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Abstract: Switchgrass is a high yielding, low-input intensive, native perennial grass that has been promoted as a major second-generation bioenergy crop. Raw switchgrass is not a readily acceptable feedstock in existing power plants that were built to accommodate coal and peat. The objective of this research was to elucidate some of the characteristics of switchgrass biochar produced via carbonization and to explore its potential use as a solid fuel. Samples were carbonized in a batch reactor under reactor temperatures of 300, 350 and 400 °C for 1, 2 and 3 h residence times. Biochar mass yield and volatile solids decreased from 82.6% to 35.2% and from 72.1% to 43.9%, respectively, by increasing carbonization temperatures from 300 °C to 400 °C and residence times from 1 h to 3 h. Conversely, biochar heating value (HV) and fixed carbon content increased from 17.6 MJ kg$^{-1}$ to 21.9 MJ kg$^{-1}$ and from 22.5% to 44.9%, respectively, under the same conditions. A biomass discoloration index (BDI) was created to quantify changes in biochar colors as affected by the two tested parameters. The maximum BDI of 77% was achieved at a carbonization temperature of 400 °C and a residence time of 3 h. The use of this index could be expanded to quantify biochar characteristics as affected by thermochemical treatments. Carbonized biochar could be considered a high quality solid fuel based on its energy content.
1. Introduction

Switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.) is a North American native grass that has been promoted as a model bioenergy crop because of its high-yield potential, low input requirements on marginal soils, and potential for soil carbon sequestration [1]. Typical yields range between 10 and 13 metric tons per hectare in the Midwest, while in Southwestern states (Texas, Arkansas and Louisiana) yields average between 12 and 22 metric tons per hectare [2,3]. As a bioenergy feedstock, switchgrass has a gross calorific value between 18 MJ kg\(^{-1}\) and 19 MJ kg\(^{-1}\) [4,5], an energy content comparable to that of hardwoods (19–21 MJ kg\(^{-1}\)) [6]. Several barriers faced the utilization of switchgrass as the sole source of fuel in combustors including the high moisture and ash contents in biomass, which cause ignition and combustion problems. In addition, the low melting point of the ash, which causes fouling and slagging problems [7]. Sami *et al.* [8] reported that blending biomass with coal would reduce flame stability problems, as well as lead to a significant reductions in pollutant emissions. Consequently, a multitude of studies has investigated switchgrass conversion, particularly thermochemical conversion to liquid or solid biofuels and bioenergy.

During the thermal conversion of switchgrass, the main constituents, *i.e.*, cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin undergo structural transformations. These transformations depend on the severity of the treatment (temperature and duration) and on the environment in which the treatment occurs. In processes less severe than combustion, such as torrefaction, pyrolysis, and gasification, the biomass is converted to a dark, carbon-rich solid fraction (biochar) in addition to volatile products that contain both condensable and non-condensable organic compounds. Yield of solids, volatiles, and the exact characteristics of each product depend on thermal parameters and resident time. For instance, gasification and fast pyrolysis processes produce about 10% and 25% biochar [9].

Various studies investigated biochar production from herbaceous crops, particularly from switchgrass feedstock. Imam and Capareda [10] reported increases in switchgrass biochar heating value (HV), carbon content, and surface area and decreases in biochar yield, hydrogen, and nitrogen contents with increasing pyrolysis temperatures (400, 500 and 600 °C). Boateng *et al.* [4] discussed the potential use of pyrolysis biochar as fuel to supply part of the thermal energy necessary during switchgrass pyrolysis. However, they noted that the high ash content of the char (25% by mass) would be problematic in further thermal conversion, *i.e.*, combustion. Agblevor and Besler [11] showed that under pyrolysis conditions, ash minerals such as calcium, potassium and phosphorous are entirely sequestered in the biochar fraction whereas sulfur, nitrogen, and chlorine are partially converted to gaseous and condensable products. The high ash content of biochar and the low-melting point of char minerals have prompted the investigation of the thermal and the non-thermal uses for the biochar.

