Big S. Fork River and Recreation Area passed both Houses early this month as part of the Rivers and Harbors bill, with language substantially as suggested by our B.S.F. Preservation Coalition. Nixon's veto came yesterday - a pocket veto, since Congress has adjourned and cannot override it. Sen. Cooper, whose skill and devotion brought the bill to success, will be in retirement in 1973.

House overwhelmingly passes strong stripmine bill. The House vote on Oct. 11 was 165:75 for the environmentalists-endorsed bill summarized in NL #50. Tennesseans FOR: Anderson, Baker, Evins, Fulton, Jones; AGAINST: Duncan, Quillen; NOT VOTING: Blanton, Kuykendall. Since the Senate leadership could not, in the short time remaining get agreement on limiting debate, it decided to postpone the item to the next session.

TCWP files suit against TVA on stripmining. TCWP was one of 6 environmental groups that filed a complaint in U.S. District Court in Knoxville on Oct. 25, seeking TVA compliance with NEPA (Nat'l Env'tal Policy Act) with regard to environmental impact statements on stripmine contracts.

New TCWP chapter formed. The Holston Valley Chapter of TCWP was formed in Kingsport this month and will serve the NE area of the state. If you know of prospective members, tell us.

III. PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO BYLAWS

In view of the fact that two TCWP chapters have already been formed and others may be formed later, the Board of Directors feels that guidelines must be formulated to meet the needs of these chapters and of the parent organization. The Board recommends the following amendment in the bylaws:

Under the heading "Committees", add a new item, No. 7, as follows: "7. Regional Committees, or chapters may be formed by members of TCWP with approval of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors shall formulate guidelines governing the relation of such regional committees or chapters to the parent organization. Major policy decisions on environmental issues shall be made by the Board of Directors."

According to the TCWP Constitution, "bylaws may be amended at the annual meeting by a majority vote of members present."

over

IV. NOMINEES FOR ELECTION

The nominating committee, consisting of Ruth Slusher (chairman) and Don Jared, presents the following slate for 1973.

PRESIDENT: William L. Russell, Oak Ridge, geneticist, Biology Division, ORNL. One of the founders of TCWP, Director, 1969, 1970. President, 1971, 1972. Winner, 1969 HOLIDAY "Award for a Beautiful America". Board of Advisors, TSRA, 1968, 1969, 1970. Active in formulation and passage of Tennessee Scenic Rivers Act of 1968. Believes in preserving as many as possible of America's remaining wilderness areas and free-flowing streams.

VICE PRESIDENT: Harold G. Smith, Oak Ridge, physicist, Solid State Div., ORNL. Was TCWP Treasurer 1968, Director 1969, Chairman, Big S. Fork Committee 1969-. Primary interests: Big S. Fork preservation; threats from highway programs.

SECRETARY: Helen Ward, Oak Ridge, zoologist, AEC Div. of Tech. Info. Was TCWP Secretary 1972. Interested in trails and hiking.

TREASURER: Charles Klabunde, Oak Ridge, physicist, Solid State Div., ORNL. TCWP Treasurer 1972; Outings Chmn., 1970, 1971. Interested in Smokies wilderness and trail systems.

DIRECTORS: (vote for 5 only)

Edward E. C. Glebach, Knoxville, ecologist, assoc. professor, Botany Dept. and Graduate Program in Ecology, U.T. TCWP Director, 1967, 1969, 1970, 1971, VP 1972. Advisor to U.T. Earth Day activities. He is interested in saving natural areas as preserves for recreational purposes and for scientific study, and feels a particular urgency in view of the rapidly increasing population pressures.

W. C. Countess, Oak Ridge, teacher, ORHS. TCWP Director 1972. Developed and taught Spaceship Earth class. One of 12 from U.S. chosen to participate in 1971 leadership training workshop sponsored by the Wilderness Society. Interested in Big S. Fork, stripmining problems, and, especially, environmental education.

Reid Gryder, Oak Ridge, computer programmer, UCNC. Past pres., ETWWC. Believes we should stop stripmining and dam building; we have used up more than our share of natural resources.

