

## TENNESSEE CITIZENS FOR WILDERNESS PLANNING

Newsletter No. 33, July 28, 1970

This NEWSLETTER is devoted to giving you as many facts as possible in helping you evaluate the candidates in the August 6 primary from the point of view of their performance and expressed sentiment on environmental questions. We bring you (1) A League of Conservation Voters rating of incumbents; (2) excerpts from our past NEWSLETTERS pertaining to some of the candidates; and (3) returns of questionnaires prepared by us for the candidates.

A few more words about item (3). Please read the many remarks supplied in addition to the tabular material -- they are quite revealing. Note that we had an excellent response from the gubernatorial candidates: fewer than half (Jarman, Anderson, Patty, Heinsohn, Newton) failed to return the questionnaire. On the other hand, only about 35% of the candidates for U.S. House of Representatives responded and this included none of the incumbents except Fulton. U.S. Senate response was even poorer. In the case of state legislature candidates absence of a reply could be due to the great deal of difficulty we had in reaching the several hundred candidates. Frank Plasil obtained an unofficial state candidate list prepared by the Legislative Council. Bill and Sylvia Countess spent 2 solid days with phone books attempting to get full addresses, then sent out 200 state questionnaires. Associated Press kindly supplied us with name and city of gubernatorial and Congressional candidates, and these mailings were made by Frank. All questionnaires were prepared by Lee Russell, with helpful suggestions from Ernie Dickerman and Bob Miller.

Please keep the questionnaires beyond the primaries -- for the November election. Tackle your own candidates with them, if they have not answered.

### LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS, RATING OF INCUMBENTS

The League of Conservation Voters is a nonpartisan campaign committee closely affiliated with FRIENDS OF THE EARTH, a non tax-deductible organization that frankly enters into the political arena where it affects conservation. They have recently tabulated the House voting record in 10 revealing roll-call votes pertaining to environmental issues. The issues included are SSI, Timber Supply Bill, Clean Water and Clean Air acts, Wilderness designation, Mass Transportation Act, preservation of estuarine areas and others. The highest possible score was +28, the lowest possible was -28. Here is how Tennessee Congressmen rated:

Anderson	+9	Jones	0	Quillen	-10
Fulton	+7	Blanton	-3	Kaykendall	-11
Evins	+2	Duncan	-6	Brock	-13

### FOR THE RECORD: POLITICAL PERFORMANCE ON TENNESSEE MATTERS

To help you form an opinion of candidates, we have excerpted from NEWSLETTERS of the past 3 years all statements pertaining to actions and expressed sentiments of our elected representatives. The reference in parenthesis refers to NEWSLETTER No. and page, e.g. (29:3). Within any paragraph, the account is chronological.

#### National Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, Obed, Big South Fork, Buffalo

Sen. GORE sends statement to Senate Interior Comm. endorsing inclusion of Obed, Big S. Fork, and Buffalo in a national system of wild and scenic rivers (7:1, 14:3). FULTON and ANDERSON send similar statements to House committee (14:3), also KAYKENDALL (19:4). House amendment to remove Big S. Fork from national scenic rivers act gets concurrence of DUNCAN and EVINS (17:1). BAKER asks conference committee to remove Obed as well, but GORE strongly maintains his endorsement, thus retaining Obed in the Act (18:2).

GORE, FULTON, and ANDERSON express themselves opposed to Devils Jumps dam (on Mr. S. Fork); while QUILLEN, DUNCAN, and EVINS are non-committal (14:3). GORE is instrumental in congressional authorization of federal inter-agency study of preservation and recreation alternatives to Devils Jumps dam (16:1). GORE makes Senate speech endorsing Big S. Fork national park (30:3).

EVINS again urges dam on Obed (29:3). EVINS and BAKER support pro-dam delegation visiting TVA (30:1).

