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ABSTRACT

Human noroviruses (HNoVs) are considered the leading cause of acute non-bacterial gastroenteritis worldwide. Effective chemical disinfectants to inactivate HNoVs are needed. Since HNoVs cannot be cultivated in the lab, cultivable surrogates, feline calicivirus (FCV-F9) and murine norovirus (MNV-1), are used to determine inactivation using infectivity plaque assays. This study aimed to: 1) determine the ability of benzalkonium chloride (BAC) and potassium peroxymonosulfate (KPMS) to inactivate FCV-F9 and MNV-1 in vitro using suspension and carrier tests under clean and simulated dirty (5% fetal bovine serum) conditions over 1 h at room temperature; 2) determine inactivation of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 in suspension and carrier tests over 1 h at room temperature by sodium metasilicate (SMS). In suspension tests, BAC caused 1.94 and 2.59 log reductions of low and high FCV-F9 titers after 1 h, respectively. MNV-1 at low and high titers was reduced by > 3 and 1.47 logs with BAC after 1 h, respectively. KPMS at 5 and 10 mg/mL reduced low titers of both viruses to non-detectable levels within 30 s. High FCV-F9 titers were non-detectable after 2 min with 5 mg/mL and within 30 s with 10 mg/mL of KPMS. KPMS at 5 mg/mL had little effect against high titers of MNV-1, but caused a 4.61 log reduction after 5 min with 10 mg/mL of KPMS. Using clean carrier tests, KPMS at 5 and 10 mg/mL reduced both tested viruses at low titers after 30 s and only high FCV-F9 titers after 10 min to undetectable levels. MNV-1 at high titers were reduced to non-detectable levels after 15 min with 10 mg/mL KPMS. BAC reduced low titers of both viruses to undetectable levels after 1 h using carrier tests with no significant reduction of high titers even after 2 h. The antiviral effect of both chemicals decreased under simulated dirty conditions. Both viruses were reduced within 15 s by 5% and 10% SMS using suspension tests. FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at high
titers were reduced to undetectable levels after 2 min and 15 s, respectively with 2% SMS. KPMS and SMS appear suitable for the rapid control of HNoV transmission.
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CHAPTER I
LITERATURE REVIEW

Introduction

Foodborne illnesses are regarded as leading public health concerns worldwide as causes of morbidity and mortality. It is estimated that foodborne diseases cause approximately 9.4 million illnesses, 55961 hospitalizations, and 1351 deaths in the United States each year associated with 31 known pathogens (Scallan et al., 2011). The causative agents of foodborne illness include viruses, bacteria, parasites, toxins, metals, and prions (Mead et al., 1999). Among them, viruses are currently considered the leading cause of foodborne illnesses, responsible for 59% (5.5 million) of foodborne disease cases (Scallan et al., 2011).

Enteric viruses that can be transmitted by foodborne or waterborne routes include human caliciviruses (noroviruses, sapoviruses, vesiviruses and lagoviruses), hepatitis A and E virus, human enteroviruses (poliovirus, coxsackie A and B, echovirus, enterovirus serotypes 68-71), astroviruses, other small round viruses, parvoviruses, and rotavirus (Sair et al., 2002). From an epidemiological perspective, the agents that are responsible for the most common cause of acute viral gastroenteritis around the world are human noroviruses. Current estimates are that human noroviruses are responsible for approximately 3.2 million (58%) illnesses, 14,550 (26%) hospitalizations and 287 (11%) deaths annually in the U.S. alone (Scallan et al., 2011). However, these figures can be considered as an underestimate due to the disease being under-reported and cases frequently undetected or outbreaks being uninvestigated. Therefore, it is imperative to develop effective inactivation strategies to control human norovirus spread and prevent their outbreaks in food processing and nosocomial environments.
Human Norovirus and its Surrogates

Human norovirus was first discovered by Albert Kapikian in 1972 using immune electron microscopy (IEM) of stool samples collected from a 1968 outbreak of gastroenteritis in Norwalk, Ohio, USA (Kapikian et al., 1972). Since then, the so-called “Norwalk virus” began to be significantly recognized. Norovirus, the prototype member of small round structured viruses (SRSVs), belongs to the family *Caliciviridae* based on the genetic sequencing work (Jiang et al., 1993; Xi et al., 1990). Currently, this family is comprised of four genera: noroviruses (represented by Norwalk-like viruses, having Norwalk virus prototype strain), sapoviruses (represented by Sapporo-like viruses, having Sapporo virus prototype strain), vesiviruses (represented by vesicular exanthema of swine virus and feline calicivirus) and lagoviruses (represented by rabbit hemorrhagic disease virus and European brown hare syndrome virus), the former two genera being significant to human epidemic gastroenteritis (D’Souza et al., 2007; Sair et al., 2002).

