



University of Tennessee, Knoxville  
**Trace: Tennessee Research and Creative  
Exchange**

---

Tennessee Department of State, Opinions from the  
Administrative Procedures Division

Law

---

March 2016

## Christy Dalton vs. Safety

Follow this and additional works at: [http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk\\_lawopinions](http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_lawopinions)

---

This Initial Order by the Administrative Judges of the Administrative Procedures Division, Tennessee Department of State, is a public document made available by the College of Law Library, and the Tennessee Department of State, Administrative Procedures Division. For more information about this public document, please contact [administrative.procedures@tn.gov](mailto:administrative.procedures@tn.gov)



**State of Tennessee**  
**Department of State**  
Administrative Procedures Division  
312 Rosa L. Parks Avenue  
8<sup>th</sup> Floor, William R. Snodgrass Tower  
Nashville, Tennessee 37243-1102  
Phone: (615) 741-7008/Fax: (615) 741-4472

March 18, 2016

Commissioner Bill Gibbons  
Tennessee Department of Safety  
23<sup>rd</sup> Floor, William R. Snodgrass Tower  
312 Rosa L. Parks Avenue  
Nashville, Tennessee 37243-1102

Patrick L. Rice, Esq.  
Staff Attorney III  
Tennessee Department of Safety &  
Homeland Security  
7175 Strawberry Plains Pike, Suite #102  
Knoxville, TN 37914-7002

Christy Dalton  
901 Clinchview Apartments  
Rutledge, TN 37861

Dwight David Bull  
901 Clinchview Apartments  
Rutledge, TN 37861

RE: In the Matter of: Christy Dalton and Dwight David Bull (Q2749)  
Docket No. 19.05-133056J

Enclosed is an Initial Order rendered in connection with the above-styled case.

Administrative Procedures Division  
Tennessee Department of State

/aem  
Enclosure

**BEFORE THE COMMISSIONER OF THE TENNESSEE  
DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**CHRISTY DALTON AND DWIGHT DAVID BULL**

**DOCKET NO. 19.05-133056J**

**NOTICE**

ATTACHED IS AN INITIAL ORDER RENDERED BY AN ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE WITH THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES DIVISION.

THE INITIAL ORDER IS NOT A FINAL ORDER BUT SHALL BECOME A FINAL ORDER UNLESS:

1. THE ENROLLEE FILES A WRITTEN APPEAL, OR EITHER PARTY FILES A PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION WITH THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES DIVISION NO LATER THAN **April 4, 2016**.

YOU MUST FILE THE APPEAL, PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION WITH THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES DIVISION. THE ADDRESS OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES DIVISION IS:

SECRETARY OF STATE  
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES DIVISION  
WILLIAM R. SNODGRASS TOWER  
312 ROSA PARKS AVENUE, 8<sup>th</sup> FLOOR  
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37243-1102

IF YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS, PLEASE CALL THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES DIVISION, **615/741-7008 OR 741-5042, FAX 615/741-4472**. PLEASE CONSULT APPENDIX A AFFIXED TO THE INITIAL ORDER FOR NOTICE OF APPEAL PROCEDURES.

**BEFORE THE COMMISSIONER OF THE  
TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY AND HOMELAND SECURITY**

**IN THE MATTER OF:** )  
 )  
**TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF** )  
**SAFETY,** )  
 )  
**v.** ) **DOCKET NO: 19.05-133056J**  
 ) **Safety Case No. Q 2749**  
**ONE 1994 CHRYSLER CONCORD,** )  
**VIN: 2C3EL56TXRH159732** )  
**Seized From: Dwight David Bull** )  
**Date of Seizure: 9/26/14** )  
**Claimant: Dwight David Bull** )  
**Seizing Agency: Tennessee Highway Patrol** )  
**Lienholder: None filed.** )

---

**INITIAL ORDER OF DEFAULT AND DISMISSAL**

---

This matter was set to be heard on January 12, 2016, before Elizabeth D. Cambron, Administrative Judge, assigned by the Secretary of State to sit for the Commissioner of the Tennessee Department of Safety and Homeland Security (the Department) in Fall Branch, Tennessee. Mr. Patrick Rice, Staff Attorney, represented the Department. The Claimant, Mr. Dwight David Hull, was not present nor was an attorney present on his behalf.

The subject of this hearing was the proposed forfeiture of the subject vehicle. The matter was heard upon the Department's motion to be granted an Initial Order of Default and Dismissal due to the Claimant's failure to appear at the hearing after receiving proper notice thereof. After consideration of the record it is determined that the Department's motion is proper and should be **GRANTED**. It is further **ORDERED** that the subject property should be **FORFEITED** to the

seizing agency. This decision is based upon the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. The subject of this hearing was the seizure of a 1994 Chrysler Concord from Dwight David Bull by the Tennessee Highway Patrol on September 26, 2014. The Claimant, Mr. Bull, filed a petition for the return of the subject property and requested that a hearing be scheduled to consider that claim.

