

### University of Tennessee, Knoxville Trace: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange

Plant Sciences Publications and Other Works

**Plant Sciences** 

Summer 7-1989

# Simulated Acid Rain Effects On Cool-Season Forage Grasses

John H. Reynolds University of Tennessee - Knoxville

Jeff D. Wolt

Follow this and additional works at: http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk\_planpubs Part of the <u>Plant Pathology Commons</u>

#### **Recommended** Citation

Title: Simulated Acid Rain Effects On Cool-Season Forage Grasses. Authors: Reynolds, John H and Wolt, Jeff D. Series Title: University of Tennessee Agriculture Experiment Station Bulletin Date: July 1989 Volume: 670

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Plant Sciences at Trace: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Plant Sciences Publications and Other Works by an authorized administrator of Trace: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange. For more information, please contact trace@utk.edu.

Bulletin 670 July 1989

# Simulated Acid Rain Effects On Cool-Season Forage Grasses

John H. Reynolds Jeff D. Wolt

The University of Tennessee Agricultural Experiment Station Knoxville, Tennessee Bulletin 670, July 1989

.

#### CONTENTS

List of	Figures
List of	Tables
List of	Plant Species in Text
General	Summary
Acknowle	edgments
General	Introduction
Part 1.	Effects of Simulated Acid Rain on Cool-Season Forage Grasses: Greenhouse and Germination Chamber Experiments Kien T. Luu, Jeff D. Wolt, John H. Reynolds, and Cynthia L. Lucas.
Part 2.	Effect of Drop Size and Acidity of Simulated Rain on Direct Foliar Injury of Tall Fescue and Orchardgrass Kien T. Luu, David S. Walker, Jeff D. Wolt, and John H. Reynolds
Part 3.	Simulated Acid Rain and Orchardgrass Seedling Viability G. Wesley Haun, Jeff D. Wolt, John H. Reynolds, William L. Sanders, and John F. Schneider
Part 4.	Forage Yield and Quality of Orchardgrass and Tall Fescue Under Simulated Acid Precipitation John H. Reynolds and Jeff D. Wolt
Appendix	

### List of Figures

~

Figure 2-la.	The relationship of $pH$ to droplet volume for s (initial volume 50 $\mu$ L, initial $pH$ 3.5) placed leaves and monitored for 3.5 or 4 hours.	imulated raindrops on orchardgrass
Figure 2-1b.	The relationship of pH to droplet volume for s (initial volume 50 $\mu$ L, initial pH 3.0) placed leaves <b>and</b> monitored for 3.5 or 4 hours	imulated raindrops on orchardgrass 26
Figure 2-1c.	The relationship of $pH$ to droplet volume for s (initial volume 50 $\mu$ L, initial $pH$ 2.5) placed leaves and monitored for 3.5 or 4 hours.	imulated raindrops on orchardgrass 
Figure 2-ld.	The relationship of pH to droplet volume for s	imulated raindrops

······

	(initial volume 50µL, initial pH 3.0) placed on tall fescue leaves and monitored for 3.5 or 4 hours
Figure 2-le.	The relationship of pH to droplet volume for simulated raindrops (initial volume $50\mu$ L, initial pH 2.5) placed on tall fescue leaves and monitored for 3.5 or 4 hours
Figure 3-1.	Germination and mortality functions are indicated by the shaded area (viability) in Part a. Part b illustrates the function describing germination minus mortality
Figure 3-2.	Comparison of seedling viability as a function of days from seeding for predicted (solid line) vs. actual (+) results. Data are for pH 3.1, replication 1
Figure 3-3.	Germination-mortality functions describing seedling viability as a function of time as affected by simulated rainfall pH38
Figure 4-1.	Dry matter yields of orchardgrass and tall fescue in 1985 and 1986 at four rainfall pH levels and averaged over all cultivars

#### List of Tables

Table 1-1.	Germination and radicle and coleoptile length of bluegrass (B),
	tall fescue (F), orchardgrass (O), and timothy (T) germinated on
	filter paper, as a function of simulated rain acidity 9

- Table 1-2. Germination of bluegrass (B), tall fescue (F), orchardgrass (0), and timothy (T) germinated on Statler and Etowah soil media as a function of simulated rain acidity (first observation). . . .11
- Table 1-4.
   Chemical composition of soil, solutions displaced from soils

   exposed to simulated acidic rain in the germination chamber . .15
- Table 1-5. Mean' visible injury scores, relative fresh weight (FW) and relative dry matter (DM) yields of mature tall fescue (F) and orchardgrass (O) grown in the greenhouse as a function of simulated rain acidity.

Table 1-7.	Mean N, P, K, Ca, and Mg contents of tall fescue and orchardgrass grown in the greenhouse as a function of simulated rain pH (sampled fromblock 2)
Table 2-1.	Effects of simulated acid rain (pH 3.0 and 2.5) droplet volume on foliar lesion development of Potomac orchardgrass and Kenhy tall fescue
Table 3-1.	Best fit germination-mortality functions for relative seedling viability (predicted maximum seedling viability = 100) as a function of days from seeding
Table 4-1.	Weighted mean simulated rainfall composition from September 1984 through December 1986
Table 4-2.	Weighted mean ambient precipitation composition from September through December 1986
Table 4-3.	Repeated measures analysis of dry matter yield of orchardgrass and tall fescue in 1985, 1986, and 1987
Table 4-4.	Effect of simulated rainfall pH on nutrient concentration of orchardgrass forage in 1985
Table 4-5.	Effect of cultivar on nutrient concentration of orchardgrass forage in 1985
Table 4-6.	Effect of simulated rainfall pH on nutrient concentrations in orchardgrass forage at different harvest dates in 198655
Table 4-7.	Effect of simulated rainfall pH on nutrient concentrations in tall fescue forage at different harvest dates in 1986
Table 4-8.	Effect of cultivar on nutrient concentration in orchardgrass forage at <sup>L</sup> different harvest dates in 1986
Table 4-9.	Effect of cultivar on nutrient concentrations in tall fescue forage at different harvest dates in 1986
Table 4-10.	Repeated measures analysis of nutrient concentrations in orchardgrass forage in 1985
Table 4-11.	Repeated measures analysis of nutrient concentrations in orchardgrass cultivars at different harvest dates and simulated rainfall pH in 1986
Table 4-12.	Repeated measures analysis of nutrient concentrations in fescue cultivars at different harvest dates and simulated rainfall pH in 1986
Table 4-13.	Greenness estimate and Munsell color value and chroma for forage exposed to simulated acid rain from two harvest dates in 1985.62

v

Table 4-14.	Color difference measurements for forage exposed to simulated acid rain from two harvest dates in 1985
Table 4-15.	Plant injury scores and percent brown leaf tissue for forage exposed to simulated acid rain from two harvest dates in 1985.64
Table 4-16.	Effect of simulated rainfall pH on soil test values at 0-7.5 cm depth on three sampling dates

## Appendix Tables

Table 1.	Dry matter yield of orchardgrass in 1985 as affected by simulated rainfall pH and cultivar .71
Table 2.	Dry matter yield of tall fescue in 1985 as affected by simulated rainfall pH and cultivar
Table 3.	Dry matter yield of orchardgrass in 1986 as affected by simulated rainfall pH and cultivar
Table 4.	Dry matter yield of tall fescue in 1986 as affected by simulated rainfall pH and cultivar
Table 5.	Dry matter yield of orchardgrass in 1987 as affected by prior simulated rainfall pH and cultivar
Table 6.	Dry matter yield of tall fescue in 1987 as affected by prior simulated rainfall pH and cultivar
Table 7.	Wet deposition of elements in ambient precipitation from September 1984 through December 1986
Table 8.	Deposition of elements from simulated rainfall from September 1984 through December 1986
Table 9.	Ambient dry deposition of elements from April 1986 through March 1987

vi

Q

### List of Plant Species in Text

Annual ryegrass	<u>Lolium multiflorum</u> Lam.
Chewings fescue	<u>Festuca</u> rubra var. <u>cummutata</u> Gaud.
Kentucky bluegrass	<u>Poa pratensis</u> L.
Orchardgrass	<u>Dactylis glomerata</u> L.
Perennial ryegrass	Lolium perenne L.
Pinto bean	<u>Phaseolus vulgaris</u> L.
Red fescue	<u>Festuca</u> <u>rubra</u> L.
Tall fescue	Festuca arundinacea Schreb.
Timothy	Phleum pratense L.

!

vii

\_---

#### General Summary

Three approaches to determination of relative sensitivities of coolseason forage grasses to simulated acid precipitation were evaluated: (1) short duration (10-day) exposure of seeds and seedlings in a germination chamber, (2) medium duration (eight-week) exposure of mature forage grass clones in a greenhouse environment, (3) long duration (two-year) exposure of mature grasses in a field environment. Even though germination chamber experiments proved the most rapid and sensitive method for determining cool-season forage grass sensitivity to acid precipitation, **it** is doubtful that these tests would be diagnostic of mature forage response in field environments. Responses of forages to acid precipitation under differing managements (field vs. greenhouse) appear to vary.