The genus norovirus is comprised of a genetically diverse group of non-enveloped, single-stranded, positive sense RNA viruses. These viruses are icosahedral in shape with a diameter of 27 to 35 nm; and buoyant density of 1.33-1.41 g/cm$^3$ in CsCl (Kapikian et al., 1972). The norovirus capsid protein consists of 180 capsid protein molecules possessing a molecular weight ranging from 59,000 to 65,000 Da (Jiang et al., 1993; Kapikian et al., 1996). The norovirus genome consists of three open reading frames (ORF) to encode structural and non-structural proteins. ORF1 possesses the longest nucleotides and encodes the non-structural proteins (RNA dependent RNA polymerase); ORF2 encodes the viral capsid protein; and ORF3 encodes a small polypeptide conjectured to package the genome into virions (Donaldson et al., 2008; Jiang et al., 1993).
Based on the sequencing analysis of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase region and capsid protein region, noroviruses are classified into five genogroups. Genogroups (G) I, GII and GIV are associated with human infections and responsible for foodborne illness outbreaks; they are represented by the prototype Norwalk virus, prototype Snow Mountain agent and prototype Ft. Lauderdale virus, respectively. The other genogroups, GIII and GV are associated with animal infections, represented by prototype, bovine enteric calicivirus and prototype murine norovirus, respectively (D’Souza et al., 2007). Among human infecting genogroups, GII is predominant, responsible for the most human norovirus outbreaks. As reported previously, approximately 73% of human norovirus illnesses are caused by GII viruses (Zheng et al., 2006). The emerging of virulent strains including GII.4 can cause deaths in the elderly and immune-compromised (Siebenga et al., 2010). Norovirus genogroups can be further subdivided into genotypes, also known as genoclusters. Currently, GI is comprised of eight genotypes and GII has at least seventeen genotypes (Zheng et al., 2006).

Human noroviruses, in a similar manner to other enteric viruses, can be transmitted by the fecal-oral route or by direct contact with an infected person as well as by consuming contaminated food or water or touching contaminated surfaces (Kukkula et al., 1999; Weinstein et al., 2008). Aerosolized vomitus is another transmission mode for human noroviruses (Patterson et al., 1997). The difficulty in controlling the spread of human noroviruses is due to their high primary and secondary attack rate, environmental stability and low infectious dose (Caul, 1994; D’Souza et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2009). Outbreaks associated with human noroviruses have occurred in a variety of closed settings, including healthcare facilities, restaurants, cruise ships, schools, hotels, and other institutional settings (CDC, 2011), as evidenced by the environmental persistence of human noroviruses. Further, the survival of
human noroviruses in human gastrointestinal tract indicates their persistence in the presence of degrading enzymes and extreme pH environments (Sair et al., 2002). Previous studies have shown that human noroviruses can be detected on food-contact surfaces for up to 7 days at room temperature; can persist at temperatures ranging from freezing to 60°C (30 min); at pH 2.7 for 3 h at room temperature in stool; with treatment of 20% ether for 18 h at 4°C; and resistant to low concentrations of chlorine (3.75-6.25 mg/L) (D’Souza et al., 2006; D’Souza et al., 2007; Dolin et al., 1972; Patel et al., 2009; Teunis et al., 2008). The infectious dose of human noroviruses is quite low; only 10 infectious units can cause disease. The incubation period of human norovirus infection is typically 12-48 h and characterized by mild to severe gastroenteritis with symptoms include vomiting, nausea, diarrhea, and abdominal pain. The duration of the infection may last 12-72 h; however, fecal viral shedding can be extended for several more days (Grohmann et al., 1981; Cliver et al., 2002; D’Souza et al., 2007). Although, HNoV infection itself is self-limiting in healthy individuals, they can be life-threatening to the elderly and immune-compromised (Donaldson et al., 2010; Siebenga et al., 2009).