2. The Claimant was sent notice of the hearing by certified mail. Postal records indicate that the notice was sent to the Claimant at the address given when the Petition for a Hearing was filed. The notice was returned to the Department as unable to be forwarded on December 26, 2015, and received by the Department on December 28, 2016. The Claimant has not provided an updated address to the Department.

3. The Claimant did not appear on the day of the hearing, no attorney appeared on his behalf, and no continuance had been requested.

4. The Department's witnesses were present and the Department was ready to proceed with the case.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW and ANALYSIS**

1. TENN. COMP. R. & REG. 1340-2-2-.11(2) (2015), RULES OF PROCEDURE FOR ASSET FORFEITURE HEARINGS, provides:

In serving a "Notice of Hearing," the Legal Division shall rely upon the addresses of record as given by a claimant or by claimant's counsel. Proof of service per Rule 1340-2-2-.03(4) to

the addresses of record shall establish a rebuttable presumption that claimant or claimant's counsel received notice of the hearing date.

2. TENN. COMP. R. & REG. 1340-2-2-.17(1) provides, in relevant part:

(d) No default shall be entered against a claimant for failure to attend except upon proof that the Legal Division has given notice of hearing per RULE 1340-02-02-.06(2)(e).

(e) Upon default by a party, an administrative judge may enter either an initial default order or an order for an uncontested proceeding. An order under this part must be in writing, with reasons given and appeal rights stated. Uncontested proceedings may go forward at the time of default. These orders must subsequently be filed with the Legal Division.

(f) The defaulting party, no later than ten (10) days after service of an order may file a motion for reconsideration under TENN. CODE ANN. § 4-5-317, requesting that the default be set aside for good cause shown, and stating the grounds relied upon. The administrative judge may enter an order pursuant to TENN. CODE ANN. § 4-5-317. These orders by an administrative judge are reviewable by the commissioner.

3. TENN. COMP. R. & REG. 1340-2-2-.17(2)(b) states, in relevant part:

Upon a default by a claimant, a claimant's claim shall be stricken by initial default order or, if the agency requests, the agency may proceed uncontested.

4. The State's motion for default having been granted, it is therefore **ORDERED** that Claimant's **CLAIM BE STRICKEN**. The claim having been stricken, it is as if no claim had ever been filed, evoking TENN. CODE ANN. § 40-33-206(c), which specifies that "If a claim . . . is not filed with the applicable agency within the time specified by this part, the seized property shall be forfeited and disposed of as provided by law."

5. Based upon the foregoing, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Claimant's claim be **STRICKEN**, the matter **DISMISSED**, and the Claimant's interest in the subject property **FORFEITED** to the seizing agency, the Tennessee Highway Patrol.

6. For good cause, the Claimant may move to have the default set aside no later than ten (10) days after service of the order.

The policy reasons for this decision are to uphold the laws of the State of Tennessee while providing appropriate protections for the property rights of individuals.

**It is so ORDERED.**

Entered and effective this the 18<sup>th</sup> day of MARCH 2016.



**ELIZABETH D. CAMBRON  
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE  
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES DIVISION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE**

Filed in the Administrative Procedures Division, Office of the Secretary of State, this the 18<sup>th</sup> day of MARCH 2016.



**J. RICHARD COLLIER, DIRECTOR  
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES DIVISION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE**

**APPENDIX A TO INITIAL ORDER**  
**NOTICE OF APPEAL PROCEDURES**

**Review of Initial Order**

This Initial Order shall become a Final Order (reviewable as set forth below) fifteen (15) days after the entry date of this Initial Order, unless either or both of the following actions are taken:

(1) A party files a petition for appeal to the agency, stating the basis of the appeal, or the agency on its own motion gives written notice of its intention to review the Initial Order, within fifteen (15) days after the entry date of the Initial Order. If either of these actions occurs, there is no Final Order until review by the agency and entry of a new Final Order or adoption and entry of the Initial Order, in whole or in part, as the Final Order. A petition for appeal to the agency must be filed within the proper time period with the Administrative Procedures Division of the Office of the Secretary of State, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor, William R. Snodgrass Tower, 312 Rosa L. Parks Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, 37243. (Telephone No. (615) 741-7008). See Tennessee Code Annotated, Section (T.C.A. §) 4-5-315, on review of initial orders by the agency.

(2) A party files a petition for reconsideration of this Initial Order, stating the specific reasons why the Initial Order was in error within fifteen (15) days after the entry date of the Initial Order. This petition must be filed with the Administrative Procedures Division at the above address. A petition for reconsideration is deemed denied if no action is taken within twenty (20) days of filing. A new fifteen (15) day period for the filing of an appeal to the agency (as set forth in paragraph (1) above) starts to run from the entry date of an order disposing of a petition for reconsideration, or from the twentieth day after filing of the petition, if no order is issued. See T.C.A. §4-5-317 on petitions for reconsideration.