Inhibition of radicle elongation (on soil and filter paper media) and delayed seed germination (on filter paper) of cool-season forage grasses with increasing rain acidity were evident in germination studies. The order of tolerance to increased rain acidity was (from greatest to least) tall fescue, orchardgrass; timothy, bluegrass. Visible injury of both tall fescue and orchardgrass in the greenhouse increased quadratically with decreased rain pH. Decreased rain pH did not affect the yield of tall fescue, but increased the yield of orchardgrass. The effect of rain pH on nutrient concentrations in tall fescue and orchardgrass foliage was inconsistent among blocks in time and among harvests within blocks. Nitrogen concentrations of orchardgrass, however, frequently demonstrated linear increases with decreased rain pH. The acidity levels causing foliar damage, however, were substantially greater than ambient levels. Additionally, the minimum drop volume producing damage at pH 3.0 is a relatively large drop size (based on our observations of both natural

viii

and simulated rain in the field) and would not usually be encountered in field situations.

Changes occurring within the soil system in the field following acidic rainfall additions may have influenced orchardgrass seedling viability and seedling dry weight. Both seedling viability and dry weight were greatest with pH 3.1 simulated rainfall and decreased with higher or lower rainfall pH. Increased NO, levels in increasingly acidic simulated rainfall may have stimulated seedling response. The interactive effect of increasing NO, levels (beneficial) and increasing H (potentially detrimental) on the response of seedlings to simulated acid rain through time was effectively modeled with germination-mortality functions.

Three cultivars each of orchardgrass and tall fescue were subjected to four pH levels (4.3, 3.7, 3.1, 2.5) of simulated acid precipitation for two growing seasons in the field. Ambient precipitation was not excluded. Forage yields on individual harvest dates were not affected by rainfall pH. Variations in yields between cultivars mainly reflected differences in maturity. Forage was analyzed for N, S, P, K, Ca, and Mg The principal effect of increased rainfall acidity on forage nutrients for any one harvest date was an increase in S concentration. Orchardgrass forage was affected more frequently than tall fescue. Repeated measures analysis indicated crop yields, forage S, and forage K were modeled with cubic responses, first increasing, then declining and increasing as pH decreased.

The conclusions drawn are tempered by the recognition that current natural precipitation is less acid on an annual basis than most of the levels employed in these studies.

ix

#### Acknowledgments

This research was partially funded by the North Carolina Agricultural Research Service under a Special Grants Program Agreement with the U.S. Department of Agriculture Cooperative State Research Service. Investigators' opinions do not reflect those of either CSRS or NCARS. Trade names are given for identification only and not as an endorsement to the exclusion of other products that also might be suitable. David S. Walker, Cynthia L. Lucas, Kien T. Luu, and G. Wesley Haun assisted in technical aspects of this study. Word processing was by Sarah Pilkay, Jodie Britten, and Pam Tate.

#### Part 1. Effects of Simulated Acid Rain on Cool-Season Forage Grasses: Greenhouse and Germination Chamber Experiments

Kien T. Luu<sup>1</sup>, Jeff D. Wolt<sup>2</sup>, John H. Reynolds<sup>3</sup>, and Cynthia L. Lucas<sup>4</sup>

Rainfall averaging less than pH 4.5 has been identifiedthroughout much of the eastern United States (Cogbill and Likens 1974, Hileman 1981). The cool-season forage grasses — tallfescue, orchardgrass, Kentucky bluegrass and timothy — have received little attention with regard to their sensitivity to acid precipitation, even though forage is an important component of agronomic systems in many regions of .the United States and Canada that are subject to acid precipitation.

Workers in Oregon (Cohen et al. 1981, Lee et al. 1981) studied the effects of simulated acid rain on tall fescue, orchardgrass, bluegrass, perennial ryegrass, and timothy in pots and in field chambers. Also in Oregon, Cohen et al. (1982) studied Alta tall fescue and Potomac orchardgrass, and Plocher et al. (1985) studied Alta tall fescue. These reports indicated relative insensitivity of tall fescue and orchardgrass to acid precipitation.

Walker (1982) reported a possible acid precipitation influence on grass seedling establishment after seven to ten days of exposure to  $H_2SO_4$ -acidified water (pH 2.6). Seed germination of red fescue at pH 2.6 was two-thirds of that observed at pH 5.7. Germination of Kentucky bluegrass and Chewings fescue seed at pH 2.6 was nil. Haun et al. (1988) counted orchardgrass seedling numbers in the field for two weeks and found no effect of simulated rain pH on maximum seedling viability. Increased acidity (pH 4.3 to 3.1)

?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Former Research Associate in Plant and Soil Science

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Former Associate Professor of Plant and Soil Science

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Professor of Plant and Soil Science

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Senior Research Assistant in Plant and Soil Science

#### General Introduction

Research into the effects of acid precipitation on yield and quality of cool-season forage grasses is disproportionately low in relation to the importance of these forages in regions of the United States where the consequences of acid precipitation are of great concern. Crop sensitivity to acid precipitation is dependent on the conditions under which experiments are conducted, the crop parameters evaluated, and the particular dose-response function used to interpret the results. Most crop sensitivity studies utilize simulated rain, the composition of which influences the results.

This research determined the sensitivities of cool-season forage grass yields and quality parameters to simulated acid precipitation in field and greenhouse environments. Tall fescue, orchardgrass, Kentucky bluegrass, and timothy were tested. Primary emphasis was placed on tall fescue and orchardgrass because of their greater economic importance and their adaptation to the climatic conditions of the area.

surface soil (Humic Hapludult), and Etowah silt loam surface soil (Typic Paleudult). Sterilized 9-cm diameter petri dishes containing either Whatman No. 2 filter paper or 30 g air-dry, sterilized soil received 50 seeds each of Forager or Kentucky 31 tall fescue, Hallmark or Potomac orchardgrass, Climax or Clair timothy, Common or Kenblue bluegrass (100 seeds in the case of Kenblue bluegrass, which exhibited less than 50 percent germination). Filter paper treatments received 4 mL simulated acid rain, while soils, were thoroughly wet with simulated rain (5 and 8 mL for Statler and Etowah soils, respectively). The randomized complete block experiment with four replications contained a split-split plot arrangement of treatments with six simulated rain pH values (5.5, 4.0, 3.5, 3.0, 2.5, and 2.0) as the main plot treatments. Split and split-split plot treatments were four grass species and eight grass cultivars, respectively. Seeds were allowed to germinate in the dark in a germination chamber in which saturated atmospheric moisture conditions were maintained at 21.5°C. The number of seeds germinated, radicle length, and coleoptile length were measured at three and five days after seeding for filter paper media and at four and six days for soil media. Measurements on bluegrass were conducted two to four days later in each case because of slower germination rates. Root and coleoptile length measurements were based on five randomly selected seedlings per petri dish.

Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the GLM procedure of SAS (1982). In all instances, the effect of species was significant (P < 0.01); therefore, data are reported by species. Polynomial regression analysis was used to test the trends of treatment responses.

In conjunction with the germination experiment, Etowah and Statler surface soils in petri dishes were treated as they were for the germination

experiment, but did not receive seed. Following the final germination measurements, soil solutions were obtained from these soils by vacuum displacement and analyzed for pH, electrical conductivity (EC), and total concentrations of Ca, Mg, K, ND,, SD,, and Cl as described by Wolt and Graveel (1986). Data were analyzed by ANOVA as a randomized complete block design with four replications in order to evaluate simulated rain influence on soil solution composition.

#### Greenhouse Experiment

Mature clones of tall fescue and orchardgrass cultivars were divided by hand and single tillers were established in Cone-Tainer plastic containers (Ray Leach Nursery, Canby, Oregon) (4 cm x 22 cm; 167 cm<sup>3</sup>) filled with Pro-Mix EX soil mixture (Premier Brands, Inc., New Rochelle, New York) in the greenhouse for twenty-one days and were clipped to a uniform 2.5 cm stubble height. Plants were then exposed to twice-weekly simulated rain treatments (0.76 cm per event) on a revolving table beneath a single stainless steel Fulljet ½HH30WSQ nozzle (Spraying Systems, Inc., Wheaton, Illinois) suspended 2 m above the plant canopy. Nutrients were supplied by weekly immersion of roots in modified one-half strength Hoagland's solution (Hoagland and Arnon 1950). Rainwater sampled at plant height was analyzed for pH, EC, and ionic composition.