Recently, focuses have shifted towards investigating technologies such as torrefaction and carbonization, which produce more biochar than gaseous or liquid biofuels. Torrefaction is a mild thermal upgrading process (200–300 °C) in a non-oxidative environment used to improve physical and chemical characteristics of the biomass to aid in further conversion to biofuels [12]. This is achievable...
by facilitating decomposition of easily degraded volatile matter (hemicellulose) and repolymerization of cellulose and lignin [13]. The torrefied biomass resembles the original material in shape and size but is darker, friable, and hydrophobic with a higher calorific content [14]. Carbonization, a more severe treatment compared to torrefaction, focuses mainly on the production of biochar by slowly heating the biomass to temperatures above 300 °C in an oxygen-free environment. Biochar produced from carbonization process is carbon-rich solid with favorable solid fuel qualities comparable to low quality coal. Carbonized biomass has closely related properties to coal, i.e., easy to crush, grind or pulverize as compared with torrefied biomass. Therefore, carbonized biomass gains much higher energy density than the raw biomass and torrefied biomass, which makes it more acceptable for co-firing [15]. The carbonization process has been in practice for centuries, but only still practiced in developing countries [16]. In this process, wood is converted to coal-like feedstock that is useful as cooking and heating fuel. Typical solid product yields obtained by carbonization of dry wood, i.e., 37% are significantly lower as compared to the solid product yields by torrefaction of the same feedstock, i.e., 84% [9]. Brewer et al. [17] compared biochar produced from fast pyrolysis and gasification of switchgrass. They reported that fast pyrolysis produced biochar with contain 16.4% volatile solids and 16.3 MJ kg\(^{-1}\) calorific value whereas, gasification produced biochar contain only 10.3% volatile solids and comparable calorific value of 15.9 MJ kg\(^{-1}\). Biochar production techniques have been developed mainly for woody feedstocks. However, during the last few decades, perennial herbaceous species such as switchgrass, and miscanthus (Miscanthus giganteus) started to gain attention as potential feedstocks for both energy and biochar production. Generally, studies have been carried out on pyrolysis biochar, with few investigations on torrefaction and carbonization biochars, which may hold superior characteristics as an energy source [9,18]. Therefore, the objective of this research was to study the physical, chemical, and thermochemical characteristics of switchgrass biochar produced under different carbonization temperatures and residence times in a batch system and explore its potential use as a solid biofuel.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Feedstock Collection and Preparation

The feedstock used in this study was a lowland switchgrass variety (cv. Alamo) grown near Vonore, Tennessee. It was harvested in November 2011, field cured to <25% moisture, and ground to 2.5 cm particle size prior to storage in silos. The chopped switchgrass was then transported to the Rice Research and Extension Center, University of Arkansas, Stuttgart, Arkansas, where this study was conducted.

2.2. Carbonization Procedure

The carbonization experiments were carried out in 3.78-L cylindrical metal containers filled with switchgrass samples and placed in a controllable muffle furnace (Neytech Vulcan furnace, Model 3-1750, Bloomfield, CT, USA). Each container was loaded with 400 g of chopped switchgrass before tightly securing the lid allowing only the evolved volatiles to escape through small vents on the lid, five openings of 3 mm in diameter each. In this study, the evolved volatiles were not collected nor quantified. Three temperature levels (300, 350 and 400 °C) and three residence times (1, 2 and 3 h) were tested in
triplicates to explore their influence on quantity and quality of biochar. Raw samples were placed in the heated furnace once the desired temperature level was achieved. After retrieval from heated furnace, containers were immediately covered with aluminum foil in order to prevent char oxidation and allowed to cool down.

2.3. Feedstock Characterization

A representative biochar sample from each experimental replicate was collected and placed in a Ziploc bag. Physical, chemical, and thermochemical characteristics of raw and carbonized switchgrass were determined following standard procedures listed in Table 1. This table also shows the equipment used in these evaluations as well as the characteristics of raw switchgrass. The average and standard division of the three replicates were recorded. Moisture content of the biochar samples was determined gravimetrically by measuring the difference between the sample weight and the dried sample weight after being dried for 24 h in a furnace at 105 °C, then obtaining the ratio between this value and the biochar weight. Composite samples from each three replicates were mixed thoroughly and stored in an individual Ziploc bag. Nine switchgrass biochar samples as well as one raw switchgrass sample were sent to an analytical laboratory (Huffman Laboratories, Inc., Golden, CO, USA) to determine their elemental composition.