Donald W. Jared, Oak Ridge, biologist, ORNL. Involved with EACOR's tree-planting project, activities of ETWWC. Interested in preservation of rivers, stripmine legislation, and development of hiking trails.

Richard McNabb, Jr., Knoxville, Vice Pres. and comptroller of Fowler Bros. Graduate of Outward Bound program. Has participated in USFS hearings on Slickrock Creek wilderness, etc. Wants to work for wilderness preservation, especially for implementation of the 1964 Wilderness Act in the East.

Liane B. (Lee) Russell, Oak Ridge, geneticist, Biology Div., ORNL. TCWP founder, Vice President, 1966, President, 1967-70, director, 1971, 1972. Has written TCWP Newsletter, 1966 -- present. Winner of 1969 HOLIDAY "Award for a Beautiful America". Speaker at National Wilderness Conference, 1971. Feels that certain areas must be preserved free of the intrusion of man's works, and believes that this can be done by acquainting citizens, administrators, and legislators with properly researched information on issues. Wants to continue perfecting TCWP's effectiveness.

William F. Searle III, Knoxville, attorney. TCWP Director 1972. Member TCWP stripmine committee. Board Member, Greater Knoxville Audubon Soc.; past VP, Tenn. Ornith. Soc. Great interest in the Smokies, Little T, stripmine legislation.

Donald E. Todd, Wartburg, science teacher, Wartburg School. Co-chmn., TCWP Stripmine Comm. Pres., TTA 1971, 1972. Panel chmn. of National Trails Symp. Instrumental in securing passage of Tenn. Trails System Act, park status for new Frozenhead State Park. With his sons developed major portions of Cumberland Trail. Helped in bringing about control of stripmining on Obad. Director of weekly radio program, "Recreation Unlimited" WECO 9400, in which he develops his philosophy on natural areas. Is interested in conservation education and the development of a wilderness concept for parklands.

NOMINATING COMMITTEE: (vote for 3 only)

Roger Carlsmith, Oak Ridge, assoc. dir. of ORNL-NSF Environmental Project. Very seriously concerned in both private and professional life with the impact on the environment from energy production.

Miriam Guthrie, Oak Ridge, physicist, ORNL-NSF Environmental Project. Member, TCWP Stripmine Committee. Primary interests in stripmine legislation, wilderness preservation.

Richard E. Reed, Oak Ridge, metallurgical engineer, ORNL. Past Pres. and Sec. ETWWC. Greatest interest is in preserving our scenic rivers.

William H. Skelton, Knoxville, attorney. TCWP chapter coordinator. Member Conserv. Committee for distr. 2 of Sierra Club and organizing Knoxville group. Interested primarily in wilderness preservation (camping and canoeing are hobby interests).

Grimes G. Slaughter, Oak Ridge, physicist, Physics Div., ORNL. Has organized several TCWP outings. Interested in the preservation of wild rivers and development of trail systems.

Oct. 28, 1972

V.a. CANDIDATE INFORMATION--CONGRESSIONAL RACESA. Who responded; ratings of incumbents

One Senate and 8 House seats are at stake, making a total of 17 candidates (incumbent Duncan being unopposed). Replies to our questionnaire* were received from 8 of these, as follows. (League of Conservation Voters, LCV, rating; and Field & Stream voting record, FSvr, and scorecard, FSsc, are also listed).

Senate race: Baker wrote letter; Blanton did not reply. Blanton met with representatives of statewide and regional groups, Baker gave telephoned talk on Stockholm Conference to TEC in Nashville.

(Baker: LCV, 0; FSvr 0; FSsc 20-30)

Blanton: LCV, 8; FSvr 10; FSsc 20-30)

1st District: Quillen did not reply; Cantor completed questionnaire and wrote letter (Quillen: LCV, 0; FSvr 10; FSsc 20-30)

2nd District: Duncan did not reply (Duncan: LCV, 40; FSvr, 42; FSsc, 31-45)

3rd District: LaMar Baker completed questionnaire; Sompayrac did not reply (Baker: LCV, 0; FSvr, 0; FSsc 20-30). Sompayrac met for two hours with leaders of 3rd District conservation groups to discuss environmental issues. Baker was invited to meet at his convenience but arranged no such conference.