The experimental design consisted of a randomized complete block imposed in a split-split plot arrangement of treatments with four replications. Main plot treatments were rainfall acidity level (ranging from pH 5.5 to 2.3). Split-plot treatments were two grass species (tall fescue and orchardgrass). Split-split-plot treatments were five grass cultivars (noncertified Kentucky 31, certified Kentucky 31, and Kenhy tall fescue and Hallmark and Potomac

6

الوقع مرس

Table	1-1. © 0.	ermin rchar imula	ation dgras ted a	and (0) (cidit	radicle , and t y <sup>+</sup>	and co imothy	leoptil (T) ger	e length minated	n of blu on filt	legrass cer papel	(B), ta	ill fesc i functi	ue (F) on of	
				Germi	nation			[Radic]	le			Coleopt	ile	
Hď			, ca	Ē	0	E.	Ð	۲zı	0	H	, <b>ф</b>	۲	0	EH
					0/0			IWI			1	WIWI		
		•		•	ГЧ	rst Obs	servatio	¢u		• *				
									.*				•••	
5.5 4 0		. * •	12	73 77	21	26 23	2.8	8.3	2.8	0.3				
3.5 2.5			13	70	15	21	2.4	7.0	1.4	0.1	Meas	urement	s were	
3.0		·.	20	69	13	17	1.5	6.5	1.0	0.1	not	taken.	× 4	
2.5			00	67		00	0.0	4 C	0.1	0.0				
0.2	•		5	<b>.</b>	5	Ċ								
PHS			Š	Ø	8	Ø	Г	8	Ļ	8				
) x Hg	ultivar	F	*	*	NS	*	*	*	, SN	NS	• * .	×		
		÷				Second	1 Observ	ation <sup>#</sup>	•.	نې ۲.	.e			
5.5			34	92	. 65	51	11.0	30.8	16.5	2.6	6.6	22.9	9.1	2.6
4.0			31	91	66	50	9.7	27.7	14.8	2.2	5.0	21.7	7.7	2.3
3.5			30	91	201	45	<b>6</b> .2	27.8	14.0	1.7	2°3	21.4	8°2	2.2
о. г.			0 20	22 CC	52 70 00	(-F) 		17.62	0.3			20.3	ی د م	0.0
2.0			0	0		10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
Ha			0	0	0	0	0	Ö	0	0	Ц	0	0	. 0
pH x (	ultivar	114	× *	*	₹ *	Υ. *	< * *	λ×	NS	ŇŠ	* *	NS	NS	NS
+Arcs	in tran dave n	sform	ed da	ita (r for	adians)	used f	cor stat A three	iistical davs no	analys	is. Dafor of	-her av		un ann	tilas
were	too sm	all t	o mea	isure	in firs	t obsei	vation.						Li - } { } )	2 } { } }
SEff€ +h⊖	cts of	pH ar	e not + lev	rel an	uificant	(NS) (1)	or polyn	iomial re	egressio	on is sid	gnifica	int at		
¶Eff∈ #mon	cts are	sign	ifice aing	ut at for b	the 1	10 (**)		percent	level o	or are no	ot sign	ificant.	(NS).	
IIAT#	uays pu	מרמפת	битто	TOL T	an Tueyran	is, and	รารันฉุ	s pusta	hiirnaa	LOE ULLE	ALGAN	ev.		

- . . . .

4

ų

i T

B

Ì

decreasing rain pH. The rain pH x cultivar effect was significant only for bluegrass.

To better clarify the occasional significant rain pH x cultivar effect, polynomial regressions for individual cultivars were performed for all parameters with significant interactions. Kenblue bluegrass demonstrated a linear decline in germination and radicle length (at first observation) and coleoptile length (at second observation) with decreased rain pH, while the response of common bluegrass was quadratic. Clair timothy had a linear decline in germination (at first observation) with decreased rain pH, while the response of Climax timothy was quadratic. For other cultivars within species, the effect of rain pH was always quadratic (data not presented). Delayed germination was apparent when the results of the first and second observation were compared at pH 2.5 vs. pH greater than 2.5 (Table 1-1). For example, at first observation, no germination of timothy and orchardgrass was found at pH 2.5, but at pH greater than 2.5 germination was evident. Three days later (second observation), germination of these two grasses was observed at pH 2.5, indicating that increased acidity of rain water delayed germination. Delayed germination and suppressed root growth of the grasses with increasing acidity is perhaps indicative of relative species sensitivity to acid rain. From the results using filter paper, the tolerance to decreased rain pH was greatest in fescue, then orchardgrass, then timothy, and least in bluegrass.

Germination on Soil. On the Statler sandy loam, there was no effect of simulated rain acidity on germination with the exception of a decline in the percent germination of timothy with increased acidity at first observation (Table 1-2). Simulated rain did not affect coleoptile growth, but it

10

اوخر و ب

On the Etowah silt loam, there was a linear trend of decreasing germination of orchardgrass, timothy (at first observation), and bluegrass (at second observation) with increasing acidity of simulated rain. No effect of simulated rain acidity was found on germination of tall fescue and on coleoptile growth of all grass species (Tables 1-2 and 1-3). Treatments significantly influenced root growth of all grasses in Etowah soil. Root growth was linearly decreased in bluegrass, tall fescue, and timothy and quadratically decreased in orchardgrass with decreasing simulated rain pH (Table 1-3).

-51

Ť.

1

Ý,

In soil, generally there was no pronounced influence of acidity on germination and coleptile growth of the grasses. Root growth, however, was significantly depressed by increasing acidity of simulated rain in soil media. In contrast to the results obtained on filter paper, there was seldom a significant interaction between rain pH and cultivar on germination or radicle and coleoptile growth from seed germinated on soil media.

The grasses responded differently at different simulated rain pH in these germination tests on filter paper and soil media. The pronounced reduction of root growth and germination at specific thresholds of rain pH for each grass species suggests that root length and germination may be useful parameters for predicting sensitivity (e.g., biological responsiveness) of these grasses to acid rain.

Displaced solutions from both soils (Table 1-4) demonstrated linear or quadratic increases in Ca, Mg, and SO, with increased simulated rain acidity. These shifts in soil solution composition, however, may not be indicative of rain-induced alterations of the soil environment, which could explain the observed reduction in root elongation. A two-week delay between the measurement of root length and displacement of soil solutions may have

- - 13

obscured transient shifts in soil solution composition, which could influence radicle development. Drying of soils during the six- and ten-day term of the experiment may have increased soluble salts in solution sufficiently to inhibit radicle elongation. The varied electrical conductance (EC) of the Etowah soil versus the Statler soil did not influence germination or root elongation, however, so some mechanism other than simple salt toxicity was operative in this study.

The observed inhibition of root growth and delayed germination may be a transitory effect. Direct seeding of orchardgrass in a field environment did not show any significant effect of simulated rain pH on maximum seedling numbers, but simulated rain pH did influence seedling viability over time (Haun et al. 1988).

#### Greenhouse Experiment

Both tall fescue and orchardgrass demonstrated similar quadratic trends of increased foliar injury with decreased rain pH (Table 1-5). For fescue, the rain pH x cultivar interaction was significant, but all three fescue cultivars had similar quadratic responses (data not presented).

Increased acidity had no effect on relative yields in tall fescue (Table 1-5). Relative yields of orchardgrass, however, were increased quadratically with increased acidity of simulated rain. Lee et al. (1981) also found no influence of acid rain on yield of Alta tall fescue; whereas, potomac orchardgrass had a higher yield with pH 3.0 rain than with control rain (pH 5.6). In contrast, Cohen et al. (1982) reported that dry matter yield of Potomac orchardgrass was not significantly affected by acid rain; however, early stimulatory but transitory effects of acid rain on yield of Alta fescue were recognized. The yield increase of orchardgrass in this study may be due to the beneficial effect of N from acid rain. Others have reported that the

	Tal	l fescue	Or	chardgra	SS
Rain pH	Har N	vest 2 S	Harves N Potomac	st 2 Hallmark	Harvest 1 S Hallmark
			g kg <sup>-1</sup>		
5.5	36	3.0	44	42	4.0
3.4	42	3.0	41	46	3.6
2.8	44	3.8	57	47	4.0
2.3	42	4.7	50	48	3.6
_pH <sup>+</sup> pH x Cultivar <sup>▲</sup>	L NS	NS NS	NS *	NS	NS NS

8

Table 1-6.	Mean N and S concentrations of tall fescue and orchardgrass
	grown in the greenhouse, as a function of simulated rain pH
	(sampled from block 1)

\*Effects of pH are not significant (NS) or polynomial regression is significant at the 5 percent level and is linear (L).
\*Effects are significant at the 5(\*) percent level or are not significant (NS).

applied N to influence yield under the conditions of this experiment.

Samples from block 1 (Table 1-6) indicated that N concentration of tall fescue increased (P < .01) linearly with increasing acidity of simulated rain. For orchardgrass, the rain pH x cultivar interaction was significant, so the main effect of pH on orchardgrass species was not interpreted. Both Potomac and Hallmark orchardgrass had a trend of increased N concentration as acidity of simulated rain increased, but this trend was not significant.

There was no difference in S concentration of either fescue or orchardgrass due to acid rain treatments, even though SO, level also increased as pH decreased. Studies from Oregon have shown acid rain did not affect S

17

concentrations of Alta tall fescue (Cohen et al. 1981, 1982; Plocher et al. 1985).

Samples from block 2 (Table 1-7) did not differ in N, P, K, Ca, and Mg concentrations of either tall fescue or orchardgrass in harvest 1, but, in harvest 2, N and K concentrations of tall fescue were quadratically increased, while Ca and P concentrations of orchardgrass were linearly decreased with increasing acidity of simulated rain. There was no influence of acid rain on Mg concentrations of the grasses in either harvest. During the first year of their studies, Cohen et al. (1981) found no significant effect on mineral concentrations of Alta tall fescue. In the second year, there were also no differences in mineral concentrations at harvest 1, though Ca and P concentrations in Alta fescue tissues at harvest 2 were decreased with increased rain acidity. This suggests that grasses may require long-term exposure before acid rain has a significant effect on forage quality.