To date, the major hurdle for gaining a better knowledge of human noroviruses lies in the fact that human noroviruses cannot be cultivated in cell culture. Therefore, cultivable surrogates are needed to study the basic pathology of human noroviruses, explore the environmental stability of these viruses and determine the efficacy of various control strategies. Several cultivable enteric caliciviruses within the Caliciviridae family have been utilized as human norovirus surrogates, including feline calicivirus (FCV-F9), murine norovirus (MNV-1), canine calicivirus (a vesivirus) (Mochizuki et al., 1993), Tulane virus (Farkas et al., 2008) and porcine enteric calicivirus (a sapovirus) (Guo et al., 1999). Currently, the most widely used surrogates are FCV-F9 and MNV-1, which are genetically similar to norovirus because they all belong to
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the *Caliciviridae* family. FCV-F9 is positioned in the *Vesivirus* genus, causing acute oral and upper respiratory tract disease in feline populations, typically cats (Green et al., 2000; Radford et al., 2007). This virus has been extensively utilized as a surrogate for human norovirus as a model of evaluating viral persistence and reduction due to its ease of culture and quantification. However, unlike human norovirus, FCV-F9 is transmitted by the respiratory instead of the fecal-oral route (Green et al., 2001). Further, this virus is more vulnerable to environmental stresses, being sensitive to low pH and elevated temperatures as well as chemical treatments (D'Souza and Su, 2010; Doultree et al., 1999; Slomka and Appleton, 1998). MNV-1, a recently cultivable surrogate for human norovirus, belongs to the *Norovirus* genus, which indicates more morphological and genetic similarity to human noroviruses; this virus is currently the only norovirus known in literature that is amenable to cell culture (Wobus et al., 2006). MNV-1 can infect and replicate in mice. Like human norovirus, this virus is transmitted via the fecal-oral route. Further, MNV-1 shares many biochemical and genetic characteristics with human strains, including size, shape and buoyant density (Wobus et al., 2006). With such similarities, MNV-1 shows considerable promise as a surrogate for human noroviruses. However, there are differences. MNV-1 binds to sialic acid on the host cell surface as a receptor, while human norovirus recognizes the histoblood group antigens (HBGAs) (Taube et al., 2009); and the two viruses have different clinical manifestations for MNV-1 does not cause diarrhea or vomiting (Karst et al., 2003). Thus, an effective animal model is still being investigated. Recently, Tulane Virus has been cultivated in the laboratory and is considered an alternate surrogate to MNV-1 by some investigators (Li et al., 2012; Tan and Jiang, 2010). This enteric virus was isolated from stool samples of captive juvenile rhesus macaques, which can replicate *in vitro* in monkey cell lines (Farkas et al., 2008). Tulane virus has a diameter of 36 nm and a buoyant density of 1.37
g/mL; and most importantly, this virus recognizes human HBGAs as a receptor (Farkas et al., 2010). However, Tulane virus belongs to a new calicivirus genus separate from the *Norovirus* genus and the pathogenicity of Tulane virus in rhesus macaques remains unknown (Farkas et al., 2008). Further, Hirneisen and Kniel compared the behavior of MNV-1 and Tulane virus and concluded that MNV-1 is a better surrogate for HNoV, as MNV-1 is more pH (2.0 to 10.0) stable, more resistant to chlorine treatment at 2 ppm and more persistent in tap water at 4 °C (Hirneisen and Kniel, 2013). Overall, the infectivity studies of surrogates can be used to gain a better understanding of human noroviruses; however, they cannot always model human strains. Hence, it is necessary to use caution when interpreting the results of surrogates. Feeding and challenge studies are deemed more suitable by some investigators.

**Chemical Inactivation of Human Norovirus surrogates**

To control the spread of human noroviruses and their emerging virulent forms, control strategies have been evaluated including heat inactivation, non-thermal methods, chemical and natural bioactives as alternates. Since human noroviruses can be transmitted via the fecal-oral route, human excretions including stool and vomit can be the main source of human norovirus environmental transmission. The titer of viral particle shed in the feces can be as high as $10^8$/g (Atmar et al., 2008). Therefore, it is imperative to implement control measures to interrupt the transmission of human noroviruses. The use of chemical disinfectants can be considered as an effective intervention strategy to prevent transmission of human noroviruses from contaminated surfaces (Barker et al., 2004).
**Chlorine:**

Chlorine is an important chemical applied as a disinfectant to kill microorganisms in drinking water and swimming pools. Chlorine is the active ingredient of store-bought household bleach, which normally contains 5.7% available chlorine. The dosage typically used is 4 ppm for disinfecting water.

The antiviral activity of free chlorine is proposed to occur by damaging the capsid as well as RNA (McDonnell and Russell, 1999). Li and others (2002) reported that chlorine at 10 or 20 mg/L concentration could completely inactivate hepatitis A virus (HAV) after 30 min of exposure in a cell culture model. Using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, the disappearance of antigenicity was observed after chlorine treatment/inactivation, which indicates that chlorine may target nucleic acids rather than capsid proteins. It was reported that the inactivation of HAV was due to the damage of the 5’ nontranslated regions (5’NTR), as evaluated by long-overlap RT-PCR (Li et al., 2002). Furthermore, Baert and others (2009) suggested that FCV, MS2 and HAV react similarly to chlorine because a similar decline was observed among FCV, MS2 and HAV (Baert et al., 2009). Allwood and others (2004) observed 2.9 log reductions of both FCV and MS2 on leafy vegetables treated with 200 ppm chlorine after 2 min of exposure (Allwood et al., 2004). Similarly, Casteel and others (2008) found that produce treated with 20 ppm chlorine for 10 min enabled a reduction of at least 1.7 log PFU/mL HAV and MS2 (Casteel et al., 2008).