#### State scenic rivers act; state action on rivers

BRIDGES and KIDWELL "were a tremendous help to Sponsor Pope at every step"; BROWN AYRES anxious to help in Senate; BOWMAN and FREELS removed Obed and Big S. Fork systems (5 rivers) then attempted to defeat entire bill (12:2).

FREELS, HACK AYRES, and SHADDEN introduce bill to create commission to work for Devils Jumps dam (22:2); this is opposed by JENSEN, ELKINS, COOK (23:2).

Voting against removal of the Buffalo from State scenic rivers act: Sens. BROWN AYRES, BAIRD, BERRY, BRUCE, PERSON, OEHMIG, NEAL; Repr. ALBRIGHT, ASHE, BIBLE (lack full tally). Among those voting for removal: BOWMAN, COOK, ELKINS (21:2). BROWN AYRES and ASHE introduce bill to return Buffalo to Act (29:3).

CANNON and WATSON add Conasauga to Act (22:2); WALKER adds Hatchie (30:3). Bill to create Division of scenic rivers introduced by BROWN AYRES and JENSEN (29:2); twice out of committee by AYRES but Senate calendar committee fails to act (30:3).

#### Smokies

QUILLEN and DUNCAN accompany pro-road delegation to Washington (21:1). FULTON, BLANTON, BROCK endorse our position following visit of Smoky Mtn. Wilderness delegation to Washington (24:2). The state legislature passes resolution by BIBLE and 10 others endorsing Circle-the-Smokies drive (23:1).

#### State parks and natural areas legislation

BERRY and BROWN AYRES introduce bill to limit building of man-made developments in state parks (21:3).

Passage of Natural Areas resolution by ASHE, KRIEG, ALBRIGHT, DAVIS, HOWELL, R. D. WHITE, COPELAND, JENSEN (22:2). Natural Areas bill by ASHE and 12 others is introduced (22:3); being revised (26:3, 27:7); passed House (see 29:1 for voting lineup). Senate version by BRUCE (29:1), who, against great odds, manages to bring bill up for second time (30:3).

#### Trails, miscellaneous state measures

Scenic Landmarks resolution by DAVIS is passed (30:3). BIBLE sponsors trails bill, introduces bill to create Dept. of Natural Resources and Environmental Quality; neither bill out of committee (30:3).

#### Pollution

GORE bill calls for increased funds to fight water pollution (31:6). On the state level, measures introduced by BRADLEY and WITT (21:3), ASHE (29:2), KRIEG (29:2). Measures passed by ASHE and KRIEG (30:3, 4).

#### Duck River

EVINS adds \$1,300,000 for land acquisition for Duck River dams (27:7). EVINS, in conference comm., restores funds deleted by Senate (31:4). ANDERSON urges increased funds for the dams (32:3).

#### Miscellaneous national issues

See Friends of Earth analysis, above. Also our tabulation in NEWSLETTER 18:6 (Oct. 1968).