4th District: Evins wrote letter; Finney completed questionnaire (Evins: LCV, 15; FSvr, 30; FSsc, < 20)

5th District: Neither Fulton nor Adams replied (Fulton: LCV, 63; FSvr, 50; FSsc, 61-70)

6th District: Both Anderson and Beard returned the questionnaire (Anderson: LCV, 61; FSvr, 50; FSsc, 61-70)

7th District: Neither Jones nor Adkin replied (Jones: LCV, 4; FSvr, 20; FSsc, 20-30)

8th District: Neither Kuykendall nor Paterson replied (Kuykendall: LCV, 15; FSvr, 20; FSsc, 31-45)

B. The questionnaire (see Table on p.5 and footnotes for replies)

1. NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT. The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (a) attempts to insure that major federal action affecting the environment can be taken only after a rational decision-making process has occurred, and (b) makes room for citizen participation in this process. As a result of pressure from certain government agencies and from industry, various attempts have been made to emasculate NEPA through bills that would exempt some agencies or activities from obligation to comply.
 - a. Would you work actively to protect NEPA against any attempts to weaken its provisions?
 - b. Do you have any ideas for strengthening NEPA?
2. CITIZENS' ENVIRONMENTAL RIGHTS. The Environmental Protection bill (S. 1032 and its House companion) is among the unfinished business of this Congress. This legislation defines basic citizen environmental rights, confers the right of "standing" in federal courts on citizens seeking to prevent federal agencies from undertaking actions that impair environmental quality, and makes clear the rights of citizens to demand effective enforcement of environmental statutes.
 - a. Would you actively support a similar measure if introduced in the next Congress?
 - b. Would you actively support sections of specific environmental bills that seek to accomplish similar ends in certain more limited areas of legislation (e.g., pollution, stripmining)?
3. DAM PROPOSALS IN TENNESSEE
 - a. Do you support the state's opposition to the Tellico Dam?
 - b. Regarding the proposed Duck River dams, are you willing seriously to consider alternatives that would give most of the major benefits at a lower cost?

* Both the federal and state questionnaires were composed by Bill Countess and Lee Russell; distributed by Bill Countess; and tabulated by Lee Russell.

over

4. WILDERNESS PRESERVATION

- a. Do you believe that there are areas in the national forests of the eastern United States that should be designated under the terms of the Wilderness Act of 1964?
- b. Would you support the Jackson-Buckley (S. 3792)—Dow (H.R. 16044) bill, which would add certain national forest areas (Slickrock-Joyce Kilmer and Cohuttas) in Tennessee to the National Wilderness Preservation System?
- c. Do you support the "conservationists' proposal" for wilderness designation in the Great Smoky Mountains National Park?

5. CLEAR-CUTTING

- a. Have you ever visited any large clear-cut areas in national forests? Where?
- b. Would you support legislation that would cause the U.S. Forest Service to re-examine the practice of clearcutting and its effects on watershed protection and soil nutrients?

6. WATER RESOURCES

- a. Would you support legislation that would broaden the role of water-resource agencies to include non-structural measures of flood control (e.g. flood-plain zoning, flood proofing) in addition to the conventional ones (e.g., dams, channelization)?
- b. Would you favor a re-examination of the analytical methods used to evaluate water-resource projects (e.g., discount rates, calculation of secondary benefits)?

7. ENERGY AND THE ENVIRONMENT

- a. Should we expand federal funding for research on new energy sources, such as solar power, nuclear fusion, etc.?
- b. There have been predictions that our energy needs will double every 10 years. Do you believe that (even had we an infinite energy supply), our resources could withstand such demands?
- c. Would you support revision of the current electricity rate structure so that those who make the greatest peak demands on the system would pay relatively more per electrical unit consumed?
- d. Do you believe that, in order to meet a high proportion of our energy needs from the plentiful supply of deep-minable coal, we should take strong steps to ensure mine health and safety?