Chlorine was found to be effective against viruses based on the dose, contact time, and the type of viruses (Table 1.1). FCV was reduced by $2.9 \log_{10}$ PFU/mL on lettuce after a 2 min treatment with 15 ppm chlorine, while MNV-1 was reduced by $1.4 \log_{10}$ PFU/mL under the same
experimental conditions (Fraisse et al., 2011). Chlorine at 200 ppm reduced FCV-F9 at low titers (~5 log PFU/mL) on produce to undetectable levels after a short contact time of 15 s or 30 s, but only ≤1.4 log reduction of high titers (~7 log PFU/mL) (Su and D’Souza, 2011). MNV-1 reached lower reductions compared to FCV-F9 at both low and high titers under the same treatment and contact time with a 2~3 log-PFU reduction and <1.3 log-PFU reduction, respectively (Su and D’Souza, 2011). Using a surface test, 5000 ppm of chlorine was found to almost completely inactivate FCV-F9 at both low titers (~5 log PFU/mL) and high titers (~7 log PFU/mL) after 30 s or 1 min contact time, respectively at room temperature (D’Souza and Su, 2010). Duizer and others (2004) suggested that chlorine at concentration of ≥3000 ppm could completely inactivate FCV-F9 after a 10 min exposure at room temperature (Duizer et al., 2004). Kitajima and others (2010) found that MNV-1 was inactivated by more than 4 logs after 120 min and 0.5 min contact time with initial free chlorine concentration of 0.1 and 0.5 mg/L, respectively (Kitajima et al., 2010). The findings were similar with Belliot and others (2008), with inactivation of MNV-1 by >4 log after treatment with 0.26% chlorine after a 0.5 min contact time (Belliot et al., 2008).

Using a suspension test, 0.3 ppm of free chlorine was found to reduce partially purified FCV-F9 by >4.6 logs after a 5 min exposure (Urakami et al., 2007). However, Doulthtree and others (1999) observed a 5 log reduction in the infectivity of FCV-F9 after 1 min of exposure to a concentration of 5000 ppm free chlorine (Doulthtree et al., 1999). It is generally recognized that relatively higher concentrations of chlorine are needed to inactivate human norovirus surrogates on the surfaces. For example, Whitehead and McCue (2010) found that FCV-F9 with 100 ppm chlorine for 1 min resulted in <2.3 log reduction, but was reduced by >3 log with 1,000 ppm chlorine after the same exposure time (Whitehead and McCue, 2010). The results were similar to the reports by Jimenez and Chiang (2006). They observed a 3.2 log reduction treated by 100 ppm
chlorine and a 6.6 log reduction by 1,000 ppm chlorine after 10 min (Jimenez and Chiang, 2006). Gulati and others (2001) reported that, after a range of 1-10 min of exposure to different concentrations of chlorine, a <1 log reduction was observed by <800 ppm chlorine, while a 3.4 log reduction with 5000 ppm chlorine was observed (Gulati et al., 2001). Similarly, Doultree and others (1999) found that <500 ppm and 5000 ppm of chlorine resulted in a <3 log and 5 log reduction, respectively after 1 min of contact time (Doultree et al., 1999). Fewer studies have been conducted with MNV-1. Girard and others (2010) observed that MNV-1 was completely inactivated by 3000 ppm free chlorine after both 5 min and 10 min exposure, as determined by infectivity assay, but with a 4 log reduction using real time RT-PCR (Girard et al., 2010).

It is believed that the effect of chlorine on inactivating human norovirus surrogates is readily decreased by organic matter. For instance, Poschetto and others (2007) observed that FCV-F9 was reduced by >5 log with 4500-5000 ppm of free chlorine after 15 min contact time without feces, while with feces, a 4 log reduction was observed with 5500 ppm after the same exposure time (Poschetto et al., 2007).

Although chlorine is effective against viruses, it may create harmful disinfection by-products including trihalomethanes, which is dangerous to life and health, and produces unfavorable sensory effects. Chlorine can also bleach food and carcinogenic byproducts can be formed by the interaction of chlorine with organic matter (Dunnick and Melnick, 1993). Hence, alternate disinfectant methods are being sought.