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR GUBERNATORIAL AND STATE LEGISLATURE CANDIDATES

1. In 1968, the 85th General Assembly made Tennessee the first state with a comprehensive scenic rivers system. However, implementation is still to be accomplished.
  - a. Do you favor the establishment, within the Tennessee Dept. of Conservation, of a Division of Scenic Rivers (analogous, e.g., to the Division of State Parks)?
  - b. Do you favor some appropriations from the State (for which matching federal funds are available) that will make it possible to implement the Tennessee Scenic Rivers System?
  - c. The 1968 Act declares that the State's interest in land within scenic river areas is preferably to be obtained in the form of scenic easements. That is, owners, while retaining title, would be paid for limiting their management of the land in such a way that scenic beauty is maintained or enhanced. Do you favor the scenic easement concept?
2. In 1967, the General Assembly passed the Tennessee Strip Mine Law. Since this law has been in operation, it has become evident that certain changes in it will be required if we are to keep our countryside from being destroyed. What would be your opinion concerning amendments that would accomplish the following:
  - a. Increase revenue needed by the Division of Strip Mining and Reclamation for administration of the law by
    - I. increasing the fee per acre (presently \$25) that a mine operator must pay to obtain a permit?
    - II. eliminating the acreage-fee maximum, which favors the large over the small operator?
    - III. voting special appropriations to the Division?
  - b. Prevent irreparable damage by
    - I. establishing a maximum angle of slope, beyond which mining shall be prohibited?
    - II. requiring inspection by the Div. of Strip Mining prior to issuing of a permit?
    - III. empowering the Commissioner of Conservation to deny permits in areas where irreplaceable scenic or ecological values would be destroyed?
  - c. Enhance efficiency of reclamation by
    - I. requiring reclamation of prospect holes as well as of actually mined areas?
    - II. facilitating reclamation of "orphan mines" (i.e., those mined before 1967) by means of technical or financial assistance from the State?
3. Tennesseans are proud that two of their rivers are being studied for inclusion in a National System of Wild and Scenic Rivers. Will you actively support inclusion into this system of
  - a. The Obed River, with tributaries Clear Creek and Daddys Creek?
  - b. the Buffalo?
4. A recently completed federal study discusses in detail several alternatives to the Devils Jumps dam on the Big South Fork of the Cumberland River. About 60 organizations with about 200,000 members have endorsed permanent preservation of the river and surrounding watershed. Would you support creation of a National Park, whose central feature would be the wild river gorges?
5. Would you favor state legislation to preserve outstanding natural areas
  - a. by allowing the General Assembly to designate such areas in perpetuity?
  - b. by having the State acquire land or scenic easements in areas designated by the legislature?
  - c. by setting aside major portions of existing or new state parks to be kept free of development?
6. Do you favor the concept that the proper place for motel-type accommodations and restaurants is adjacent to, rather than within, state parks?
7. Trails
  - a. Do you favor the development of a system of foot trails through scenic areas of Tenn.?
  - b. Would you favor the expenditure of state funds (that would ensure matching federal funds) for the acquisition of rights-of-way for such trails?
8. Education
  - a. Do you favor legislation that would require all public schools to provide instruction in and opportunities for discussion of current environmental problems?
  - b. Do you favor providing a school-sponsored natural-area experiences for all public-school students?
  - c. Do you favor appropriations for a statewide program of environmental education coordinated at the state level?
9. Do you favor encouragement of industry that would present serious problems or pollution control, e.g., pulp mills?
10. Would you work for a state-sponsored program for family planning or other population control?

(over)

- \*11. What are your feelings concerning another transmountain road through the Great Smoky Mountains National Park?
- \*12. Would your appointment of Commissioner of Conservation be based on professional experience in environmental matters?  
on demonstrated dedication to preservation of natural areas?  
On what basis would you appoint members of the Conservation Commission? Game and Fish Commission?

\*For gubernatorial candidates only

TCWP QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES (U.S. SENATE AND HOUSE)