Table 1. Raw switchgrass characteristics. Note: characteristics were determined using triplicate samples except for the ultimate analyses, i.e., one sample. ICP: inductively coupled plasma; HV: heating value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics (units)</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Measuring method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture content (%) wb</td>
<td>9.1 (0.5)</td>
<td>Furnace</td>
<td>Drying at 105 °C</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile solids (%) db</td>
<td>73.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>Muffle furnace</td>
<td>Combustion at 550 °C</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (%) db</td>
<td>3.8 (0.2)</td>
<td>Muffle furnace</td>
<td>Combustion at 550 °C</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed carbon (%) db</td>
<td>23.1 (0.8)</td>
<td>By difference</td>
<td>100-volatile solids-ash</td>
<td>By difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density (kg m⁻³)</td>
<td>132.0 (3.0)</td>
<td>Constant volume container, scale</td>
<td>Weighing constant volumes of feedstock</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>Element analyzer</td>
<td>Combustion</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>(Huffman, Golden, CO, USA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>By difference</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>LECO CN2000</td>
<td>Nitric acid/hydrogen peroxide digest on heating block</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(St. Joseph, MI, USA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>SPECTRO ICP</td>
<td></td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Mahwah, NJ, USA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH (-)</td>
<td>6.06 (0.3)</td>
<td>SB70P, Symp Hony, VWR</td>
<td>Probe</td>
<td>OMEGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Radnor, PA, USA)</td>
<td></td>
<td>water Analyzer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PHH-500 Series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HV (MJ kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>15.02 (1.5)</td>
<td>Parr Instruments, Model 1241, Parr (Moline, IL, USA)</td>
<td>Oxygen bomb calorimeter</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volumetric energy (GJ m⁻³)</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Equation (6) shown in Section 3.4.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ¹ Wet, weight-basis; ² Numbers in parentheses are standard divisions; and ³ Dry, weight-basis.
2.4. Biochar Mass Yield

The biochar mass yield was calculated using Equation (1) below:

\[
Yield_{\text{biochar}} = \frac{m_{\text{biochar}}}{m_{\text{raw}}} \times 100\%
\]  

where \(Yield_{\text{biochar}}\) = mass yield of biochar, %; \(m_{\text{biochar}}\) = mass of biochar, kg; \(m_{\text{raw}}\) = mass of raw switchgrass, kg.

2.5. Biomass Discoloration Index (BDI)

A series of images of the raw and carbonized samples (2 g of material per treatment combination) were captured. One composite sample was analyzed for each experimental unit. Samples were spread in a monolayer over a 10-cm diameter filter paper prior to imaging. Care was taken to spread each sample over that area to eliminate any background reflective interference. Images were captured using a Nikon® digital camera (Model D90, Tokyo, Japan) with a Nikkor® lens. All images were captured using the same camera settings: 60 mm focal length, 1/20 s shutter speed, and f/9.5 aperture setting. All images were captured under the same lighting conditions. Images were uniformly framed to capture a real-area of 6.0 cm × 6.0 cm containing only biochar samples. The image processing package included in MATLAB® R2012b software platform (Mathworks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA) was used to convert the captured images from real-color photographs, i.e., red-green-blue (RGB) scale to greyscale images (pixel value 0 = black, and pixel value 255 = white). The same software was then used to generate a greyscale histogram for the image pixel values as well as the mean, and the standard deviation for each image matrix. In order to measure the extent of the biomass color change, the mean value for each image matrix was related to the mean value for the greyscale image of the raw switchgrass to develop an index, BDI, as shown in the formula below:

\[
\text{BDI} = \left[1 - \frac{\text{Mean pixel value of carbonized biochar image}}{\text{Mean pixel value of raw switchgrass image}}\right] \times 100\% 
\]  

2.6. Biochar Thermal Degradation

In order to closely observe the devolatilization rate and the biochar formation in both raw and carbonized switchgrass, thermogravimetric tests were carried out on finely-ground switchgrass samples (\(d_p < 63\) μm) (raw and carbonized) in a thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA 4000-PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). These tests studied the weight loss profile in an inert environment (i.e., nitrogen) using a 20 °C min\(^{-1}\) heating rate. The weight loss rate can be expressed as follows:

\[
-\frac{dW}{dt} = k \times W^n
\]  

where \(W\) = sample weight, mg; \(t\) = reaction time, s; \(n\) = reaction order; and \(k\) = rate constant.