#### SUMMARY

#### Germination Tests

Inhibition of radicle elongation (on soil and filter paper media) and delayed seed germination (on filter paper) of cool-season forage grasses with increasing rain acidity was greatest in fescue, then orchardgrass, then timothy, and least in bluegrass. Effects on radicle growth and germination rate, however, were not translatable into an ultimate effect on germination percentage or yield.

#### Greenhouse Experiment

Visible injury of both tall fescue and orchardgrass quadratically increased with increased rain acidity. Decreased rain pH did not affect tall fescue yields but quadratically increased orchardgrass yields. The effect of

18

 $s(0) \in \mathcal{X}_{1}$ 

- Sanders, W. L. 1978. Analysis of a repeated measurement experiment with incomplete data. pp. 113-114. In Proc. 3rd Ann. Conf. of SAS Users Group Intern., SAS Inst., Raleigh, N.C.
- SAS Institute. 1982. SAS user's guide: statistics. SAS Inst., Inc., Cary, N.C.
- Shriner, D. S. 1976. Effects of simulated rain acidified with sulfuric acid on host parasite interactions. Proc. 1st intern. symp. on acid precipitation and the forest ecosystem. USDA Forest Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-23.

- Thomas, R. L., R.W. Sheard, and J. R. Moyer. 1967. Comparison of conventional and automated procedures for nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium analysis of plant materials using a single digestion. Agron. J. 59:240-243.
- Walker, J. T. 1982. Characterization of rain in the Georgia Piedmont and effects of acidified water on crop and ornamental plants. Georgia Agric. Exp. Sta. Res. Bul. 283.
- Wolt, J., and J. G. Graveel. 1986. A rapid routine method for obtaining soil solution using vacuum displacement. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 50: 602-605.
- Wolt, J., J. Reynolds, and K. T. Luu. 1986. Final report: Sensitivity of cool-season forage grasses to simulated acid precipitation. Acid Deposition Program. North Carolina State Univ., Raleigh.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2-1 (a-e) shows the relationship between acidity and droplet size over time as the volume of drops was reduced by evaporation and/or absorption from the original volume of 50  $\mu$ L. The change in raindrop pH as the volume changed was essentially identical for simulated acid raindrops placed on orchardgrass and tall fescue leaves ('compareFigure lb with Figure 1d and Figure 1c with Figure le).

Simulated rain (pH 3.0) caused foliar necrotic spots on orchardgrass when the initial drop volume was 40  $\mu$ L (4 mm diameter drops) but not with smaller drop volumes (Table 2-1). Apparently, the ions became more concentrated as the solution dried from the larger drops and caused damage. Fescue was unaffected by pH 3.0 simulated rain at all volumes used. With pH 2.5 simulated rain, a minimum drop volume of 15  $\mu$ L (2.3 mm diameter) was necessary for necrotic spots to form on fescue. Initial drop volumes of 7  $\mu$ L (1.8 mm diameter) placed on orchardgrass leaves produced necrotic spots 33 percent of the time with pH 2.5 simulated rain. The threshold H dose for foliar lesion development was greater than 40 picoequivalents for tall fescue as compared with greater than 20 picoequivalents for orchardgrass.

These data indicate differing sensitivities of mature tissue of orchardgrass and tall fescue to acid precipitation. The pH causing foliar damage, however, is substantially lower than would be encountered in the field. Additionally, the minimum drop volume producing damage at pH 3.0 (40  $\mu$ L) is a relatively large drop size, based on observations of both natural (Laws and Parsons 1943) and simulated (Haun 1987) rain and would not be typically encountered in field situations. Haun (1987) used a ground-based precipitation probe to characterize simulated rainfall generated in our field



Figure 2-la. The relationship of pH to droplet volume for simulated raindrops (initial volume 50 µL, initial pH 3.5) placed on orchardgrass leaves monitored for 3.5 or 4 hours.



Figure 2-lb. The relationship of pH to droplet volume for simulated raindrops. (initial volume 50 µL, initial pH 3.0) placed on orchardgrass leaves and monitored for 3.5 or 4 hours.

26

Rain pH	Drop Volume	Acid Dose	Orchardgrass	Tall Fescue
	μΓ	Picoequivalents	Number of foliar	lesions per 9 drops
3.0	40	40	9	. 0
	30	30	0	0
	20	20	0	0
	15	15	0	0
	10	10	0	0
	7	7	0	0
2.5	15	47	<u>^</u> 9	9
	10	32	4	0
	7	22	3	0

Table 2-1. Effects of simulated acid rain (pH 3.0 and 2.5) droplet volume on foliar lesion development of Potomac orchardgrass and Kenhy tall fescue

- Haun, G. W. 1987. Soil solution composition and orchardgrass germination as influenced by simulated acid rain in a field environment. M.S. thesis. University of Tennessee, Knoxville. 102 p.
- Haun, G. W., J. D. Wolt, J. H. Reynolds, W. L. Sanders, and J. F. Schneider. 1989. Simulated acid rain and orchardgrass seedling viability. In J.H. Reynolds and J.D. Wolt. Simulated acid rain effects on coolseason forage grasses. Tennessee Agri. Exp. Sta. Bull. 670.
- Laws, J. O., and D. A. Parsons. 1943. The relation of raindrop-size to intensity. Trans. Amer. Geophys. Union. 24:452-460.
- Lee, J. J. 1982. The effects of acid precipitation on crops. Chapter 20. <u>In</u> F. M. D'Itri (Ed.) Acid precipitation: effects on ecological systems. Ann Arbor Sci., Ann Arbor, Michigan.
- Lee, J. J., G. E. Neely, S. C. Perrigan, and L. C. Grothaus. 1981. Effects of simulated sulfuric acid rain on yield, growth, and foliar injury of several crops. Environ. and Exp. Botany. 21:171-185.

- Luu, K. T., J. D. Wolt., J. H. Reynolds, and C. L. Lucas. 1989. Simulated acid rain effects on cool-season forage grasses: Greenhouse and germination chamber experiments. <u>In</u> J. H. Reynolds and J.D. Wolt. Simulated acid rain effects on cool-season forage grasses. Tennessee Agri. Exp. Sta. Bull. 670.
- Reynolds, J. H., and J. D. Wolt. 1989. Forage yield and quality of orchardgrass and tall fescue under simulated acid precipitation. <u>In</u> J.H. Reynolds and J.D. Wolt. Simulated acid rain effects on coolseason forage grasses. Tennessee Agri. Exp. Sta. Bull. 670.

offer some buffering protection from acidic precipitation (McLaughlin et al. 1983). Establishment practices for small-seeded forages, however, may leave a large portion of the seeds on or near the soil surface within the upper 1.5 cm of the seedbed (Decker and Taylor 1985). Under such conditions the buffering effect of soil on acidic deposition may be lessened.

Haun et al. (1988) monitored viability of orchardgrass seedlings concurrently with simulated acid rainfall application (pH 4.3 to 2.5) and noted that pH 3.1 simulated rainfall tended to result in increased seedling viability in comparison with other rainfall pH. In addition, statistical procedures were used to ascertain whether the generalized response of orchardgrass seedlings to simulated acid rain could be effectively modeled with an asymptotic germination-mortality function.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted in the fall of 1985 at the University of Tennessee Plant Science Field Laboratory, Knoxville, to investigate the response to simulated acid rain when orchardgrass was germinated on two unfertilized Ultisol surface soils. The experimental configuration was a randomized complete block design with a split plot arrangement of treatments. Main plot treatments had four simulated rain pH values (4.3, 3.7, 3.1, and 2.5) in four replications. The range of simulated rainfall selected had been identified as the region of maximum biological response in previous controlled environment studies. Split plot treatments were Etowah silt loam (fine-loamy, siliceous, thermic Typic Paleudults) and Statler variant sandy loam (fine-loamy, mixed, mesic Humic Hapludults) surface soils.

Soil properties, plot establishment, and simulated rainfall application have been previously summarized (Haun et al. 1988). Test plots received 8.7

cm of simulated acid rain in 19 one- to three-minute duration applications  $(0.23 \text{ cm min}^{-1})$  over the 29-day term of the experiment. Ambient rainfall was not excluded.

Potomac orchardgrass (95 percent germination) was surface-seeded on September 10 in all test plots at 2,150 seeds  $m^{-2}$  (200 seeds per subplot) and simulated rainfall applications were initiated. Simulated rainfall application was discontinued from days 22 through 27 after seeding due to heavy ambient rainfall.

Total number of seedlings was determined by counting throughout the term of the experiment. Seedlings were counted upon the emergence of either the radicle or the coleoptile from the seed covering or soil surface, whichever was observed first. Seedlings were first observed on the sixth day following seeding and daily counts were made through day 16. Thereafter counts were conducted every other day for the remainder of the 29-day term of the **experi**ment. Since daily counts did not include seedlings that may have germinated and subsequently died, the counts are representative of seedling viability rather than germination per se.