**Alcohols:**

Ethanol is a commonly employed sanitizer and disinfectant used in the general concentration range of 70%-75%. The mechanism of its antiviral activity is proposed to occur by
denaturing capsid proteins and dissolving the lipids of the enveloped viruses (McDonnell and Russell, 1999). Ethanol at 70% concentration has been evaluated most frequently by investigators for its efficacy against human norovirus surrogates. However, no conclusive suggestions/recommendations have been reached, as there are variable results against non-enveloped viruses (Table 1.2). A few studies have reported that ethanol has a strong effect against FCV-F9 and MNV-1. For example, Di Martino and others (2010) found that 70% ethanol was able to inactive FCV-F9 by >4 log PFU/mL after a 10 min exposure, as evaluated by nested RT-PCR (Di Martino et al., 2010). Malik and others (2006), using tissue culture infectious dose 50 (TCID\text{50}), showed that 70% and 90% ethanol were most effective at killing 99% of FCV-F9 after 1 min of exposure (Malik et al., 2006). Similarly, Gehrke and others (2004), using quantitative suspension assays, suggested that 67% ethanol had a high degree of efficacy on decontaminating FCV-F9 after 1 min contact time (Gehrke et al., 2004). Macinga and others (2008) found that, using an infectivity assay, 70% ethanol could inactivate FCV-F9 by ≥4.75 log_{10} PFU/mL after a 30 s contact time (Macinga et al., 2008). Belliot and others (2008) found that MNV-1 was significantly inactivated (>4 log PFU/mL) by 60% ethanol after 30 s exposure, as evaluated by plaque assays and real-time RT-PCR (Belliot et al., 2008). Similarly, Magulski and others (2009) observed ≥4 log reduction on MNV-1 with 50% ethonal after 5 min of exposure using an infectivity assay (Magulski et al., 2009). Park and others (2010), using plaque assays, also suggested that ≥70% ethanol was able to inactivate MNV-1 by >3.6 log with contact times ranging from 1 min to 5 min (Park et al., 2010).

On the other hand, many studies showed that ethanol was ineffective against HNoV surrogates. For example, D’Souza and Su (2010) using plaque assays found that treatment with 70% ethanol was not effective in reducing the infectivity of both FCV and MNV at low (~5 log
PFU/mL) or high (~7 log PFU/mL) titers after 30 s or 1 min contact times (D’Souza and Su, 2010). Park and others (2010) reported that 70% ethanol resulted in a 2.6 log reduction in FCV after 5 min exposure (Park et al., 2010). The findings of Duizer and others (2004) were similar, with FCV being inactivated <2 log after an 8 min exposure to 70% ethanol, and a 3 log-reduction after a 30 min exposure, as evaluated by infectivity plaque assays (Duizer et al., 2004). Doultree and others (1999) also reported that FCV was not significantly inactivated (1.25 log) at ethanol concentrations of 75% with a contact time of 1 min (Doultree et al., 1999), which were similar to the reports by Whitehead and McCue’s (2010), with FCV being reduced approximately 1.3 logs after 1 min of exposure to 60-75% ethanol (Whitehead and McCue, 2010). Lages and others (2008), using fingertips, found that ethanol concentrations of 99.5% was more effective (≥1 log reduction) than 62% ethanol (<1 log reduction) against FCV-F9 with contact times of 30s or 2 min, respectively (Lages et al., 2008).

Other alcohols, including 1-propanol and isopropanol, were also used to reduce FCV-F9 and MNV-1 (Table 1.2 and 1.3). For example, Gehrke and others (2004) reported that 50% to 70% of 1-propanol was effective at inactivating FCV-F9 within 30 s, using a quantitative suspension assay. They found that 1-propanol at concentrations of 60% was the most effective, and 50% and 70% of 1-propanol could reduce FCV-F9 by 4 log units (Gehrke et al., 2004). Using a surface test, Magulski and others (2009) reported that MNV-1 was inactivated ≥4 logs after a 5 min exposure to 30% 1-propanol, as evaluated by an infectivity assay (Magulski et al., 2009).