8. LAND-USE PLANNING. The current Congress passed a land-use bill in the Senate but none in the House. Land-use planning will again be an issue in the next session. Would you support federal legislation that would require the states to develop land-use plans according to strong federal guidelines?

9. HIGHWAY LEGISLATION. Present indications are that either no highway bill or a very incomplete one will be passed by the present Congress. In considering a highway bill in the next session.

- a. Would you support use of a portion of the highway funds for mass transit systems?
- b. Would you support measures that will accelerate the removal of billboards?
- c. Would you oppose measures that remove any portion of the highway system from the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act?

10. STRIPMINING. The House has just passed a good bill to regulate stripmining of coal, but there may not be time for action in the Senate. (Please answer (a) or (b) below, depending on what has happened by the time you receive this questionnaire.)
- a. Assuming the Congress adjourns without a stripmine bill, will you support a bill at least as strong as the present House bill in the next session?
 - b. Assuming the present House bill is passed, would you work for an amendment that outlaws stripmining on slopes steeper than 15°?

Question	Candidates						
	Bernard Cantor D, 1st Dist.	LaMar Baker R, 3rd Dist.	Joe L. Evins D, 4th Dist.	Billy Joe Finney R, 4th Dist.	Wm. R. Anderson D, 6th Dist.	Robin Beard R, 6th Dist.	Sen. Howard H. Baker, Jr. R, Senate
1a	*	0	0 ^g	*	*	*	*y
b	0	0	0	0	0	*n	*z
2a	*	-b	0	*	*k	?p	-aa
b	*	-	0	*	*	?p	0
3a	-	0	0	-	0	0	-bb
b	*	0	-h	*	-l	-q	?cc
4a	*	*	0 ^j	-	*	-r	*
b	*	*	0	*	*	*	?dd
c	*	0	0	*	*	0 ^s	-ee
5a	-	*	0	-	-m	-	-
b	*	*	0	*	*	0 ^t	*ff
6a	*	*	0	-	*	*	*gg
b	*	?	0	*	*	*	*
7a	*	*	0	*	*k	*	*
b	0	0	0	-	*	-u	?hh
c	*	0	0	*	*	0	?jj
d	*	-c	0	*	*	?v	?kk
8	*	-d	0	*	*	*	?ll
9a	*	0	0	-	-	*	*mm
b	-	-e	0	-	*	-	*nn
c	-	?	0	-	*	-	*
10a	0	*	0	0	*	?	?pp
b	0 ^a	-	0	*f	*	-w	0

Key to symbols: * = correct answer, in our opinion; - = incorrect answer, in our opinion; 0 = no answer.

- a. "don't know that 15° is the magic figure"
- b. "only if individuals 'standing' possess property rights (Note: such individuals have standing in any case, ed.)"
- c. "measures should be reasonable" (presumably, as opposed to "strong" -- ed.)
- d. Approve for "certain interstate areas of use" only
- e. Tenn. "has about solved problem"

- f. "I'm against stripmining"
- g. "I have voted in favor of...the Environmental Protection Act (probably means National Environmental Policy Act ... ed.)"
- h. Cites "great water needs" for municipal and industrial purposes; also dam endorsement from TVA and 4 counties.
- j. Mentions that he co-sponsored the "South Fork Wilderness Area" bill (actually, SF National River and Recreation

P.S.
over

Area -- ed.), and that he is "interested in the appropriation of funds for development."