Seedling germination and seedling mortality can both be modeled as sigmoidal functions with the mortality function lagging in time relative to  $\stackrel{\gamma_{\varphi}}{}$  the germination function (Figure 3-la). A common mathematical expression of the sigmoidal function is the logistic growth curve which is expressed as:

$$y = \frac{a}{1 + br^{a}}$$
[1]

If  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  represent two sigmoidal functions describing germination and mortality, respectively, their difference (Ay, the shaded portion in Figure 3-la) represents seedling viability. A convenient model for seedling

-79.8 -176.5 -113.0 -78.5 -70.6 1260.1 -72.7	19.824 3.315 5.422 107.907 62.824 3.804	0.994 0.930 0.922 0.876 0.900 0.883	0.593 0.799 0.600 0.355 0.388 0.869	0.980 0.977 0.971 0.993 0.989 0.988
-79.8 -176.5 -113.0 -78.5 -70.6 1260.1 -72.7	3.315 5.422 107.907 62.824 3.804	0.934 0.930 0.922 0.876 0.900 0.883	0.393 0.799 0.600 0.355 0.388 0.869	0.977 0.971 0.993 0.989 0.988
-113.0 -78.5 -70.6 1260.1 -72.7	5.422 107.907 62.824 3.804	0.922 0.876 0.900 0.883	0.600 0.355 0.388 0.869	0.971 0.993 0.989 0.988
-78.5 -70.6 1260.1 -72.7	107.907 62.824 3.804	0.900 0.883	0.355 0.388 0.869	0.993
-70.6 1260.1 -72.7	62.824 3.804	0.900 0.883	0.388 0.869	0.989 0.988
1260.1 -72.7	3.804	0.883	0.869	0.988
-72.7	17 007			
	4/.00/	0.893	0.441	0,962
-113.8	2.648	0.967	0.724	0.963
-114.9	8.541	0.968	0.437	0.983
-110.6	8.228	0.999	0.517	0.990
-237.2	6.671	0.914	0.817	0.962
-114.4	11.833	0.956	0.606	0.987
-91.5	10.014	0.982	0.388	0.966
-123.3	2.719	0.975	0.641	0.961
-81.7	245.088	0.816	0.143	0.986
-105.5	6.634	0,968	0.703	0.959
	-114.9 -110.6 -237.2 -114.4 -91.5 -123.3 -81.7 -105.5	-114.9       8.541         -110.6       8.228         -237.2       6.671         -114.4       11.833         -91.5       10.014         -123.3       2.719         -81.7       245.088         -105.5       6.634	114.9       8.541       0.968         110.6       8.228       0.999         237.2       6.671       0.914         114.4       11.833       0.956         -91.5       10.014       0.982         123.3       2.719       0.975         -81.7       245.088       0.816         105.5       6.634       0.968	-114.9       8.541       0.968       0.437         -110.6       8.228       0.999       0.517         -237.2       6.671       0.914       0.817         -114.4       11.833       0.956       0.606         -91.5       10.014       0.982       0.388         -123.3       2.719       0.975       0.641         -81.7       245.088       0.816       0.143         -105.5       6.634       0.968       0.703

Table 3-1. Best fit germination-mortality functions for relative seedling viability (predicted maximum seedling viability = 100) as a function of days from seeding.<sup>+</sup>

where Ay = seedling viability; a, b, r,, and r, are empirically fit; and d = days from seeding.

•" $\mathbb{R}^2$ -like" statistic = 1 -  $\left(\frac{\text{residual sum of squares}}{\text{corrected total sum of squares}}\right)$ 

however, were good estimates for a given combination of replication and simulated rainfall pH. The " $R^2$ -like" statistic (Table 3-1) was greater than 0.95 for all estimated functions. The goodness-of-fit between predicted and actual seedling viability is illustrated in Figure 3-2. When analyzed by MANOVA, seedling viability as predicted from these functions was significantly affected by simulated rainfall pH (P=0.08). The analysis of predicted ,



**Days from Seeding** 

Figure 3-2. Comparison of seedling viability as a function of days from seeding for predicted (solid line) vs. actual (+) results. Data are for pH 3.1, replication 1



Figure 3-3. Germination-mortality functions describing seedling viability as a function of time as affected by simulated rainfall pH.

#### Part 4. Forage Yield and Quality of Orchardgrass and Tall Fescue Under Simulated Acid Precipitation

John H. Reynolds<sup>1</sup> and Jeff D. Wolt<sup>2</sup>

Cool-season forage grasses have received scant attention with regard to their sensitivity to acid precipitation, even though they are especially well-adapted to portions of the eastern United States that are of critical concern in relation to acid precipitation (Cowling 1983). Acid precipitation with pH less than 4.5 has been documented throughout much of the eastern United States (NADP 1987). Tall fescue is perhaps the most widely grown cool-season grass in the transition zone of the eastern United States, where it occupies from 12 to 14 million hectares (Buckner 1985). Orchardgrass is also widely grown in the eastern United States.

Soils typically cropped to perennial forage grasses in humid temperate regions manifest several characteristics that may predispose them to sensitivity to acidic deposition: acid reaction, cation exchange capacity less than 15 cmol(+) kg<sup>-1</sup>, absence of natural carbonates, low buffer capacities, and low intensities of management (McFee 1983, Arthur and Wagner 1983).

The principal effects of acid precipitation on crop plants are induction of necrotic lesions, erosion of waxes, foliar leaching of nutrients, alteration of physiological and reproductive processes, and predisposition of plants to infection: (Cowling 1982, Shriner 1980). Yields of agronomic crops may be either inhibited or stimulated by acid precipitation (Cohen et al. 1981, Cohen et al. 1982, Lee et al. 1982, Irving 1983, 1986). The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Professor of Plant and Soil Science.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Former Associate Professor of Plant and Soil Science.

hydrogen-ion effect of acid precipitation on vegetation is generally negative but may be masked by a counteractive beneficial effect of precipitation-borne sulfur and/or nitrogen (Shriner 1976). Noggle and Jones (1979) found tall fescue grown in S-depleted soils accumulated more than 30 percent of total vegetative S from atmospheric sources. The determination of crop sensitivity to acid precipitation is dependent on the conditions under which experiments are conducted (Jacobson et al. 1980), the crop parameters evaluated (Shriner 1980), and the particular dose-response function used in interpretation of results (Lee 1982). Most crop sensitivity studies utilize simulated acid precipitation, and factors such as duration and intensity of events (Shriner 1980), size distribution of simulated raindrops (Shriner et al. 1977), and rainwater composition (Cohen et al. 1982, Jacobson et al. 1980) will influence the results obtained.

Workers in Oregon (Cohen et al. 1981, Lee et al. 1981) studied the effects of simulated acid rain on tall fescue, orchardgrass, bluegrass, perennial ryegrass, and timothy in pots and in field chambers. Also in Oregon, Cohen et al. (1982) studied Alta tall fescue and Potomac orchardgrass, and Plocher et al. (1985) studied Alta tall fescue.

The foregoing experiments indicated relative insensitivity of tall fescue and orchardgrass to acid precipitation. However, because of the importance of these grasses to forage ecosystems of the eastern United States, a more comprehensive evaluation of their response to acid rain under conventional management in the humid temperate environment of the region is needed. The objective of this experiment was to show the relative sensitivities of orchardgrass and tall fescue cultivars in forage yield and quality to



Simulated			1984-85	-	
Rainiall Treatment	pH	EC	NO,	SO₄	NO, :SO,
		µmhos cm <sup>-1</sup>	μmc	ol L <sup>-1</sup>	mol ratio
4.3	4.10	33	33	35	0.94
3.7	3.60	81	76	79	0.96
3.1	3.10	289	255	273	0.93
2.5	2.60	1106	1012	1052	0.96
			1986 <b>*</b>		
4.3	4.22	20	12	16	0.75
3.7	3.61	63	49	65	0.75
3.1	3.09	248	203	227	0.89
2.5	2.63	900	886	896	0.99

Table 4-1. Weighted mean simulated rainfall composition from September 1984 through December 1986

+ Total Simulated rainfall applied in 1984-85, 414 mm; mean application, 4.1 ± 1.7 mm per event; other ions (µmol L<sup>-1</sup>): C1, 20; Ca, 12; Mg, 3.3; K, 3.8.

 Total simulated rainfall applied in 1986, 427 mm; mean application, 6.3 ± 1.1 mm per event; other ions (µmol L<sup>-1</sup>): C1, 28; Ca, 8; Mg, 1.9; K, 3.0.

Ĭ

maintained grasses in the vegetative state, analogous to frequent intensive grazing. Fertilization was restricted to  $NH_4NO_3$  applied in March 1985 (34 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), March and September 1986 (34 and 68 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), and March 1987 (68 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>). A combination of chemical and mechanical weed control was used to maintain relatively pure forage grass stands throughout the duration of the experiment.