The rate constant, \(k\) can be expressed using the Arrhenius equation, as a function of the pre-exponential factor \((A)\), the activation energy \((E_a)\), and temperature \((T)\):

\[
-\frac{dW}{dt} = A \times e^{-\frac{E_a}{RT}} \times W^n
\]
This equation can be then linearized [26] as follows:

$$\ln \left( -\frac{1}{W_o - W_f} \times \frac{dW}{dt} \right) = \ln(A) - \frac{E_a}{RT} + n \times \ln \left( \frac{W - W_f}{W_o - W_f} \right)$$  (5)

where $W_o =$ initial sample weight, mg; $W_f =$ final sample weight, mg.

Multiple linear regression (using Microsoft® Excel 2010) was used to extract the values of activation energy, reaction order and pre-exponential factor for the raw, and the carbonized samples during the major weight loss stage, *i.e.*, 220–400 °C [27].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Biochar Mass Yield as Influenced by Carbonization Temperature and Residence Time

Increasing carbonization temperature and/or residence time significantly decreased biochar mass yield ($p < 0.05$), as shown in Figure 1. The lowest biochar mass yield, *i.e.*, 35.2% ± 0.6%, was observed at the carbonization temperature of 400 °C and residence time of 3 h. The mass yield reduction is attributed to the thermal effect which results in the loss of moisture, followed by the depolymerization of the switchgrass secondary cell wall constituents, *i.e.*, hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin. Balat *et al.* [28] reported that biomass depolymerization typically result in the release of carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, and water, in addition to the formation of aromatic carbon structures, *i.e.*, char. Correspondingly, increasing the residence time ensured that thermal treatment levels overcame inter-particle heat transfer resistances. Similar yields, 71% to 12%, were reported for switchgrass between 300 °C and 500 °C [29]. However, at a carbonization temperature of 400 °C, the increase in residence time from 2 h to 3 h resulted in only a marginal decrease in mass yield from 35.5% ± 0.3% to 35.2% ± 0.6%.

![Figure 1. Effects of carbonization temperature and residence time on biochar mass yield.](image)

3.2. Effects of Carbonization Temperature and Residence Time on the Physical Characteristics of Biochar

3.2.1. Biochar Moisture and Volatile Solids Contents as Influenced by Reactor Temperature and Residence Time

Carbonization caused a decline in the biochar moisture content under the two tested parameters: temperature and residence time (Figure 2). Average moisture content of raw switchgrass was 9.1% ± 0.5% (wet-basis), which is close to the values reported by Sokhansanj *et al.* [30] for raw switchgrass (Table 1).
Under thermal treatment of biomass, moisture loss, which is the first stage of biomass decomposition, typically starts at temperatures slightly above 50 °C. In this study, the moisture content was found to be more sensitive to carbonization temperature than to the duration of carbonization. For example, the feedstock carbonized at 300 °C dropped to moisture contents of 6.7% ± 0.1% and 5.6% ± 0.1% after 1 h and 3 h residence times, respectively, whereas switchgrass carbonized at 350 °C dropped to 5.6% ± 0.1% moisture content after only 1 h (Figure 2). From these observations, it can be inferred that the heating-up period for carbonized switchgrass after the majority of moisture loss occurred is short compared to the shortest residence time tested, i.e., 1 h.

![Figure 2. Effects of carbonization temperature and residence time on biochar moisture content.](image)

The lowest moisture content (4.3% ± 0.2%) was observed at 400 °C after 2 h residence time. Although the tested carbonization temperatures exceeded those used in biomass drying (85–105 °C), the moisture removal in carbonized feedstock was incomplete. The moisture remaining in the carbonized feedstock might be attributable, in part, to decomposition reactions. Demirbaş [31] reported that the decomposition of glucose, the main component of cellulose, produces glucosan and water. In addition, the evolved moisture was not swept out of the carbonization reactor by a carrier gas but instead released via natural convection.

Volatile matter content was adversely affected by carbonization temperature and residence time (Figure 3). Lowest temperature treatment, i.e., 300 °C, resulted in minor changes to the volatile matter content from 73.1% ± 0.6% to 72.1% ± 0.3%, 71.1% ± 0.4% and 69.7% ± 0.4% (1.4%, 2.7% and 4.7% volatile matter loss) corresponding to 1, 2 and 3 h, respectively. However, increasing the carbonization temperature caused a significant loss of volatile matter content corresponding to the decomposition of switchgrass hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin components. The majority of volatile matter loss observed in carbonization tests took place under conditions where the cellulose (the largest constituent) underwent thermal decomposition, i.e., in 350 °C and 400 °C. The highest volatile matter loss from 73.1% ± 0.6% to 43.9% ± 1.9% (a 39.9% reduction), was observed in the switchgrass carbonized at 400 °C and 3 h residence time.