- k. Has actively supported
- l. "Too late for such considerations"
- m. "Have, however, seen articles and photographs"
- n. Would consider amendment to formalize review procedures of the final impact statements
- p. Would support "limited class action"
- q. "Since work on the project has already begun, I expect the dams will be completed according to the present schedule" (Note: Mr. Beard has accused his opponent, Bill Anderson, of not giving strong support to the dams -- ed.)
- r. Wilderness Act "does not allow for coverage"
- s. "Would not support immediate closing of Rt. 441"
- t. "I believe this is presently taking place through administrative procedures
- u. "With nuclear supply, we can sustain the energy demand"
- v. feels present safety regulations unworkable
- w. favors "reclamation conforming to original contours" and feels this might be possible at slopes greater than 15°
- y. States that he has opposed several serious attempts to amend NEPA and gives examples.
- z. Need to improve "the relationship between NEPA and the environmental protective regulatory activities of EPA"
- aa. Believes that "the primary responsibility for setting policy should remain with the Congress and ... for ... regulatory activity with the Executive..."
- bb. "...I feel that the expenditure of millions of tax dollars in the construction of this facility which will bring employment to an area of high under-employment dictates that the project be completed..."
- cc. I would certainly be willing to consider alternatives to the... project which would provide the benefits anticipated by the construction of these two structures at a lower cost. However, the validity of this project is not based solely on the benefit cost ratio, but upon the need for the benefits..."
- dd. "...While I do not have information regarding the specific areas included in this bill, I certainly support the concept and hope that the Committee's hearings will establish the appropriateness of the areas selected."
- ee. "...While the designation of an area within the Park as wilderness would assure the conservation of virgin areas, it could possibly pose administrative problems with regard to the recreational uses of the Park..."
- ff. "...I would support the establishment of a Commission...to...study and prepare regulations..."
- gg. Has supported projects providing for proper flood plain zoning etc.
- hh. Recognizes that there are "other policy questions involved...than the supply of fuels to provide energy"
- jj. "...such charges would be passed on to the consumer..."
- kk. "...I am the co-sponsor of several amendments to the Coal Mine Health and Safety Act which will reduce the economic burden of the Act upon deep miners (operators, ed.) without impeding the health and safety purposes..."
- ll. "...The role of local government in determining land-use policy must be maintained, and overall policy must recognize the importance of land values to the tax base..."
- mm. Voted for Cooper-Muskie amendment to the Federal Aid Highway Act
- nn. "...There still remain several major problems which must be resolved before completion of the program can be expected..."
- pp. "I hope that this accomplishment [House passage of HR6482] will set the stage for development of a sound program early in the 93rd Congress..." Feels environmental costs of stripmining should be internalized.

V.b. CANDIDATE INFORMATION - STATE LEGISLATURE

A. Rate of return

We sent our questionnaire to 173 known candidates; of these, 28 responded. Their response is shown in the table and in the footnotes (see end of questionnaire and bottom of table).

B. TCWP scores for incumbents

Scores computed on the basis of performance on stripmine amendments, opposition to Harpeth removal, and other key items recorded in NEWSLETTER #49 are as follows for incumbents: 80-100%: Ashe, M. Murphy, Bob Davis, Bissell, Elkins; 50-70%: Jensen, Bragg, E. Williams; 30-40%: Darnell, Love, Copeland, Hicks, Bible. Several high scorers are not listed here since they are not candidates in this election.

C. The questionnaire (see table on pp.9-10 for replies)

1. STRIPMINING. After strong pressure from environmentally concerned citizens and residents of Tennessee's coal counties, the General Assembly in 1972 strengthened the original stripmine law of 1967. A number of knowledgeable persons believe that the provisions of the new law are still insufficient to prevent the rape of the land and the damage to local residents.
What are your feelings on the following proposals that have been made:
 - a. Coal stripping should not be permitted where the slope is steeper than 15°. (Deepmining for the plentiful coal supplies could be encouraged instead.)
 - b. (Alternatively) the placement of fill on slopes steeper than 15° should not be permitted
 - c. Coal stripping should not be permitted closer than 500 ft from streams, lakes, or public property
 - d. Residents of coal areas should be permitted to request hearings on pending stripmine applications, and again prior to release of bond
2. RIVERS. In 1968, the 85th General Assembly made Tennessee the first state with a comprehensive scenic rivers system. Presently, all or parts of eleven of Tennessee's many outstanding rivers and streams are recognized under the Tennessee Scenic Rivers Act.
 - a. Would you actively support legislation that would aid the implementation of the Act, e.g., by funding the program?
 - b. Would you actively support efforts to include additional eligible rivers and streams in the state system?
 - c. Have you personally inspected any of the rivers presently included in the state system? Which ones?
3. TRAILS. In 1971, the General Assembly passed an act creating a comprehensive state trails system. Would you actively support the expenditure of state funds for the acquisition of trail rights-of-way and for maintenance of foot trails through scenic areas?
4. STATE PARKS, FORESTS, etc.
 - a. Do you favor the concept that the proper place for luxury motel-type accommodations and restaurants is adjacent to, rather than within, state parks?
 - b. Would you favor legislation to protect state parks, forests, natural areas, and wildlife preserves by restricting "off-road vehicles" to automobile roads, or to special areas set aside for their use?