Seven harvests were made from April through November 1985, six harvests during 1986, and four harvests from April through July 1987. The 1987 yields

Year	Calendar Quarter <sup>+</sup>	рH	EC	NO,	SO,	Cl	Ca	Mg	K	NO, : SO,
		μ	mhos ci	n <sup>-1</sup>		µmol	L-ı -			mol ratio
84	4	4.46	26	31	36	17	22	4.0	5.1	0.87
85	1	4.27	22	32	14	14	15	4.1	2.0	1.12
85	2	4.06	33	28	39	- 13	14	2.0	2.4	0.73
85	З	4.04	69	22	31	9	4	0.7	0.6	0.70
85	4	4.27	26	12	20	7	3	0.9	1.1	0.60
84-8	5 Overall	4.19	37	25	31	12	11	2.2	2.7	0.81
86	· 1	4.06	36	27	33	19	8.8	1.5	20	0.82
86	2	4.05	37	42	-39	8	7.3	1.6	3.4	1.08
86	3	4.05	23	26	29	11	9.3	1.7	1.8	0.90
86 ,	4	4.21	18	17	21	12	3.0	1.0	5.0	0.81
86	Overall	4.10	26	25	28	13	6.7	1.5	8.0	0.89

Table 4-2.	Weighted	mean	ambient	precipitation	composition.	from	September	1984
	through I	Decemb	oer 1986					

+ Total ambient precipitation 1,261 mm in 1984-85 and 976 mm in 1986.

were used as an indication.of residual effects of the treatments since no simulated rainfall was applied in 1987. Forage was harvested with a rotary mower to a 5-cm stubble height on each harvest date. Forage samples were dried at 65°C in a forced-air oven for determination of dry matter yield. Kentucky 31 tall fescue plots were contaminated with annual ryegrass, necessitating reseeding of these plots in spring 1985. Yields of this cultivar were therefore excluded for the first two harvest dates of 1985. cultivars mainly reflected differences in maturity. Able is a later flowering orchardgrass cultivar than Hallmark or Potomac, so April yields of Able were smaller than the others. Forager is an earlier flowering fescue cultivar than Kenhy or Kentucky 31 so April 1986 yields were larger for this cultivar than for the others. Yields in the following cuts were sometimes reversed with Forager yielding less than Kenhy and Kentucky 31.

Analysis of plant responses to acid rainfall by each harvest date demonstrated little treatment effect due to the subtle nature of acid rain effects on plants and the relatively high variation in agronomic plot data (CV's frequently exceeded 20 percent). Repeated measures analysis (Sanders 1978) provides a more powerful statistical tool for evaluation of season-long effects of acid rainfall on forage responses. Yields were strongly affected by harvest date and by rainfall pH in the first and second years (Table 4-3). Rainfall of pH 3.1 tended to produce the lowest yield in both 1985 and 1986 as yields were modeled with cubic responses (Figure 4-1). The amounts of nutrients received from ambient and simulated precipitation are shown in Appendix Tables 7 and 8. It is not possible to determine during 1985 and 1986 whether the amount of N and S received from simulated rainfall treatment pH 2.5 was sufficient to counteract the acidity of that treatment. In the residual third year there were no effects of previous rainfall on yield although the yields appeared to decrease as the treatment pH was lower.

The principal effect of rainfall pH treatment on orchardgrass forage nutrients in 1985 was an increase in S as the pH was lowered (Table 4-4). Among the three cultivars, the later maturity of Able was reflected in higher P, Ca, and Mg in the first harvest (Table 4-5). On other harvest dates, no more than two elements had significant differences among cultivars.





Harvest							
Date	Cultivar <sup>+</sup>	N	S	<u>P</u>	K	Ca	Mg
				g kg			
April 10	Able	26.3	2.50	2.66a	23.8	2.43a	2.68a
-	Hallmark	24.1	2.42	2.51b	22.2	1.98b	2.16b
	Potomac	25.3	2.29	2.54b	23.5	2.18b	2.47a
Sig.	of F test <sup>4</sup>	NS	NS	**	NS	**	**
April 23	Able	29.8	2.50	3.16	29.9ab	3.41a	3.58
-	Hallmark	28.6	2.57	3.21	26.7b	3.30ab	3.27
	Potomac	28.1	2.59	3.30	32.6a	3.17b	3.45
Sig.	of F test	NS	NS	NS	*	*	NS
May 15	Able	20.8a	2.71	2.69	24.0	2.88	3.30a
	Hallmark	18.3b	2.56	2.70	22.8	2.51	2.92b
	Potomac	19.2ab	2.66	2.77	23.0	2.62	3.14ab
Sig.	of F test	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	**
June 11	Able	21.3	3.27	2.93	23.9	4.56	5.24
	Hallmark	20.5	3.08	2.87	22.8	4.55	5.20
	Potomac	20.6	3.05	3.01	22.9	4.34	5.32
Sig.	of F test	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Julv 10	Able	16.9	3.29	2.89	24.0a	3.45	5.41
j -	Hallmark	15.4	3.20	2.97	21.9ab	3.42	4.99
	Potomac	15.8	3.19	3.05	21.0b	3.34	5.56
Sig.	of F test	NS	NS	NS	*	NS	NS
Aug. 15	Able	17.0	2.66	2.90	20.9	2.98	2.66b
-	Hallmark	16.7	2.71	2.96	20.0	3.02	2.72ab
	Potomac	16.5	2.59	3.07	21.0	3.04	2.87a
Sig.	of F test	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	*
Nov. 12	Able	17.6	2.84	3.09	22.2	3.41	2.35
	Hallmark	18.0	2.98	3.07	22.4	3.33	2.42
	Potomac	18.0	2.71	3.02	23.4	3.42	2.57
Sig.	of F test	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 4-5,	Effect	of	cultivar	on	nutrient	concentrati	on d	of	orchardgrass	
	forage	i n	1985							

+ Data averaged over rainfall pHs (4.3, 3.7, 3.1, 2.5). Rainfall pH x cultivar interactions were not significant at the 5 percent level, except for Mg on April 23 and P on May 15.

The effect of cultivar is not significant (NS) or is significant at the 1 (\*\*) or 5 (\*) percent level. Where significant, mean separation is by Waller-Duncan t test, k-ratio = 100. In 1986, S was increased in orchardgrass forage on half the harvest dates as rainfall pH decreased (Table 4-6). As noted above in Appendix Tables 7 and 8, there was more S received from the lower pH treatments. There was only one date with a significant effect of rainfall pH on other elements (on Mg in the first harvest). In tall fescue, there was only a significant effect on S and Ca in the third harvest and P in the sixth (Table 4-7). The only orchardgrass cultivar effects in 1986 were higher N in the first harvest for Able and higher S and Ca in the second and third harvests, respectively, for Hallmark (Table 4-8).

More cultivar effects were observed for fescue than for orchardgrass in 1986. In the first harvest, Forager was generally lower in nutrients than the , other cultivars while the reverse occurred in the second harvest (Table 4-9). Forage K and Ca differed by cultivars in four harvests, while S was different in three harvests and N, P, and Mg were different in two harvests. Significant interactions of rainfall pH x cultivar occurred very infrequently.

The seasonal trends of nutrients in the different harvests of orchardgrass and fescue had two main patterns in 1986 but were less distinct in 1985 when there was no September N application (Tables 4-10, 4-11, 4-12). The S, P, Ca, and Mg concentrations increased from spring to summer, while N and K decreased from spring through summer and then increased after the September N application.

Repeated measures analysis indicated a very strong influence of harvest date on nutrient concentrations (Tables 4-10, 4-11, 4-12) and a lesser effect of rainfall pH and cultivar in the second year. In general, orchardgrass P and Ca concentrations tended to be highest and N lowest for pH 3.1 simulated rainfall in 1985, and, in 1986, P tended to be highest and N lowest for pH

Harvest							
Date	Cultivar+	N	S	Р	K	Ca	Mg
				g kg	g <sup>-1</sup>		
April 22	Able Hallmark Potomac	24.6a 21.8b 22.5b	2.87 2.80 2.79	2.87 2.86 2.78	25.6 24.5 24.1	3.12 3.18 2.74	2.14 2.11 2.08
Sig	. of F test⁴	**	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
May 27	Able Hallmark Potomac	14.8 14.9 15.0	3.22 b 3.44 a 3.00 b	3.86 3.96 3.67	23.2 21.0 22.1	4.40 4.34 4.28	3.25 3.16 3.14
Sig	. of F test	NS	* *	NS	NS	NS	NS
July 8	Able Hallmark Potomac	15.1 15.0 14.7	3.32 3.39 3.21	4.65 5.08 4.60	20.2 19.1 19.3	4.46b 4.88a 4.64b	3.83 3.95 3.93
Sig	. of F test	NS	NS	NS	NS	* *	NS
Sept. 24	· Able Hallmark Potomac	42.4 41.5 43.8	2.54 2.61 2.55	3.27 3.29 3.30	26.0 24.5 25.3	4.74 4.74 4.86	4.04 3.76 4.17
Sig	. of F test	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Oct. 22	Able Hallmark Potomac	22.0 23.1 24.0	2.77 2.95 2.87	2.70 2.67 2.92	15.7 16.3 14.4	5.30 5.36 5.16	3.58 3.72 3.78
Sig	. of F test	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Nov. 21	Able Hallmark Potomac	27.3 28.4 25.5	3.16 3.24 3.17	2.93 3.16 3.21	22.7 22.7 22.0	3.92 3.86 3.84	2.88 2.86 3.01
Sig	. of F test	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 4-8. Effect of cultivar on nutrient concentrations in orchardgrass forage at different harvest dates in 1986

+ Data averaged over rainfall pHs (4.3, 3.7, 3.1, 2.5). The rainfall pH x cultivar interactions were not significant at the 5 percent level except for S on May 27, P on Sept. 24, and P, K, and Mg on Nov.21.