A party may petition the agency for a stay of the Initial Order within seven (7) days after the entry date of the order. See T.C.A. §4-5-316.

**Review of Final Order**

Within fifteen (15) days after the Initial Order becomes a Final Order, a party may file a petition for reconsideration of the Final Order, in which petitioner shall state the specific reasons why the Initial Order was in error. If no action is taken within twenty (20) days of filing of the petition, it is deemed denied. See T.C.A. §4-5-317 on petitions for reconsideration.

A party may petition the agency for a stay of the Final Order within seven (7) days after the entry date of the order. See T.C.A. §4-5-316.

**YOU WILL NOT RECEIVE FURTHER NOTICE OF THE INITIAL ORDER BECOMING A FINAL ORDER**

A person who is aggrieved by a final decision in a contested case may seek judicial review of the Final Order by filing a petition for review in a Chancery Court having jurisdiction (generally, Davidson County Chancery Court) within sixty (60) days after the entry date of a Final Order or, if a petition for reconsideration is granted, within sixty (60) days of the entry date of the Final Order disposing of the petition. (However, the filing of a petition for reconsideration does not itself act to extend the sixty day period, if the petition is not granted.) A reviewing court also may order a stay of the Final Order upon appropriate terms. See T.C.A. §4-5-322 and §4-5-317.



**BEFORE THE COMMISSIONER OF THE  
TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY AND HOMELAND SECURITY**

<b>IN THE MATTER OF:</b>	)	
	)	
<b>TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	<b>DOCKET NO: 19.05-133056J</b>
	)	<b>Safety Case No. Q 2749</b>
<b>ONE 1994 CHRYSLER CONCORD,</b>	)	
<b>VIN: 2C3EL56TXRH159732</b>	)	
<b>Seized From: Dwight David Bull</b>	)	
<b>Date of Seizure: 9/26/14</b>	)	
<b>Claimant: Christy Dalton</b>	)	
<b>Seizing Agency: Tennessee Highway Patrol</b>	)	
<b>Lienholder: None filed.</b>	)	

---

**INITIAL ORDER OF DEFAULT AND DISMISSAL**

---

This matter was set to be heard on January 12, 2016, before Elizabeth D. Cambron, Administrative Judge, assigned by the Secretary of State to sit for the Commissioner of the Tennessee Department of Safety and Homeland Security (the Department) in Fall Branch, Tennessee. Mr. Patrick Rice, Staff Attorney, represented the Department. The Claimant, Ms. Christy Dalton, was not present nor was an attorney present on her behalf.

The subject of this hearing was the proposed forfeiture of the subject vehicle. The matter was heard upon the Department's motion to be granted an Initial Order of Default and Dismissal due to the Claimant's failure to appear at the hearing after receiving proper notice thereof. After consideration of the record it is determined that the Department's motion is proper and should be **GRANTED**. It is further **ORDERED** that the subject property should be **FORFEITED** to the

seizing agency. This decision is based upon the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. The subject of this hearing was the seizure of a 1994 Chrysler Concord from Dwight David Bull by the Tennessee Highway Patrol on September 26, 2014. The Claimant, Ms. Christy Dalton, filed a petition for the return of the subject property and requested that a hearing be scheduled to consider that claim.

2. The Claimant was sent notice of the hearing by certified mail. Postal records indicate that the notice was sent to the Claimant at the address given when the Petition for a Hearing was filed. The notice was returned to the Department as unable to be forwarded on December 26, 2015, and received by the Department on December 28, 2016. The Claimant has not provided an updated address to the Department.

3. The Claimant did not appear on the day of the hearing, no attorney appeared on her behalf, and no continuance had been requested.

4. The Department's witnesses were present and the Department was ready to proceed with the case.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW and ANALYSIS**

1. TENN. COMP. R. & REG. 1340-2-2-.11(2) (2015), RULES OF PROCEDURE FOR ASSET FORFEITURE HEARINGS, provides:

In serving a "Notice of Hearing," the Legal Division shall rely upon the addresses of record as given by a claimant or by claimant's counsel. Proof of service per Rule 1340-2-2-.03(4) to

the addresses of record shall establish a rebuttable presumption that claimant or claimant's counsel received notice of the hearing date.

2. TENN. COMP. R. & REG. 1340-2-2-.17(1) provides, in relevant part:

(d) No default shall be entered against a claimant for failure to attend except upon proof that the Legal Division has given notice of hearing per RULE 1340-02-02-.06(2)(e).

(e) Upon default by a party, an administrative judge may enter either an initial default order or an order for an uncontested proceeding. An order under this part must be in writing, with reasons given and appeal rights stated. Uncontested proceedings may go forward at the time of default. These orders must subsequently be filed with the Legal Division.