1. A recently completed federal study ("Big South Fork, Cumberland River," Interagency Report to Committee on Public Works, U.S. Senate) discusses in some detail several alternative plans for the Big South Fork for "recreational, conservation, or preservation uses." These plans are alternatives to the \$200,000,000 dam (to be located in Kentucky, though inundating mostly Tennessee land) which has been strenuously opposed by conservationists as destructive of a unique canyon and economically unjustifiable. About 60 organizations with over 200,000 members have endorsed permanent preservation of the river and surrounding watershed.
  - (a) Would you oppose Devils Jumps, or other dams that would impound the Big S. Fork, its Clear Fork stem, their major tributaries, and the lowest 8 miles of New River?
  - (b) Would you actively support creation of a national park (one of the alternatives proposed in the federal interagency study), whose central feature would be the wild river gorges? Or, a combination proposal including national park status for a major portion of the area (e.g., national park, with adjacent national recreation area and national forest).
2. Tennesseans are proud that two of their rivers are being studied for inclusion in the National System of Wild and Scenic Rivers under Sect. 5a of P.L. 90-542. These rivers are the Obed, with its tributaries Clear Creek and Daddys Creek, and the Buffalo.
  - (a) Would you give support to an early completion of the required study, which is being carried out under the leadership of the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation, SE Region?
  - (b) Following completion of the study, would you give active support to permanent inclusion of these rivers in the National System?
3. The Wilderness Act of 1964 requires, among other things, that boundaries for wilderness areas be proposed for all national parks and that, after proper hearings, etc., such boundaries be legislatively established through Congressional action. For our own Great Smoky Mountains National Park, this process has been greatly delayed by local proposals for another transmountain road (Townsend to Bryson City). All major conservation groups throughout Tennessee and the nation have strenuously opposed this road -- most recently in June 1969, when a group of 100, representing 39 organizations, travelled to Washington.
  - (a) Would you oppose a "second" (actually a fifth) transmountain road?
  - (b) Would you favor some alternative settlement of the so-called "1943 Agreement", e.g., the "Circle-the-Smokies-Drive" suggested by conservationists, or a similar solution?
  - (c) Would you support the wilderness boundaries, endorsed at the time of the 1966 hearings by all major conservation organizations, that would permanently protect segments of the Park under the provisions of the Wilderness Act?
  - (d) Do you favor speeding up wilderness designation in other areas of the country?
4. Would you give support to obtaining federal funds to assist in state efforts (Tennessee) toward natural area preservation, e.g., for implementation of the State Scenic Rivers Act (with which Tennessee led the nation), for developing a regional trail system, or for a state system of natural areas?
5. Much of Tennessee's most beautiful countryside has been ravaged by surface mining, particularly stripmining for coal. A state law now provides for reclamation of newly mined areas. However, areas mined prior to passage of this 1967 Act (the so-called "orphan" strips) remain devastated. Would you work for federal legislation that would deal effectively with the reclamation of orphan mines?
6. The National Timber Supply bill (H.R.12025, S.1832) would have sacrificed the commerical timberlands of our National Forests to maximum timber cutting, without regard to the other values for which National Forests were established (watershed protection, fish and wildlife, scenic and recreational values, grazing). This bill was defeated earlier this year as a result of strong opposition by all major conservation groups.

6. (a) Would you oppose another similar bill, if introduced?
- (b) Do you favor positive legislative action that would require and help the U.S. Forest Service to give proper and balanced attention to all the multiple uses for which the National Forests were established (instead of disproportionate emphasis on logging, as has often been the case)?
- (c) Do you oppose large-scale clear-cutting in National Forests which, even where it is economical for timber production, violates other National Forest values?
7. Do you favor the National Land Use Policy bill (S.3354), which requires establishment of state land use planning agencies?
8. Pollution.
  - (a) In 1966, the Congress authorized \$1.25 billion annually in federal funds to fight water pollution, but the Administration has asked for only \$1 billion annually for the next four years (only 0.1% of the federal budget). Conservationists consider this amount grossly inadequate. Legislation has been introduced by Sen. Gore and by Sen. Muskie that would provide, respectively, \$3.5 and \$2.5 billion annually. Are you in favor of spending more than proposed by the Administration? Would you support Sen. Gore's or Sen. Muskie's bills?
  - (b) Do you favor the increase in Clean Air Act authorization, which would boost funds for the Act from \$96 to \$200 million?
  - (c) Are you in favor of the Environmental Protection bill (S.3575) which: (i) gives citizens a guaranteed right to a pollution-free environment, (ii) gives citizens standing to sue in anti-pollution suits and to challenge, in court, administrative decisions involving environmental matters?
9. With the sudden development of oil resources, Alaska is in danger of being ruthlessly wrecked. In view of the fact that 95% of Alaska's landmass is federally owned, i.e., belongs to us all, do you favor legislative and administrative action that would provide for (i) classification of this land from a long-range point of view, and (ii) adequate controls over the development of its natural resources in such a way that no one resource is exploited to the detriment of the others?
10. The Administration has asked for federal funds (\$290,000,000 this year alone) to subsidize a private company in the building of a supersonic transport (SST). The SST is opposed not only by conservationists but by several scientific panels, including the President's own Ad Hoc Task Force on the SST. In addition to economic and social objections, the following environmental hazards from the SST are cited: climatic changes, increase in dangerous radiation received by the earth, air pollution, excessive airport noise (with proven adverse physiological effects), and sonic booms.  
Do you favor federal subsidy for the SST?
11. Do you favor increasing the Land and Water Conservation Fund to \$300,000,000 annually?