The effect of cultivar is not significant (NS) or is significant at the 1 percent (\*\*) level; mean separation by Waller-Duncan t test, k-ratio = 100.

Harvest							
Date	<u>Cultivar</u> +	N	<u>S</u>	Р	K	Ca	Mg
				g	kg <sup>-1</sup>		
April 22	Forager	19.1b	2.27b	2.87	20.0b	2.46b	2.03b
	Kenhy	21.1a	2.80a	2.76	23.4a	2.54ab	2.19ab
	Kentucky 31	20.9a	2.66a	2.76	23.8a	2.92a	2.26a
	Sig. of F test <sup>4</sup>	*	**	NS	*	*	*
May 27	Foragar	15 3	2 85-2	3 599	18 5h	1 28-	3 762
Way 27	Kenhy	13.3	2.05a 2.70a	3.01h	$21.7_{2}$	3 82ah	3.20a
	Kentucky 31	14.1 14.2	2.70a	2 97h	21.7a 20.2ab	3.56b	2.73h
	Kentucky 51	14.2	2.400	2.510	20.200	5.500	2.750
	Sig. of F test	NS	**	*	*	*	*
Julv 8	Forager	15.0	3.19b	4.32	17.6b	5.16a	4.12
<b>j</b>	Kenhy	14.1	3.47a	4.58	20.1a	4.74b	4.14
	Kentucky 31	14.0	3.24ab	4.25	18.0b	5.04ab	4.10
	Sig. of F test	NS	*	NS	*	*	NS
Sept. 24	Forager	41.2	2.66	3.16	24.3b	4.52	3.73
~ · F · · · = ·	Kenhy	41.1	2.68	3.09	25.1b	4.56	3.92
	Kentucky 31	40.4	2.82	3.37	29.1a	4.68	4.14
	·						
	Sig. df F test	NS	NS	NS	*	NS	NS
Oct. 22	Forager	20.6	2.69	2.89a	15.0	4.98	3.57
	Kenhy	19.1	2.78	2.68b	14.7	4.86	3.42
	Kentucky 31	18.8	2.66	2.97a	16.6	4.64	3.55
	Sig. of F test	NS	NS	*	NS	NS	NS
Nov. 21	Forager	23.5ab	2.97	3.00	22.2	3.42a	3.06
	Kenhv	24.0a	2.98	3.12	23.3	3.10b	2.84
	Kentucky 31	21.5b	2.85	3.12	21.8	3.06b	2.98
	Sig. of F test	*	NS	NS	NS	*	NS
	5						

Table 4-9.Effect of cultivar on nutrient concentrations in tall fescue<br/>forage at different harvest dates in 1986

+ Data averaged over rainfall pHs (4.3, 3.7, 3.1, 2.5). The rainfall pH x cultivar interactions were not significant at the 5 percent level except' for Ca on Oct. 22 and Mg on Nov. 21.

The effect of cultivar is not significant (NS) or is significant at the 5 percent (\*) or 1 percent (\*\*) level; mean separation by Waller-Duncan t test, k-ratio = 100.

Harvest Date	- N	S	Р	K	Ca	Mg
			g kg <sup>-</sup>	1		
April 22	20.4 c+	2.57 d	2.80 d	22.4 b	1.33 e	2.16 d
May 27	14.6 d	2.67 cd	3.20 b	20.2 c	1.95 c	3.00 c
July 8	14.4 d	3.30 a	4.39 a	18.6 d	2.50 a	4.13 a
Sept. 24	40.9 a	2.72 c	3.21 b	26.2 a	2.30 b	3.93 a
Oct. 22	19.6 c	2.71 c	2.86 cd	15.5 e	2.41 ab	3.52 b
Nov. 21	23.0 b	2.93 b	3.08 bc	22.4 b	1.60 d	2.97 c
<u>Rainfall pH</u>						
4.3	22.6	2.72	3.38	21.6	1.96	3.15
3.7	22.3	2.74	3.17	22.4	1.89	3.28
3.1	21.4	2.71	3.13	19.0	2.16	3.46
2.5	22.3	3.04	3.36	20.9	2.02	3.24
	NS <sup>*</sup>	Q**	Q**	C**	C*	NS
<u>Cultivar</u>						
Forager	22.5	2.76 b	3.32	19.7 b	2.07	3.30
Kenhy	22.2	2.90 a	3.22	21.6 a	1.96	3.24
Kentucky 31	21.7	2.78 ab	3.24	21.6 a	2.00	3.30
	NS		NS		NS	NS

Table 4-12.	Repeated measures analysis of nutrient concentrations in fescue
	cultivars at different harvest dates and simulated rainfall pH
	in 1986

+ Mean separation by Waller-Duncan t test, k-ratio = 100.

• Polynomial regression is not significant (NS) or is significant at the

1 percent (\*\*) or 5(\*) percent level and is quadratic (Q) or cubic (C).

least brown tissue on the August date. There was no visible injury to the grass foliage from simulated rainfall in the second year.

Soil pH declined slightly during the experiment (Table 4-16) as did the P, Ca, and Mg soil test values. The K values declined more markedly during the experiment.

Since the total rainfall (ambient plus simulated) was above normal in

							Munsel	1 Color*			
		Gree	enness Score <sup>+</sup>		V	alue			<u>C</u>	hroma.	
Effe	ct	Fescue	Orchardgrass	5	Fescue	Orchar	dgrass		Fescue	Orcha	rdgrass
					Ju	n <u>e 11</u>					
Rain	fall pH										
	4.3	1.92	1.83		4.00		4.83		4.33		5.33
	3.7	2.17	2.17		Ƴ <b>4.3</b> 3		5.17		4.67		5.33
	3.1	2.00	1.50	~	4.00		4.33		4.33		4.67
	2.5	2.17	1.67		4.17		4.67		4.33		5.67
Sig.	of F test"	NS	NS		NS		NS		NS		NS
Cult	ivar										
	Forager	1.50 b <sup>s</sup>	Able 2.00	Forager	4.00 b	Able	4.44	Forager	4.00	Able	5.75
	Kenhy	2.00 b	Hall. 1.75	Kenhy	4.50 a	Hall.	4.88	Kenhy	5.25	Hall.	5.50
	Ky 31	2.69 a	Potomac 1.63	Ky 31	3.88 b	Potomac	4.50	Ky 31	4.00	Potomac	4.50
Sig.	of F test	**	NS		*		NS		NS		NS
					Ju	ly 10					
Rain	fall pH										
<u> </u>	4.3	1.92	1.75		5.83		6.83 a	L	6.50		4.50 b
	3.7	2.17	1.96		5.83		6.67 a	b	7.17		5.33 a
	3.1	2.00	1.71		6.00		6.50 b	)	6.83		4.50 b
	2.5	1.91	1.58		5.91		6.42 k	) .	6.73		5.00 ab
Sig.	of F test	NS	NS	~	NS		*		NS		*
Cult	ivar										
	Forager	1.78 b	Able 1.63	Forager	5.94 b	Able	6.69	Foragei	c 6.13 k	Able	5.63 a
	Kenhy	1.44 c	Hall. 2.00	Kenhy	6.38 c	Hall.	6.50	Kenhy	7.38 a	Hall.	4.38 b
	Ky 31	2.83 a	Potomac 1.63	Ку 31	5.33 c	Potomac	26.63	Ку 31	6.93 a	Potomac	4.50 b
Sig.	of F test	**	NS	_	**		NS	-	**		**

Table 4-13.	Greenness	estimate	and	Munsell	color	value	and	chroma	for	forage	exposed	to	simulated	acid	rain
	from two h	narvest da	ates	in 1985											

+ Greenness of tissue, 1=light green, 3=dark green.

Hue in 5.0 GY, lighter as value increases, more yellow as chroma increases.
 Effects are not significant (NS) or are significant at the 1 (\*\*) percent or 5 (\*) percent level.
 Mean separation by Waller-Duncan t test, k-ratio = 100.

occurrence of acid rain events in nature, where variation in rainfall chemistry can be highly variable in time. The added nutrients and water may have offset detrimental effects of H, and the soil may have buffered this effect. Since the amount of N supplied in the pH 2.5 treatment is much larger than that supplied in ambient precipitation or other pH treatments (Appendix Tables 7, 8, 9), this N may have offset the effects of H from this treatment. This relatively short, two-year period of exposure with species that are comprised of heterozygous cultivars may not have been sufficient to cause serious visible or measurable yield losses in perennial grasses. The occurrence of measurable differences in forage nutrients, however, may

Rainfall pH	Soil pH	P	K	Ca	Мд
		October 3	9 k 30, 1985	g <sup>-1</sup>	
			<u> </u>		
4.3	6.0	14	53	983	183
3.7	6.0	13	84	1013	187
3.1	6.2	15	65	788	190
2.5	6.0	15	61	1076	166
		September	r 9, 1986		
4.3	5.9	15	27	726	148
3.7	5.9	14	40	688	152
3.1	×6.0	15	17	788	138
2.5	5.8	15	19	784	132
<u>1987</u>					
4.3	5.9	12	20	807	133
3.7	5.8	11	46	718	148
3.1	5.8	13	20	825	127
2.5	5.6	13	20	760	106

Table 4-16. Effect of simulated rainfall pH on soil test values at 0-7.5 cm depth on three sampling dates

indicate potential effects on quality with prolonged exposure to acid rain.