Devolatilization of switchgrass volatile matter constituents occur over different temperature ranges: hemicellulose between 220 °C and 315 °C; cellulose between 315 °C and 400 °C; while lignin decomposition takes place mostly above 400 °C [27]. Lee et al. [32] also reported that, on a dry weight basis, the hemicellulose content in switchgrass falls within 22% to 35%, whereas the cellulose falls between 31% and 45%, and the lignin between 17% and 22%.
3.2.2. Biochar Ash and Fixed Carbon Contents as Influenced by Carbonization Temperature and Residence Time

During biomass conversion, transitional metals such as copper, manganese and zinc, and part of the alkali and alkaline earth metallic (AAEM) species such as sodium, magnesium, potassium, and calcium do not volatilize but instead form inorganic salts or carbonates and oxides, which are referred to collectively as ash. Increasing carbonization temperature and/or residence time significantly increased ash content from 3.8% ± 0.2% (dry-matter basis) in the raw switchgrass up to three-fold, i.e., 11.3% ± 1.0% under the most severe conditions i.e., 400 °C and 3 h residence time (Figure 4). High concentrations of these inorganic fractions, despite having a catalytic role during thermochemical conversion, are problematic due to their low melting points [33,34]. Biomass washing using diluted acid has been recommended [35] as one of the possible solutions to leach out alkali minerals. This process may support blending and co-firing biomass with coal by supplying a catalyzing co-ingredient while minimizing ash agglomeration which is likely occur when cellulosic biomass is fired alone.

The biomass fixed carbon increased from 23.1% ± 0.8% in the raw switchgrass to 24.4% ± 0.4%, 34.9% ± 2.1%, and 44.9% ± 2.7% after 3 h at 300, 350 and 400 °C, respectively (Figure 5). Comparably, coal has 30.7% volatile matter and 52.8% fixed carbon [8], therefore, the upgraded switchgrass approaches coal characteristics with an increase in carbonization severity, i.e., temperature and residence time. This renders upgraded switchgrass more suitable for blending with coal compared with raw switchgrass.
3.2.3. Biochar Bulk Density as Influenced by Carbonization Temperature and Residence Time

Compositional changes took place under varying carbonization temperature and residence time, which resulted in corresponding bulk density alterations (Figure 6). Organic matter decomposition resulted in the release of moisture, hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin (depending on the severity of the reaction) all of which transformed biomass matrices into a lighter and porous structure. Accordingly, bulk density of carbonized switchgrass dropped under all treatments between 98% and 82% of raw switchgrass bulk density, i.e., $132.0 \pm 3.0$ kg m$^{-3}$. Comparable bulk density changes (e.g., 82% of original bulk density) were reported with the carbonization of wood [36]. Similarly, mild carbonization (torrefaction) of eucalyptus at 280 °C showed a 14% reduction in its bulk density compared to raw bulk density as reported by Rodrigues and Rousset [37]. This drop in the bulk density of carbonized switchgrass necessitates further grinding and densification prior to long-distance transportation in order to minimize transportation costs. However, Bergman and Kiel [38] reported that reductions in bulk density are counterbalanced by feedstock grindability improvements, thereby reducing the energy consumption associated with grinding.

3.2.4. Biochar Discoloration Index as Influenced by Carbonization Temperature and Residence Time

Along with the physical and chemical transformation, several visual changes were observed in carbonized switchgrass, as evidenced by true-color images of switchgrass samples after carbonization treatments (Figure 7). Carbonized sample colors changed from a golden yellow indicative of raw lignocellulosic feedstock, to a darker color as temperature and/or the residence time increased. These changes reflect the destruction of connective, non-structural organic compounds (e.g.,
hemicellulose), and variations in the concentration of carbon in the remaining biochar. However, these visual observations are only descriptive evaluations that need to be quantified in order to compare various biochars.

**Figure 7.** True-color images of carbonized switchgrass.

Consequently, greyscale images of the treated samples (Figure 8) were analyzed statistically to determine the mean and the standard deviation of the pixel values in each image. In a greyscale image, the color of each pixel is represented by a value that falls between 255 and 0, which represent a 100% white color and a 100% black color, respectively.