Isopropanol was shown to be effective at inactivating FCV-F9 and MNV-1 (Belliot et al., 2008; Gehrke et al., 2004; Malik et al., 2006; Park et al., 2010), although reports by Park and others (2010) showed almost no reduction of FCV-F9 by 50%, 70% or 90% of isopropanol after
1 min or 5 min exposure, as determined by plaque assays (Park et al., 2010). Other studies suggested that isopropanol has a high degree of efficacy in decontaminating FCV-F9. For instance, Gehrke and others (2004) found that 58% of isopropanol was most effective against FCV-F9 after 1 min of exposure, as evaluated by a quantitative suspension assay (Gehrke et al., 2004). Similarly, Malik and others (2006) reported that using TCID$_{50}$, 40% to 60% isopropanol was most effective, which could kill 99% of FCV-F9 within 1 min (Malik et al., 2006). Compared to FCV-F9, MNV-1 showed more resistance to isopropanol. Park and others (2010) reported that $\geq$70% of isopropanol reduced the infectivity of MNV-1 by $\geq$2.6 log units after 5 min contact time, as evaluated by plaque assay (Park et al., 2010). Belliot and others (2008) observed $>$3.8 log reduction of MNV-1 after 30 s exposure to 60% isopropanol, as determined by infectivity assay (Belliot et al., 2008). Using surface testing, Magulski and others (2009) found that 60% isopropanol inactivated MNV-1 by 3.02 log units after 5 min contact time using infectivity plaque assays (Magulski et al., 2009).

**Glutaraldehyde**

Glutaraldehyde is an organic compound used to disinfect medical and dental equipment and also used as a preservative in industrial cleaning agents. Glutaraldehyde has been studied as a disinfectant agent against food-borne viruses and surrogates, including hepatitis A virus (HAV), rotavirus, FCV-F9 and MNV-1.

Glutaraldehyde at low concentration has been shown to inactivate food-borne viruses. Passagot and others (1987) reported that a $> 3 \log_{10}$ reduction was achieved after 30 min of exposure using 0.10% glutaraldehyde and within only 3 min using 0.50% glutaraldehyde against HAV using TCID$_{50}$ assays (Passagot et al., 1987). Mbithi and others (1990) found that 2%
glutaraldehyde reduced HAV by 99.9% after 1 min of exposure on contaminated stainless-steel disks (Mbithi et al., 1990). Jean and others (2003) observed that disinfectant containing 5% glutaraldehyde plus 10% quaternary ammonium was effective in inactivating HAV in solution after a 5 min of contact time, which could reduce HAV by 7.25 logs at the final concentration of 3,000 ppm (Jean et al., 2003). Lloyd-Evans and others (1986) reported that 2% glutaraldehyde was also effective against human rotavirus (Lloyd-Evans et al., 1986). Glutaraldehyde was also found to effectively inactivate human norovirus surrogates, FCV-F9 and MNV-1. Using surface tests, D’Souza and Su (2010) found that 2% glutaraldehyde effectively inactivated both FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at both low (~5 log PFU/mL) and high (~7 log PFU/mL) titers after 30 s or 1 min exposure times (D’Souza and Su, 2010). Malik and others (2006) reported that, after a range of 1 to 10 min exposure to 2.6% glutaraldehyde, FCV-F9 was inactivated by more than 99.99% (Malik et al., 2006). Similarly, Magulski and others (2009) observed a ≥4 log reduction of MNV-1 treated by 2500 ppm glutaraldehyde within 5 min exposure time (Magulski et al., 2009). Furthermore, there were no significant differences in virus reduction under either dirty or clean conditions. Using suspension tests, Doltree and others (1999) observed complete inactivation of FCV-F9 with 0.5% glutaraldehyde after 1 min contact time (Doltree et al., 1999).

**Benzalkonium chloride:**

Benzalkonium chloride (BAC) belongs to the quaternary ammonium compound (QAC) group, which have been widely utilized in hand sanitizers (Moadab et al., 2001) and disinfectants for hard surfaces (Gradel et al., 2004) as well as for pharmaceutical preservatives (Marple et al., 2004) due to its effectiveness in decontaminating a broad spectrum of bacteria, viruses and fungi in solutions (Bastiani et al., 1974; Belec et al., 2000; Jira et al., 1982). BAC at low concentrations is reported to be non-irritating, non-toxic, and non-corrosive to food contact
surfaces and thus can be safely used as a disinfectant in the food industry as a readily-used antimicrobial agent. As quaternary ammonium compounds are surface acting agents, the antiviral mechanism of BAC may have been due to the alterations in the surface components at, or adjacent to the attachment site, which interacts with the receptor on the surface of the host cell (Wood and Payne 1998).

BAC at 512 ppm (0.512 mg/mL) is reported to be effective against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), *Campylobacter, Salmonella, Escherichia coli O157:H7, Listeria monocytogenes* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* after an exposure of 5 min in both suspension and surface tests (Riazi and Matthews, 2011). In addition to bacteria, studies have also shown that BAC has antiviral activity against canine coronavirus (Pratelli, 2007), respiratory syncytial virus, adenovirus, herpes simplex virus hominis type 2 and cytomegalovirus (Belec et al., 2000). In suspension tests, in the presence of organic load, BAC effectively inactivate enveloped viruses herpes simplex virus type 1 and human immunodeficiency virus type 1, as well as the non-enveloped human coxsackie virus at the concentration of 0.2% w/v after 1 min with initial viral titers of $10^6$-$10^7$ PFU/TCID$_{50}$ or syncytia-forming assay (SFU) (Wood and Payne 1998).