Although there is no clear cut effect of rainfall pH on plant response, the data strongly indicate a differential effect of pH 3.1 on overall plant responses. The pieces of such evidence are as follows, although not all are significant differences: (1) From 'repeated measures analysis of forage nutrients, orchardgrass at pH 3.1 had highest P and Ca and lowest N in 1985 and highest P and lowest N in 1986; fescue at pH 3.1 had highest Ca and Mg and lowest N, P, and K in 1986; and (2) from repeated measures analysis, yields were lowest at pH 3.1 in both 1985 and 1986. Also, these responses agree with the conclusion of field germination studies where pH 3.1 differed from other simulated rainfall pH treatments (Haun et al. 1988).

#### SUMMARY

Three cultivars each of orchardgrass and tall fescue were subjected to four pH levels (4.3, 3.7, 3.1, 2.5) of simulated acid precipitation for two growing seasons. Ambient precipitation was not excluded. Total precipitation during these two calendar years averaged 976 mm of ambient plus 402 mm of simulated precipitation per year. Mole ratios of nitrate to sulfate were similar in simulated and ambient rainfall. Forage yields were not affected by rainfall pH on individual harvest dates. Cultivar differences in yield mainly reflected differences in maturity. Forage was analyzed for the following elements: N, S, P, K, Ca, and Mg The principal effect of rainfall pH on forage nutrients for any one harvest date was an increase in S concentration as the pH was lowered. Orchardgrass forage was affected in more harvests than tall fescue. On thirteen harvest dates over two years, only one other element on one date in orchardgrass and two others on two dates in tall

- plocher, M. D., S. C. Perrigan, R. J. Hevel, R. M. Cooper, and D. N. Moss. 1985. Simulated acid rain on crops. Oregon Agric. Exp. Sta. Spec. Rep. 739.
- Rajbhandari, S. P. 1983. Development of an air-entrained rainfall simulator for field plots. M. S. thesis, University of Tennessee, Knoxville. 105 p.
- Sanders, W. L. 1978. Analysis of a repeated measurement experiment with incomplete data, pp. 113-116. Proc. 3rd Ann. Conf. of SAS Users Group Intern., SAS Inst. Inc. Cary, N.C.
- SAS Institute. 1982. SAS user's guide: Statistics. SAS Inst. Inc. Cary, N.C.
- Shriner, D. S. 1976. Effects of simulated rain acidified with sulfuric acid on host parasite interactions. Proc. of the First International Symposium on Acid Precipitation and the Forest Ecosystem. USDA Forest Service General Tech. Rep. NE-23.
- Shriner, D. S. 1980. Terrestrial vegetation-air pollutant interactions. Proc. of an international conference on air pollutants and their effects on terrestrial ecosystems. Banff, Alberta, Canada.
- Shriner, D. S., C. H. Abner, and L. K. Mann. 1977. Rainfall simulation for environmental application. Oak'Ridge National Laboratory Environ. Ser. Div. Pub. No. 1067. (ORNL-5151).
- Snedecor, G. W., and W. G. Cochran. 1967. Statistical methods. 6th ed. Iowa State Univ. Press. Ames. .
- Tabatabai, M. A., and W. A. Dick. 1983. Simultaneous determination of nitrate, chloride, sulfate, and phosphate in natural waters by ion chromatography. J. Environ. Qual. 12:209-213.
- Thomas, R. L., R. W. Sheard, and J. R. Moyer. 1967. Comparison of conventional and automated procedures for nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium analysis of plant materials using a single digestion. Agron. J. 59:240-243.
- Wolt, J., J. Reynolds, and K.T. Luu. 1986. Final report: Sensitivity of cool season forage grasses to simulated acid precipitation. Acid Deposition Program. North Carolina State University, Raleigh.



	April 22	May 27	July 8	Sept. 24	Oct. 22	Nov. 21	Total
Simulated Rainfall pH				- kg ha <sup>-1</sup>			
4.3 3.7 3.1 2.5	300 460 290 350 NS	210 270 240 270 NS	280 360 240 290 NS	460 460 630 480 NS	580 690 590 680 NS	130 170 120 150 NS	1,960 2,410 2,110 2,220 NS
<u>Cultivar</u>							
Able Hallmark Potomac	300 b <sup>+</sup> 370 a 370 a	250 240 250 NS	310 290 280 NS	480 520 510 NS	690 640 600 NS	150 140 140 NS	2,180 2,200 2,150 NS

Table 3.	Dry matter yield of	orchardgrass	in 1986	as	affected	by	simulated
	rainfall pH and cul	tivar					

%ere ANOVA is significant at the 5 percent level, mean separation is by Waller-Duncan t test, k-ratio=100. Rainfall pH x cultivar interactions were not significant at the 5 percent level.

	April 22	May 27	July 8	Sept. 24	Oct. 22	Nov. 21	Total
Simulated Rainfall <u>p</u> H				kg ha <sup>-1</sup> -			
4.3 3.7 3.1 2.5	520 470 490 490 NS	280 280 270 270 NS	240 240 230 270 NS	490 550 390 570 NS	680 710 620 800 NS	210 210 180 220 NS	2,420 2,460 2,180 2,620 NS
Cultivar				z			
Forager Kenhy Kentucky 31	640 a <sup>4</sup> 420 b 420 b	⊦ 230 c 280 b 320 a	240 260 240 NS	530 470 500 NS	690 690 730 NS	190 210 210 NS	2,520 a 2,330 ab 2,420 b

# Table 4.Dry matter yield of tall fescue in 1986 as affected by simulated<br/>rainfall pH and cultivar

+Where ANOVA is significant at the 5 percent level, mean separation is by Waller-Duncan t test, k-ratio≈100. Rainfall pH x cultivar interactions were not significant at the 5 percent level except on May 27.

Year	Calendar Quarter	Precipitation	н	NO3-N	S0₄-S	Cl	Ca	Mg	K
		mm			kg :	ha <sup>-1</sup>			
84	4	288	0.100	1.25	3.32	1.74	2.53	0.28	0.57
85	1	232	0.125	1.04	1.04	1.15	1.39	0.23	0.18
85	2	189	0.165	0.74	2.36	0.87	1.05	0.09	0.18
85	3	272	0.248	0.84	2.70	0.87	0.44	0.05	0.06
85	4	280	0.150	0.47	1.80	0.70	0.37	0.06	0.12
84-85	Overall	1261	0.788	4.34	11.22	5.33	5.78	0.71	1.11
86	1	205	0.179	0.78	2.17	1.38	0.74	0.08	1.60
86	2	160	0.143	0.94	2.00	0.45	0.45	0.06	0.21
86	3	274	0.143	1.00	2.55	1.07	0.99	0.11	0.19
86	4	337	0.208	0.80	2.27	1.43	0.40	0.08	0.66
86	Overall	976	0.673	3.52	8.99	4.33	2.58	0.33	0.68

Table 7.	Wet	deposition	of	elements	in	ambient	precipitation	from	September
	198	4 through De	ecer	mber 1986					

S,

r

}

}

٦ ١

ķ

Simulated Rainfall		+ 1984-85	
Treatment	Н	ND, -N	SO4-S
		kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	
4.3	0.3	1.9	4.7
3.7	1.0	4.4	10.5
3.1	3.3	14.8	36.2
2.5	10.4	58.7	139.6
		1986	
4.3	0.3	0.7	2.2
3.7	1.0	2.9	8.9
3.1	3.4	12.1	31.1
2.5	9.7	53.0	122.7

Table 8.	Deposition of elements	in	simulated	rainfall	from	September	1984
	through December 1986						

+Total simulated rainfall applied in 1984-85, 414 mm, mean application,

4.1  $\pm$  1.7 mm per event; other ions (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>): Cl, 2.9; Ca, 2.0; Mg, 0.3; K, 0.6.

\*Total simulated rainfall applied in 1986, 427 mm; mean application, 6.3  $\pm$  1.67 mm per event; other ions (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>): Cl, 4.2; Ca, 1.4; Mg, 0.2; K, 0.5.

Table 9. Ambient dry deposition of elements from April 1986 through March 1987

Year	Calendar Quarter	Н	NO3-N	S0₄-S	Cl	Ca	Mg	K
			ا همه مله مله الي بين الله المراجع الي المراجع الي المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع الم المراجع المراجع	k	g ha <sup>-1</sup> -			
86	2	0.001	0.36	0.48	0.42	0.90	0.09	0.41
86	3	0.018	0.26	0.47	0.40	0.80	0.07	0.27
86	4	0.003	0.37	0.90	0.75	0.67	0.08	0.30
87	1	0.006	0.44	0.78	0.64	0.81	0.09	0.31