over

5. NATURAL AREAS. In 1971 the General Assembly passed the Natural Areas Act which makes it possible for the state to protect areas having outstanding scenic and/or scientific values. Would you actively support the expenditure of state funds for the acquisition and maintenance of such areas?
6. LAND USE.
 - a. Do you favor development by the state of a statewide land-use plan?
 - b. Would you favor state regulation of large land development to insure maintenance of environmental quality?
7. CITIZENS' ENVIRONMENTAL RIGHTS. Legislation has been, and may again be, introduced in the General Assembly that defines basic citizen environmental rights, confers the right of "standing" in court on citizens seeking to prevent government agencies from undertaking actions that impair environmental quality, and makes clear the rights of citizens to demand effective enforcement of environmental statutes. A similar state law has worked well in Michigan.
 - a. Would you actively support _____ oppose _____ such a bill if introduced in the 88th General Assembly?
 - b. Would you actively support _____ oppose _____ sections of specific environmental bills that seek to accomplish similar ends in certain more limited areas of legislation (e.g., pollution, stripmining)?

FOOTNOTES TO TABLE ON STATE LEGISLATURE

- a. Questions availability of funds
- b. Declined to take position until he had "heard all sides". Would need more information.
- c. Points out he was chairman of Senate General Welfare and Environmental Committee.
- d. Wants to study federal stripmine legislation before he considers changing state law.
- e. "As long as they do not hamper farmers who live by the river".
- f. "Trail motorcycle public... also must be able to use our parks"
- g. "As you know, I have consistently supported strengthening of stripmining legislation (editor's note: Mr. Jensen in 1972 voted in favor of all the strengthening amendments supported by conservationists) ... If the state's program does not develop in a reasonable length of time ... , I will then favor freezing the 15° slope limitation and other restrictive provisions ... "
- h. "The above areas rank high on my list of spending priorities"
- j. "There must be a minimum number required to get a hearing ... "
- k. "Most of the questions are areas where I have already been very active in the past 4 years as a lawmaker"
- m. "Before release of bond yes"
- n. (Editor's note: in 1972 Bob Davis supported all the conservationists' strengthening amendments and ably handled them on the floor)
- o. Mr. Hatfield wrote a 5-page letter. We quote key sentences. On question 1: "I do feel that stripmining ... should not be permitted on slopes where erosion could occur" or "in such proximity to streams [etc.] ... as to result in depositing of silt ... " In general: "While we cannot afford to allow our ... scenic areas to be needlessly destroyed, neither can we afford to destroy the freedom and property rights of our citizens unnecessarily in the name of environmental preservation ... "
- p. "... I do feel that the present stripmining law is inadequate"
- q. "I do not understand the need of this legislation"
- r. "Place must be provided for them"
- s. Supported all strengthening amendments to stripmine bill in 1972

STATE LEGISLATURE

Senate

J. A. Stout
(Kingsport)
J. Kenneth Porter^b
(Newport)
Fred O. Berry^c
(Knoxville)
James H. White
(Memphis)
Steve McGill (Knoxville)