#### COMMENTS FROM GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATES

Numbers refer to question No. -- see \* in table on large sheet

Emerson (12c) "demonstrated concern and ability... not political patronage

Hooker wrote a 4½-page letter with detailed and specific answers. Short excerpts follow.

"...one of the greatest services the Government could provide...would be to take the first major steps to insure the preservation of...Tennessee as the beautiful area we know it to be today. ... A sound program of conservation and preservation will not interfere with Tennessee's economic progress today but it will insure its future."

(1a)"...it is necessary to have an office or division committed to this program...it will be necessary to restructure our present Dept. of Conservation to accommodate the variety of duties.."

(1b) "I am strongly in favor of the Tenn. scenic river system and, therefore, of course favor appropriation from the State."

(1c) "...There may be some areas in which... an outright purchase will be necessary. However,... throughout most of the scenic river system, the scenic easement approach...best balance..."

(2a,2bi)" "...requires technical information which I, frankly, do not have at this time...I, of course, believe it is necessary to increase the revenue committed to the Division... However, I am not certain exactly what type of fee arrangement would be best..."

(3a) "I will certainly actively support the inclusion of the Obed River"

(3b) "...if there is any way it can be included, it certainly should"

(4) "I am...already committed to the preservation of the Big S. Fork as a free flowing river...(over)"

should be permanently preserved....probably a combination of public use, national park, and national wildlife area...may be possible to tie into this concept such adjacent areas as the Obed watershed.

(5c) "...necessary to develop them on a balanced basis....possible to allow development.... while still providing for the preservation of large areas..."

(6) "To the extent that...can be provided for on land adjacent to the parks and so avoid the state expenditures..., I believe this is desirable."

(7) "...a system of trails can [also] be developed in other areas such as in parks or along rivers in the area of our major cities..."

(8a,b) "...public schools already required to provide this sort of education. ...natural-area experiences and more sophisticated education...desirable."

(8c) "In view of the many serious problems facing the educational system..., I could not honestly say that...would have first priority on educational monies"

(9) "If an industry simply cannot avoid being a...pollutant, it is naive to welcome it into the State and then criticize it..."

(11) "I have never been shown any justification for another transmountain road...I do not believe in cutting up natural areas in the park with roads"

(12a,b) "...personality and ability...demonstrated dedication to...preservation of natural areas... knowledgeable in [environmental matters]...State must eventually have...department whose main function is conservation...restructuring of departments...better public relations in conservation matters.

(12c) "ability, interest..., knowledge..., and basic attitudes

Snodgrass (9) "unless such industry were able to meet criteria to prevent thermal pollution"

(11) "depends on feasibility and cost factors"

(12b) "if such a man is available"

(12c) "on the basis of their interest in conservation and background in conservation and Game & Fish activity"

Taylor (2biii) "but subject to Commission recommendation"

(4) "Preferably a combination of national and state park areas with a minimum of Tenn. forests included therein"

(6) "Not necessarily - the conditions are different at each park."