**Figure 8.** Greyscale images of the carbonized switchgrass.
Thereafter, the BDI was calculated for each sample. The index value increased with increasing reaction severity from 27% at 300 °C and 1 h residence time to 77% at 400 °C and 3 h residence time (Figure 9). This proposed index could potentially capture the extent of biomass conversion through the observed degree of color change. Additional research is needed to expand the utility of this index as a quick and economical approach to evaluate the efficiency of torrefaction or carbonization, i.e., yield, carbon content, and calorific content.

Figure 9. Effects of carbonization temperature and residence time on biomass discoloration index (BDI).

3.3. Effects of Temperature and Residence Time on Chemical Characteristics of Carbonized Switchgrass

3.3.1. Chemical Composition of Carbonized Switchgrass as Influenced by Carbonization Temperature and Residence Time

Results of the elemental analysis of raw switchgrass, shown in Table 1, lists concentrations of the main organic elements: C, H, O, N and S. Compositional elements (C, H, N and O) were traced after carbonization for all conditions (Table 2). Concentrations of carbon and nitrogen increased with the thermal treatment while hydrogen and oxygen concentrations declined. This is explained by the loss of gravimetric moisture as well as the loss of structural water that is released with the decomposition of hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin.

Table 2. Chemical characteristics of switchgrass biochar produced under various thermal conditions and residence times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Chemical formula</th>
<th>Stoichiometric air kg kg⁻¹ biomass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Units</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>CH₁₄O₀.₇₇N₀.₀₁</td>
<td>5.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>CH₁₃₀.₆₅N₀.₀₁</td>
<td>5.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>CH₁₄₅₀.₆₄N₀.₀₁</td>
<td>6.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350 °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>CH₁₄₀₀.₆₆N₀.₀₁</td>
<td>5.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>CH₁₂₁₀.₅₃N₀.₀₁</td>
<td>6.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>CH₁₂₁₀.₅₅N₀.₀₁</td>
<td>6.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>CH₁₀₀₀.₄₉N₀.₀₁</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>CH₁₁₃₀.₄₇N₀.₀₁</td>
<td>6.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>CH₀₉₄₀.₃₉N₀.₀₁</td>
<td>7.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The carbon concentration in the treated switchgrass increased by 12% to 38% compared to that of the raw switchgrass, *i.e.*, 43.2%. This increase depends on the carbonization conditions: temperature and residence time. When compared to torrefaction [39], carbonization doubled carbon concentrations, as evidenced by the Van Krevelen diagram [40], which depicts various hydrocarbon-based feedstocks according to their C–H–O composition (Figure 10). Original feedstock (raw switchgrass) had a relatively high O:C and H:C ratio compared to wood and wheat straw. The influence of thermal treatment is demonstrated by the concurrent trends of declining O:C and H:C with increasing carbonization intensity. The resulting feedstock, through loss of water and volatile matter, increasingly approaches the composition of fossil fuels, and in particular lignite coals.

Figure 10. Van Krevelen diagram showing raw and carbonized switchgrass in addition to a variety of hydrocarbon feedstocks.

Table 2 also shows the stoichiometric formulae for the various carbonized samples, which increasingly change from that of the original switchgrass: \( \text{CH}_{1.73}\text{O}_{0.77}\text{N}_{0.01} \) to approach that of lignite coal: \( \text{CH}_{0.85}\text{O}_{0.34}\text{N}_{0.01} \) [41]. Consequently, the stoichiometric air necessary to gasify or completely combust the carbonized switchgrass and coal are approximately the same, which further facilitates blending and co-firing.

