



University of Tennessee, Knoxville
**Trace: Tennessee Research and Creative
Exchange**

Tennessee Department of State, Opinions from the
Administrative Procedures Division

Law

7-20-2010

DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY vs. D.O.S. Case #
J4659 One 1996 Honda Accord VIN:
1HGCD5687TA135276, Seized From: Shannon
Lawson, Seizure Date: 5/21/09, Claimant:
Shannon Lawson, Lienholder: None Filed Seizing
Agency: Knox Co. S. D.

Follow this and additional works at: http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_lawopinions

 Part of the [Administrative Law Commons](#)

This Initial Order by the Administrative Judges of the Administrative Procedures Division, Tennessee Department of State, is a public document made available by the College of Law Library, and the Tennessee Department of State, Administrative Procedures Division. For more information about this public document, please contact administrative.procedures@tn.gov

**BEFORE THE COMMISSIONER
OF THE
TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY**

IN THE MATTER OF:]	
]	
DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY]	
]	
v.]	DOCKET # 19.05-108611J
]	D.O.S. Case # J4659
One 1996 Honda Accord]	
VIN: 1HGCD5687TA135276]	
Seized From: Shannon Lawson]	
Seizure Date: 5/21/09]	
Claimant: Shannon Lawson]	
Lienholder: None Filed]	
Seizing Agency: Knox Co. S. D.]	

ORDER OF FORFEITURE FOLLOWING SHOW-CAUSE HEARING

This matter was heard in Knoxville, Tennessee on July 20, 2010, before J. Randall LaFevor, Administrative Judge assigned by the Secretary of State, Administrative Procedures Division, sitting for the Commissioner of the Tennessee Department of Safety. Ms. Nina Harris, Staff Attorney for the Tennessee Department of Safety, represented the State. The Claimant was not present, either in person or through legal counsel.

This Show-Cause hearing was convened to consider the proposed forfeiture of the subject property based on allegations that its possession and/or receipt by the Claimant was in violation of Tennessee law. Upon the Claimant's failure to appear at the hearing, counsel for the State made an oral motion for an order finding the Claimant to be in default, pursuant to TCA § 4-5-309. Upon full consideration of the evidence received at the hearing and the entire record in this case, the State's motion was granted. The Claimant was found to be in default, and the claim filed in this matter was stricken, as supported by the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Claimant's vehicle was seized pursuant to law, resulting in the issuance of a Property Forfeiture Warrant. The Claimant filed a claim seeking the return of the seized vehicle, and requesting that a hearing be scheduled to consider that claim.
2. On March 8, 2010, the Department of Safety entered a Settlement Order to return the seized vehicle upon the performance of specified conditions. The Claimant failed to perform according to that Order. In the event of such a failure, the Order provides for forfeiture of the vehicle to the seizing agency.
3. A Show-Cause hearing was scheduled for the Claimant to demonstrate why that provision of the Order should not be put into effect. The State sent notice of the hearing time and location to the Claimant's attorney by certified mail.
4. The Claimant did not appear at the Show-Cause hearing, and was not otherwise represented. Based on the Claimant's failure to appear, the State made an oral motion for the entry of an Order of Default.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW and ANALYSIS

1. Tennessee Code Annotated § 4-5-309(a) provides that "if a party fails to attend or participate in a pre-hearing conference, hearing or other stage of a contested case, the administrative judge . . . may hold the party in default . . ." An order holding an absent party in default is authorized by Rule 1340-2-2-.17(1)(a), TENN. COMP. R. & REGS., *Rules of Procedure for Asset Forfeiture Hearings*.
2. Department of Safety Regulations governing asset forfeiture hearings also provide:
 - (e) Upon default by a party, an administrative judge may enter either an initial default order or an order for an uncontested proceeding . . .

Rule 1340-2-2-.17(1), TENN. COMP. R. & REGS., *Rules of Procedure for Asset Forfeiture Hearings*.

And, that

Upon a default by a claimant, a **claimant's claim shall be stricken by initial default order**, or, if the agency requests, the agency may proceed uncontested.

See, Rule 1340-2-2-.17(2)(b), TENN. COMP. R. & REGS., *Rules of Procedure for Asset Forfeiture Hearings*. (Bold emphasis added.)

3. In accordance with the law, as set forth above, it is determined that the State's motion is well-taken. The State properly notified the Claimant of the Show-Cause hearing, as shown by the Postal Service notation [Hearing Exhibit #1], and she failed to appear at the hearing. Pursuant to the cited authority, the Claimant is hereby found to be in default for failing to appear at the Show-Cause hearing.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the Claimant's claim is stricken from the record, and dismissed. The Claimant's interest in the seized property is Ordered forfeited to the Seizing Agency, the Knox County Sheriff's Department.

Entered and effective this 27th day of July, 2010.

J. Randall LaFevor, Administrative Judge

Filed in the Administrative Procedures Division, Office of the Secretary of State, this 27th day of July, 2010.



Thomas G. Stovall, Director
Administrative Procedures Division