House

Herbert J. Denton, Jr.
(Blountville)
John K. Mann
(Knoxville)
R. C. Herrell
(Powell)
Tom Jensen
(Knoxville)
Michael Rowland^h
(Knoxville)
Victor H. Ashe^k
(Knoxville)
M. F. (Benny) Stafford
(Lenoir City)
Raymond Hughes
(Chattanooga)
Bob Davis
(Chattanooga)
Gus Hatfield^o
(Signal Mtn)
Bill Carter
(Chattanooga)
Keith Bissell
(Oak Ridge)
Ben H. Cantrell
(Nashville)
J. Marvin Hopper
(Nashville)
Riley Darnell
(Clarksville)

Dist. Party

Answers to questions No.

		1				2			3	4		5	6		7	
		a	b	c	d	a	b	c		a	b		a	b	a	b
2	D	*	0	*	*	0 ^a	0 ^a	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	?	?
4	R	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	R	*	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
30	D	*	*	*	*	*	*	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
6	D	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
3	R	0 ^d	0 ^d	0 ^d	0 ^d	0	? ^e	-	-	*	? ^f	*	0	0	?	0
15	R	*	*	*	*	*	*	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
16	D	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
17	R	0 ^g	0 ^g	0 ^g	0 ^g	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0	0
18	D	*	*	*	*	*	*	-	* ^a	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
18	R	*	*	*	? ^j	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
21	R	?	?	?	? ^m	?	?	*	? ^a	?	*	? ^a	0	0	?	0
25	D	*	*	*	*	*	*	-	*	*	*	*	0	*	*	*
28	D	* ⁿ	* ⁿ	* ⁿ	* ⁿ	*	*	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
29	D	? ^o	? ^o		*	? ^a	? ^a	-	? ^a	? ^b	*	? ^a	? ^b	? ^b	? ^b	? ^b
31	R	0 ^p	0 ^p	0 ^p	0 ^p	?	0	-	*	*	0 ^q	?	-?	* ^r	* ^r	0
33	D	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
51	R	*		*	*	*	*	-	*	*	*	*	0 ^b	*	0 ^b	*
57	R	-	0	0	*	*	*	-	*	0	0 ^r	0 ^a	*	*	0 ^b	0 ^b
67	D	* ^s	*	*	*	*	*	-	*	*	*	? ^a	*	?	?	*

See another page for full text of questions. Key to symbols: * = yes, or support; - = no, or do not support; 0 = no answer; ? = "probably", "to a degree", "in some cases". For amplifications by candidates, see footnotes. a-s - For these amplifying footnotes, see p.8.

over

	<u>Dist.</u>	<u>Party</u>	<u>Answers to questions No.</u>														
			1				2			3	4		5	6		7	
			a	b	c	d	a	b	c		a	b		a	b	a	b
L. Parnell Taylor (Huntington)	71	R	*	*	*	*	*	*	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Kenneth Crocker (Humboldt)	75	R	*		*	*	*	*	-	*	-	*	*	*	*	*	0
Leonard Dunavant ^b (Millington)	82	R	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harold Sterling (Germantown)	83	R	*	*	*	*	0	0	-	*	*	*	*	0	*	0 ^b	*
Tony Muscarella ^t (Memphis)	84	D	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0 ^b	*	*	*
Howard A. Cohn ^u (Memphis)	90	D	*	*	*	*	*	*	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Edward F. Williams III (Memphis)	96	R	Declined to answer.				See footnote v										
Richard J. Ryan (Memphis)	99	A	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

b. Declined to take position until he had "heard all sides." Would need more information.

t. In addition, expressed strong opposition to channelization. Wants to strengthen question 1c by proposing 1500 ft to water.

u. "As member of the Environmental Action Council of Memphis, I am genuinely concerned with environmental problems"

v. "In 1970 I filled out a similar questionnaire for TCWP, and was rather disappointed to learn that neither my views nor my opponent's views... received any distribution in the Memphis area (Editor's note: Mr. Williams is mistaken in his impression). Consequently, I see no purpose in submitting another questionnaire..."