3.3.2. Biochar Acidity/Alkalinity Levels as Influenced by Carbonization Temperature and Residence Time

The pH value of the raw switchgrass was slightly acidic, *i.e.*, 6.06 ± 0.3 (Table 1). All switchgrass carbonized at 300 °C, regardless of the residence time, had lower pH than that for the starting raw switchgrass (Figure 11). However, with the increase in temperature and residence time, pH levels increased and surpassed that of the original feedstock. The lowest pH, 5.78, was observed in switchgrass carbonized at 300 °C and 3 h residence time whereas the highest pH, 7.48, was measured after 3 h at 400 °C. This initial drop in pH can be explained by the fact that most hemicellulose decomposition took place at or below 300 °C, which produces acetic, formic and propionic acids, and water [42]. Char from rice husk, produced at a relatively low temperature (*i.e.*, 300 °C) was found to be acidic (pH < 6); however, char pH increased with increasing charring temperatures [43].
Similarly, Carrier et al. [44] reported that sugarcane bagasse char produced under vacuum pyrolysis conditions (450 °C) contained acidic functional groups, *i.e.*, carboxylic, and phenolic groups on its surface causing the char to be slightly acidic, *i.e.*, pH = 6.56. The gradual increase in char alkalinity with temperature increase may be attributed to the dissociation of AAEM species and the formation and deposition of alkali carbonates on char surfaces [45]. Therefore, with increases in treatment temperature, functional compounds released under lower temperatures undergo continuous rearrangement that scissor the carbonyl group thus deactivating organic acids, which further increase char pH values [46].

**Figure 11.** Effects of carbonization temperature and residence time on biochar pH.

### 3.4. Effects of Carbonization Temperature and Residence Time on Thermochemical Characteristics of Carbonized Switchgrass

#### 3.4.1. Biochar HV as Influenced by Carbonization Temperature and Residence Time

HV of as-received raw switchgrass reported in the present study, *i.e.*, 15.0 MJ kg\(^{-1}\), was slightly lower than the HV of switchgrass reported by Sami et al. [8], *i.e.*, 15.9 MJ kg\(^{-1}\). HV of switchgrass biochar increased (*p* < 0.05) with increasing carbonization temperature and/or residence time (Figure 12).

**Figure 12.** Effects of carbonization temperature and residence time on biochar HV.

Compared to an initial HV of the as-received raw switchgrass of 15.0 MJ kg\(^{-1}\), the carbonized switchgrass HV ranged between 17.6 ± 0.5 MJ kg\(^{-1}\) (*i.e.*, 300 °C and 1 h) and 21.9 ± 0.5 MJ kg\(^{-1}\) (*i.e.*, 400 °C and 3 h). Accordingly, increasing the carbonization temperature from 300 °C to 400 °C and the residence time from 1 h to 3 h, increased the energy density by 16.6% to 45.0%. Prins et al. [40] reported a 17% increase in the energy density at the torrefaction temperature of 270 °C and residence...
time of 15 min. Increases in the calorific value of biochars may be attributed to the decomposition of hemicellulose and cellulose. Reportedly, based on calorific values, lignin possess the highest energy content per unit mass of switchgrass (23.3–27.0 MJ kg\(^{-1}\)), followed by cellulose (18.6 MJ kg\(^{-1}\)), then hemicellulose (13.6 MJ kg\(^{-1}\)) [47,48]. Therefore, the carbonization process is, in essence, an energy densification step in which the low energy density components are volatized, with the remaining material containing more energy per unit mass.

Dissimilar to most pyrolysis studies, volatilized species were not readily purged from the carbonization chamber, therefore, these species might have acted here as oxygen-donors causing partial oxidation to the solid fraction. The biochar HV reported herein were lower than those reported in previous studies, i.e., 300 °C via pyrolysis, which had a HHV of 24.5 MJ kg\(^{-1}\) [49]. The lower energy content range observed in this study may be in part due to the low HV of raw switchgrass compared to the one in the cited study (20.7 MJ kg\(^{-1}\)), likely due to the great phenotypic variation in this species. In addition, the HV determined in the present study for the raw switchgrass and the produced biochar were reported for as-received and as-produced feedstock, respectively. Raw switchgrass contain 9.1% moisture content. On the other hand, carbonized switchgrass contain between 6.7% and 4.0% moisture content.

Considering that carbonization entails losing a fraction of the energy embedded in volatiles, i.e., hemicellulose, and cellulose, these losses must be accounted for when evaluating the carbonization process. This is typically calculated by incorporating mass yield into the energy yield concept [50]. Consequently, the HV was converted to volumetric energy density by multiplying the net HV by the biochar bulk density (Figure 13):

\[
HV_{\text{volumetric}} = HV_{\text{mass}} \times \rho_B
\]

where \(HV_{\text{volumetric}}\) = volumetric heating value, MJ m\(^{-3}\); \(HV_{\text{mass}}\) = heating value, MJ kg\(^{-1}\); \(\rho_B\) = bulk density, kg m\(^{-3}\).