(f) The defaulting party, no later than ten (10) days after service of an order may file a motion for reconsideration under TENN. CODE ANN. § 4-5-317, requesting that the default be set aside for good cause shown, and stating the grounds relied upon. The administrative judge may enter an order pursuant to TENN. CODE ANN. § 4-5-317. These orders by an administrative judge are reviewable by the commissioner.

3. TENN. COMP. R. & REG. 1340-2-2-.17(2)(b) states, in relevant part:

Upon a default by a claimant, a claimant's claim shall be stricken by initial default order or, if the agency requests, the agency may proceed uncontested.

4. The State's motion for default having been granted, it is therefore **ORDERED** that Claimant's **CLAIM BE STRICKEN**. The claim having been stricken, it is as if no claim had ever been filed, evoking TENN. CODE ANN. § 40-33-206(c), which specifies that "If a claim . . . is not filed with the applicable agency within the time specified by this part, the seized property shall be forfeited and disposed of as provided by law."


5. Based upon the foregoing, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Claimant's claim be **STRICKEN**, the matter **DISMISSED**, and the Claimant's interest in the subject property **FORFEITED** to the seizing agency, the Tennessee Highway Patrol.

6. For good cause, the Claimant may move to have the default set aside no later than ten (10) days after service of the order.


The policy reasons for this decision are to uphold the laws of the State of Tennessee while providing appropriate protections for the property rights of individuals.

**It is so ORDERED.**

Entered and effective this the 18TH day of MARCH 2016.

  
**ELIZABETH D. CAMBRON**  
**ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE**  
**ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES DIVISION**  
**OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE**

Filed in the Administrative Procedures Division, Office of the Secretary of State, this the 18TH day of MARCH 2016.

  
**J. RICHARD COLLIER, DIRECTOR**  
**ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES DIVISION**  
**OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE**

**APPENDIX A TO INITIAL ORDER**  
**NOTICE OF APPEAL PROCEDURES**

**Review of Initial Order**

This Initial Order shall become a Final Order (reviewable as set forth below) fifteen (15) days after the entry date of this Initial Order, unless either or both of the following actions are taken:

(1) A party files a petition for appeal to the agency, stating the basis of the appeal, or the agency on its own motion gives written notice of its intention to review the Initial Order, within fifteen (15) days after the entry date of the Initial Order. If either of these actions occurs, there is no Final Order until review by the agency and entry of a new Final Order or adoption and entry of the Initial Order, in whole or in part, as the Final Order. A petition for appeal to the agency must be filed within the proper time period with the Administrative Procedures Division of the Office of the Secretary of State, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor, William R. Snodgrass Tower, 312 Rosa L. Parks Avenue, Nashville, Tennessee, 37243. (Telephone No. (615) 741-7008). See Tennessee Code Annotated, Section (T.C.A. §) 4-5-315, on review of initial orders by the agency.

(2) A party files a petition for reconsideration of this Initial Order, stating the specific reasons why the Initial Order was in error within fifteen (15) days after the entry date of the Initial Order. This petition must be filed with the Administrative Procedures Division at the above address. A petition for reconsideration is deemed denied if no action is taken within twenty (20) days of filing. A new fifteen (15) day period for the filing of an appeal to the agency (as set forth in paragraph (1) above) starts to run from the entry date of an order disposing of a petition for reconsideration, or from the twentieth day after filing of the petition, if no order is issued. See T.C.A. §4-5-317 on petitions for reconsideration.

A party may petition the agency for a stay of the Initial Order within seven (7) days after the entry date of the order. See T.C.A. §4-5-316.

**Review of Final Order**

Within fifteen (15) days after the Initial Order becomes a Final Order, a party may file a petition for reconsideration of the Final Order, in which petitioner shall state the specific reasons why the Initial Order was in error. If no action is taken within twenty (20) days of filing of the petition, it is deemed denied. See T.C.A. §4-5-317 on petitions for reconsideration.

A party may petition the agency for a stay of the Final Order within seven (7) days after the entry date of the order. See T.C.A. §4-5-316.

**YOU WILL NOT RECEIVE FURTHER NOTICE OF THE INITIAL ORDER BECOMING A FINAL ORDER**

A person who is aggrieved by a final decision in a contested case may seek judicial review of the Final Order by filing a petition for review in a Chancery Court having jurisdiction (generally, Davidson County Chancery Court) within sixty (60) days after the entry date of a Final Order or, if a petition for reconsideration is granted, within sixty (60) days of the entry date of the Final Order disposing of the petition. (However, the filing of a petition for reconsideration does not itself act to extend the sixty day period, if the petition is not granted.) A reviewing court also may order a stay of the Final Order upon appropriate terms. See T.C.A. §4-5-322 and §4-5-317.