Su and D’Souza (2012) reported that BAC at 0.2, 0.5, and 1 mg/mL reduced FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at low ($\sim 5 \log_{10}$ PFU/mL) titers to undetectable levels after 2 h at room temperature in suspension tests; and high ($\sim 7 \log_{10}$ PFU/mL) titers of FCV-F9 was reduced by 2.87, 3.08, and 3.25 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL and high titer MNV-1 was reduced by 1.55, 2.32, and 2.75 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL with BAC at three concentrations, respectively (Su and D’Souza, 2012).
Organic acids:

Organic acids, including lactic acid, tannic acid, and peroxyacetic acid, have been used for inactivating human noroviruses and human enteroviruses and their surrogates. The acid mediated mechanisms leading to a loss of infectivity have not been completely understood, which is believed to result from the alterations of the virus capsid and nucleic acid (Cliver, 2009; Salo and Cliver, 1976). However, whether the antiviral effect was derived from the decrease in pH or the type of acid is still not clear. It appears that the inactivation of non-enveloped viruses is due to the denaturation of capsid proteins due to the decrease in pH (Rodger et al., 1977)

Using suspension tests, Straube and others (2011) found that D, L-lactic acid at the concentration of 0.3% (PH 3.4-3.5) and 0.4% (PH 3.2-3.3) could cause significant titer reductions of FCV-F9 after 7 days of exposure at 20°C (Straube et al., 2011). Using an organic acid-based disinfectant containing 55 to 60% formic acid and 7% glyoxylic acid, Poschetto and others (2007) observed a ≥5 log_{10} reduction of FCV-F9 after 15 min contact time, estimated by cell culture or a 2 to 3 log_{10} reduction after 15 min or 60 min as determined by RT-PCR (Poschetto et al., 2007). However, the disinfectant efficacy was strongly reduced by the presence of fetal bovine serum (FBS) or feces. Baert and others (2009) reported that 250 mg/L peroxyacetic acid could obtain an additional 1-log reduction for MNV-1 on shredded iceberg lettuce, and its efficacy was not influenced by the presence of organic materials (Baert et al., 2009). Fraisse and others (2011) found that 100 ppm of peroxyacetic-based biocide effectively inactivated FCV-F9 by 3.2 log units and MNV-1 by 2.3 log units on the lettuce leaves after 2 min of contact time, as estimated by cell culture (Fraisse et al., 2011). Whitehead and McCue (2010) reported that one acid-based bathroom disinfectant containing 2.5% citric acid (pH 2.0) could reduce FCV-F9 by >3.17 log_{10} reduction after 1 min of exposure (Whitehead and McCue,
Recently, Zhang and others (2011) found that tannic acid derived from Chinese medicinal herbs as an inhibitor in binding to histo-blood group antigens (HBGAs) receptors, which indicated that tannic acid may be a promising antiviral against HNoVs (Zhang et al., 2011). Su and D’Souza (2012) reported that tannic acid at 0.2 mg/mL reduced low titers (~5 log<sub>10</sub> PFU/mL) of FCV-F9 by 1.95 log and <1 logs of high titers (~7 log<sub>10</sub> PFU/mL) of FCV-F9 after 2 h of contact time at room temperature. They also reported that gallic acid at 0.1, 0.2, and 0.4 mg/mL reduced low-titers of FCV-F9 by 2.50, 2.36, and 0.86 log<sub>10</sub> PFU/mL, respectively with little effect against high-titer FCV-F9 under the same exposure time (Su and D’Souza, 2012). Oh and others (2012) demonstrated that gallic acid in black raspberry (BRB) juice did not show any antiviral activity against FCV-F9 or MNV-1, though BRB exhibited high efficacy against these viruses. Acetic acid is the typical acid used with chitosan and is known to have antibacterial activity (Oh et al., 2012). Acetic acid has been found to be effective against bacteria such as Salmonella Typhimurium, Enterobacter sakazakii and Listeria monocytogenes (Alvarez-Ordonez et al., 2009; Back et al., 2009; Vasseur et al., 1999). Davis and others (2012) found that chitosan dissolved in 1% acetic acid reduced phiX174 by 1.19-1.29, MS2 by 1.88-5.37, FCV-F9 by 2.27-2.94, and MNV-1 by 0.09-0.28 log<sub>10</sub> PFU/mL, respectively after incubation for 3 h at 37 °C (Davis et al., 2012). Malic acid is a dicarboxylic acid which was first isolated from apple juice. Malic acid at 10% combined with 1% thiamine dilauryl sulfate was found completely inactivated E. coli O157: H7 on 10g of inoculated alfalfa seeds (Fransisca et al., 2012). Malic acid combined with citric acid and sodium laruryl sulfate showed antiviral activity against rhinovirus (Hayden et al., 1985). Humic acid is a principle component of humic substances, which naturally occurs as decomposed constituents of soil. Ansorq and Rochus (1978) found that humic acids inhibited Staphylococcus epidermidis, S. aureus, Streptococcus pyogenes, S.
Typhimurium, P. vulgaris, E. cloacae, P. aeruginosa and C. albicans (Ansorg and Rochus, 1978). Certain modified forms of humic acid have also shown antiviral activities against herpes simplex and human immunodeficiency viruses (Klocking et al., 2002; Schneider et al., 1996; Van Rensburg et al., 2002).