**Figure 13.** Effects of carbonization temperature and residence time on biochar volumetric HV.

The volumetric energy density of raw switchgrass (i.e., 1.98 GJ m\(^{-3}\)) (GJ = 1000 MJ) was calculated according to Equation (6). The volumetric HV reached its maximum of 2.36 GJ m\(^{-3}\) at the carbonization temperature of 400 °C and residence time of 3 h. Despite the drop in bulk density with increasing carbonization, the volumetric energy density for all carbonized biochar samples were higher than that of raw switchgrass. Evidently, the increase in the calorific content for carbonized switchgrass surpassed the drop in the mass content per unit volume. Using these metrics, the carbonization process
can be considered a trade-off between an energy-dense feedstock and the energy sacrificed to obtain it. Given that most lignocellulosic biomass has to be transported from field to a conversion facility, the low energy density of the raw feedstock becomes a hurdle to economically feasible transportation. However, the carbonization process followed by grinding and pelletization may help overcome this challenge. Also, the fact that carbonization increases the biomass friability, which saves energy during grinding thereby compensating for energy loss associated with carbonization.

3.4.2. Thermogravimetric Analysis of Raw and Carbonized Switchgrass

Time-derivative weight loss of both raw and carbonized samples in nitrogen-enriched environments indicate varying losses corresponding to sequential losses of main components (Figure 14). Weight loss was initiated around 200 °C and showed the first peak at 308 °C, which represents the hemicellulose loss. Thereafter, weight loss continued until the cellulose decomposition peak at 365 °C. The exact temperatures vary with varying the sample-heating rate. However, in this study, a fixed heating rate, 20 °C min\(^{-1}\), was used to compare thermal decomposition patterns. Lignin loss is typically less prominent when compared to hemicellulose and cellulose, and only noticeable as a gradual loss between 200 °C and 550 °C. However, carbonized switchgrass showed a different weight loss pattern than that of the raw switchgrass.

**Figure 14.** The time derivative of weight loss (20 °C min\(^{-1}\), N\(_2\) environment) for switchgrass carbonized at: (a) 300 °C; (b) 350 °C; and (c) 400 °C.
At the lowest carbonization temperature, i.e., 300 °C, weight loss rates dropped noticeably, signaling losses in hemicellulose and cellulose fractions with carbonization. The loss of hemicellulose was noticeably higher than the cellulose, as evidenced by differences in peak heights. With the increase in carbonization temperature and residence time, hemicellulose completely disappeared (under 350 °C and 2 h residence time), followed by a large fraction of the cellulose content. Under the 400 °C, the lignin weight loss in carbonized feedstock became noticeable in comparison to lignin loss from the raw switchgrass. The carbonization did not result in any shift in decomposition temperatures of the main components as evidenced by the alignment of weight loss peaks in raw and the carbonized biomass.

The kinetics triplicate: pre-exponential value, A; the activation energy, E; and, the reaction order, n, represented in Arrhenius equation, were determined from the weight loss time-derivative using a linearization technique (multiple linear regression) as described earlier. These values are needed to predict the decomposition rate under various operating conditions. Activation energy of switchgrass biochar in nitrogen environment ranged between 79.13 kJ mol⁻¹ and 48.61 kJ mol⁻¹ (Table 3). It was noticeable that increasing carbonization temperature and residence time decreased both activation energy and pre-exponential values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>300 °C</th>
<th>350 °C</th>
<th>400 °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>3 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>kJ mol⁻¹</td>
<td>79.13</td>
<td>74.44</td>
<td>68.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>s⁻¹</td>
<td>23600</td>
<td>8820</td>
<td>2670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusions

The effects of carbonization temperature and residence time on switchgrass biochar physical, chemical and thermochemical characteristics were studied and revealed the following findings:

- Biochar mass yield, moisture content and volatile solids decreased by increasing the carbonization temperature and the residence time.
- The values of the newly originated BDI ranged between 27% and 77% and reflected the changes in carbonization severity.
- The maximum biochar HV (21.9 MJ kg⁻¹), volumetric HV (2.36 GJ m⁻³) and fixed carbon content (44.9%) were achieved at the carbonization temperature of 400 °C and residence time of 3 h.
- Activation energy values and the pre-exponential factors of biochar degradation, in a nitrogen environment, decreased as the severity of the carbonization process increased.
- Carbonized switchgrass, through loss of water and volatile matter, increasingly approaches the composition of lignite coals, thus it may serve as a valuable solid biofuel.
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