(11) "This should be the subject of a statewide commission study to determine a state position"

(12c) "recommendation of the Commissioner"

Dunn (9) "Only if such pollutants could be controlled and eliminated"

(10) "only educational"

(11) "Evidence of absolute need would warrant my consideration"

(12c) "interest, experience, ability"

Jenkins Wrote a letter stating "I have refrained from...marking a yes or no because...a public official should never commit himself...until he has...view[ed] the final draft of...legislation". Excerpts are quoted below. Item no. supplied by us is only approximate since some of his statements are rather general.

(1., 3., 4.): "with few exceptions or a change in circumstances the remainder of our waters... should remain free flowing"

(2, 7, 11) No comment

(5, 6) "it will be necessary for us to designate some areas as natural areas...We should have more State Parks...the policy should be to retain as much of the natural quality...that we possibly can"

(8) "...greatly in favor of addition...to our ...curricula...instruction in environments...and natural area experiences"

(9) "...should have a clear cut policy which would prevent pollution problems of the future."

(10) "...a program for family planning...that deals with the problem of welfare recipients and birth control is long overdue"

(12) "...I would strive to obtain persons with the professional and vocational background to be thoroughly competent..."

Robertson Wrote a long letter, excerpts from which are quoted, opposite number of question to which they refer. Tabular material filled in by us from letter.

"I have proposed the creation of a Dept. of Environmental Affairs which would take over most functions of the present Conservation Dept.

1. I intend to be an active friend of this landmark program.

2....have not yet reached a conclusion and would certainly be interested in learning the feelings of TCWP...However, the overall concept of protecting our countryside is one I wholeheartedly endorse" (Turn to bottom of p.8 for continuation of Mr. Robertson's comments.)

# CANDIDATES FOR U. S. SENATE AND HOUSE: QUESTIONNAIRE RETURNS

Dist.	Name	1 (a b)	2 (a b)	3 (a b c d)	4	5	6 (a b c)	7	8 (a b c)	9	10	11
	Senate Frey, H.S.(D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+
1	Laws, D.M.(?)	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	N	+	+
2	Cowan, Roger(D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3	Cramer, R.(D)	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	N	N	N	+
3	Winningham(D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+
3	Baker, L.(R)	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	+
5	Fulton, R.(D)	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
6	Gibbs, R.(D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
9	Osborn, M.(D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

+ = affirmative, N = negative, 0 = no answer, ( ) = qualified answer

\*Comments by the above candidates:

Cowan: Mr. Cowan added a letter to his questionnaire. Excerpts are quoted. "...all of us must stop talking about 'mastering' our environment and begin speaking in terms of co-operating with it... While recognizing that we cannot disavow technology, we must guard against being enslaved by it... I am NOT impressed by the Nixon administration's gestures in the direction of conservation. You can't logically spend \$100,000,000 to control air pollution and, at the same time, spend \$290,000,000 for the SST which will further pollute the air... I am specifically incensed by Congr. Duncan's statement that Earth Day was a 'Communist Plot'".

Baker: (4) "With possible modification to accommodate some local conditions". (5) "within budgetary practicability"

Fulton: (7,8c) "I have this under study"

Osborn: Mr. Osborn added a letter to his questionnaire. Excerpts are quoted. "May I compliment you on the most intelligent questionnaire I have yet received.... I share your alarm over the future of wilderness areas in our country, and I am especially concerned over population pressures... which encroach upon such areas... I am pleased that we have such an organization working and politically acute in our state."

Please turn the page for other comments

## REMINDERS

1. Have you written to Senators Baker and Gore expressing your views on SST appropriations? (See question 10 of Congressional questionnaire, p.5 of this Newsletter; or see NL#32)  
Time is critical.
2. Have you returned your own member's questionnaire sent out with NL#32?

(over)



COMMENTS BY CANDIDATES FOR THE STATE LEGISLATURE

8

Slice "high pressure methods are out of place in dealing with individuals"

Morgan "under certain conditions"

Howard (2biii) "Will only one person have this authority? (6) "What about State owned Estab.?"

Bradley (6) "If you mean privately owned and managed motels and restaurants, I agree. I would not favor exclusion in all cases of state operated motels. (8) "I suppose that I would agree, though I generally oppose legislative interference with curricula". (8c) "I would withhold judgement on a state-sponsored program until I knew more about it"

Brown "...The needs of the people...must be reached with the revenues available in a manner that will do the most possible good for the largest number of people"

Edgar "Generally...I am interested in the preservation of Tennessee's natural beauty...through whatever means are practical and effective"

Copeland (2a) "Charge per acre is no solution -- an operator can pay such fee and still find it less expensive than re-planting" (7b,8c) "not now" (9) "I favor the encouragement of all industries...our efforts to exercise control have been too lax"

Ashe (1a) "I sponsored this in 1970" (2) "I do not have sufficient information to give a simple...answer" (6) "there may be instances where...warranted" (8b) "Only if students wish it"

Smith "Your questions were answered without benefit of hearing from the objectors, if any." (1b) "If funds available"

Clinton "The above areas...need attention ahead of all other legislation. We must have clean and unpolluted areas in which to live or else all other programs are meaningless" (9) "but with adequate pollution controls strictly enforced"

Small (9) "not unless proper controls were provided"

Darden "in view of my lack of knowledge...I would be doing you an injustice by stating a position ...I would be interested...in sitting down with you and discussing"

Williams (7) In 1968 authored "Approved Hiking Trails in Tennessee" for Dept. of Conservation.

A long statement from Mr. Williams is on file. One of the principal points in his campaign is that the General Assembly needs a man with professional experience in pollution control - he is Environmental Control Engineer, member of Memphis Environmental Action Council.

(8) "local school boards should control their own schools"

(10) "family planning only"

Bright (1b, 2aiii, 2cii, 5b, 7b, 8c) "I am against increase in taxes except state sales tax... and/or state income tax with an exemption of not less than \$5000" (9) "not unless pollution is controlled" (10) "limit welfare help for not over 2 children"

Ramsey "We love Tennessee...for the fact that we still have a beautiful natural environment... If you would send us more information,...I would be more than glad to do what I can for wilderness planning"

Cawood (6) "It depends upon the state park" (9) "We need to encourage local governments to look closely at industries which want to move in to see to it that they will not add to our pollution problems"

Baird (2ai) "if unable to increase funds in any other way" (2aiii) "only until reclamation is 'caught up'" (5b) "or organizations such as TCWP" (10) "This should be done by doctors and others specializing in this field"

Bridges (1c) "Prefer State paying of right of ways (5a) "With approval of groups such as yours" (8c) "Immediately after the full kindergarden program"

Taliaferro (6) "Kentucky's park system on this point is what I favor"

COMMENTS FROM GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATES (CONTINUED)

(Robertson) 3. ....if the final recommendation is in this direction, I see nothing which would compel me to oppose it"

4. ....have not yet studied...my philosophy is that areas possessing great scenic attributes such as the Big South Fork should be preserved.

6. ...I would not favor dismantling those already existing. But the state should be extremely cautious about constructing more"

Probably through oversight, Mr. Robertson did not comment on the remaining questions.



CANDIDATES FOR STATE OFFICES: GUBERNATORIAL AND LEGISLATIVE

GUBERNATORIAL CANDIDATE (PARTY)	1			2							3		4	5			6	7		8			9	10	11	12			
	a	b	c	ai	aii	aiiibi	bii	biiici	cii	a	b		a	b	c		a	b	a	b	c				a	b	c		
Ralph Waldo Emerson (D)Δ	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	0	+	+	*	
John Jay Hooker (D)	++	++	++	?*	?*	(+)*	?*	+	+	+	+	++	(+)*	++	+	+	++	++	++	++	(+)*(+)*(N)*	N*	0		*	(+)	+	*	
Stanly T. Snodgrass (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	N*	+		*	+	*	*	
Judge R. L. Taylor (D)	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	(+)*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(N)*	+	+	+	+	+	N	+		*	+	or+	*	
Winfield Dunn (R)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	(N)*	*	+	+	*		
William L. Jenkins (R)	did not complete questionnaire; see comments																												
Claude K. Robertson (R)	+	+	+	0*	0	0	0	0	0	0	(+)*(+)	(+)*	+	+	+	(+)*	+	+	see comments										

COUNTY AND DISTRICT		LEGISLATIVE CANDIDATE	1			2							3		4	5			6	7		8			9	10			
			a	b	c	ai	aii	aiiibi	bii	biii	ci	cii	a	b	a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c							
Anderson		Slice, J.A. Jr. (A)Δ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+					
Davidson	3	Morgan, W. (D)	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+					
"	3	Parsons, W.H. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+					
"	6	Howard, B.W. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+					
"	6	White, J.E. (R)	+	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+					
"	7	Bradley, H. (D)	+	+	+	(+)	(+)	0	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	(+)	+	+	(+)*	+	+	(+)*(+)*(+)*	N					
"	8	Brown, J.P. Jr. (D)	0	+	+	+	+	0*	+	+	+	+	0*	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+					
Hamblen		Stambaugh (D)	+	+	+	N	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+					
Hamilton	1	Edgar, A. (R)	0*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
"	2	Copeland, D.Y. (R)	N	+	+	0*	0*	0*	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	+	0	+	0	+	N*	+	+					
"	6	Frazier, R.A. (D)	+	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	N	+	N	+	+					
"	6	Enloe, R.L. (A)	N	+	N	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+					
Knox	3	Ashe, V.H. (R)	+	+	+	0*	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	(+)*	+	+	+	+					
"	4	Miller, T.R. (D)	+	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	+					
"	8	Smith, L. (R)	+	(+)*(+)		0	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	+					
McMinn		Crabtree, J.B. (R)	returned an empty questionnaire																										
Montgomery		Darnell, R.C. (D)	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+					
Shelby	1	Burt, F.L. Jr. (A)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+					
"	2	Clinton, E.L. (R)	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+					

turn the page

COUNTY AND DISTRICT		LEGISLATIVE CANDIDATE	1 <div>(a   b   c)</div>			2 <div>(a i   a i i   a i i b i   b i i   b i i c i   c i i)</div>					3 <div>(a   b)</div>		4	5 <div>(a   b   c)</div>			6	7 <div>(a   b)</div>		8 <div>(a   b   c)</div>			9	10		
Shelby	3	Johnson, P.Jr.(D)	+	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+			
"	4	Small, C. N. (R)	+	+	(+)	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(N)*	+			
"	12	Pritchard,H.P.(R)	+	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	+	N	+	+	0	0	0	+	+		
"	15	Darden, J. W. (R)	0*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
"	15	Williams, E.F. (R)	+	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(+)*		
Sullivan	2	Bright, A. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	0	(N)*	+		
"	2	Ramsey, T.R.Jr.(D)	0*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Anderson, Roane (F) <sup>o</sup>			+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+		
Anderson, Roane (F)			+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	0	+	+	0*	+	+	0	+	N*	0
Scott, Campbell (F)			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	
Warren, White (F)			Filled out questionnaire but stated "not to be used for quotation because of the generality of some questions"																							
Anderson, Roane, Meigs(S)			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	N*	
Anderson, Roane, Meigs(S)			+	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	0	
Knox (S)			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	0	
Hamilton (S)			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N	+	
Shelby (S)			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	N*	+	+	+	+	+	+

+ = affirmative, N = negative, 0 = no answer, ( )=qualified answer

Δ D = Democrat, R = Republican, A = American Party, φF = Floterial Representative, S = Senate

\*Comment included, see pp. 5, 6, 8 under name and item no.