Electrolyzed water

Electrolyzed water (aka electrolyzed oxidizing water or EOW) is produced from the electrolysis of water containing sodium chloride. This procedure generates a solution of sodium hypochlorite, which is the active ingredient in household bleach. EOW has been applied in the food industry and agriculture due to its environmentally friendly nature, high efficiency, and no adverse side effects. EOW is believed to destroy the outer cellular membrane, increase the membrane permeability and decrease the activity of key enzymes due to its physical and chemical properties, including low pH, high oxidation-reduction potential, high dissolved oxygen and free chlorine (Koseki et al., 2001; Kuira and others 2002; Len et al., 2000; Liao et al., 2007; Park et al., 2004; Zeng et al., 2010). However, there is still no definite conclusion on the disinfection targets/mechanisms of EOW.

The acidic form of EOW was found to effectively inactivate food-borne pathogens on the food surfaces, including Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Salmonella and Listeria monocytogenes. Park and others (2006) observed 2.41 and 2.65 log CFU reductions per lettuce leaf of E. coli O 157:H7 and L. monocytogenes respectively using acidic electrolyzed water (AC-EW) (45 ppm residual chlorine) after 3 min of exposure time at 22°C (Park et al., 2006). Koseki and others (2003) reported 4.6 and 4.4 log CFU/g reductions of E. coli O 157:H7 and S. Typhimurium respectively with the treatment of spot inoculated lettuce surfaces using 40 ppm
AC-EW for 1 min (Koseki et al., 2003). Guentzel and others (2008) showed that dip treatment of inoculated spinach and lettuce surfaces with 20 and 50 ppm AC-EW for 10 min resulted in 2.14 to 4.97 log CFU/g reductions of *E. coli*, *S. Typhimurium*, and *L. monocytogenes* (Guentzel et al., 2008). Park and others (2008) reported that *E. coli* O 157:H7, *S. Typhimurium*, and *L. monocytogenes* were reduced below the detection limit (0.7 log) after a 3-min treatment of AC-EW (~37.5 mg/L) at room temperature on the surfaces of lettuce and spinach (Park et al., 2008).

Slightly acidic electrolyzed water (SAEW) was also reported to be effective towards inactivating these food-borne pathogens. Issa-Zacharia and others (2010) reported that SAEW containing 23 mg/L available chlorine effectively reduced the population of *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, and *Salmonella spp.* by 5.1, 4.8, and 5.2 log CFU/mL, respectively after 60 s of treatment (Issa-Zacharia et al., 2010). However, the efficacy of AC-EW could be decreased by the presence of organic matter. Park and others (2009) evaluated the effects of bovine serum on the bactericidal activity of AC-EW on *E. coli*, *S. Typhimurium*, and *L. monocytogenes* inoculated surfaces of green onions and tomatoes. They showed that AC-EW (~37.5 mg/l available chlorine concentration) treatment alone achieved the reductions below the detection limit (0.7 log CFU/g) after 3 min, whereas the bactericidal activity decreased with the increase of bovine serum concentration (Park et al., 2009).

EOW was also studied for the removal of inoculated human norovirus from the surfaces of raspberries and romaine lettuce by a simple wash. Tian and others (2011) found that an AC-EW wash enhanced the binding of HNoV to raspberries and lettuce with only ~7.5% and ~4% of HNoV removed, respectively (Tian et al., 2011).
Ozone:

Ozone is a powerful oxidizing agent, which has been used as a disinfectant for killing microorganisms in air and water sources. Ozone applications in the food industry typically include decontamination of product surfaces and water treatment (Kim et al., 1999). The mechanism of inactivation of viruses may be due to both viral RNA and capsid protein destruction (Kim and others, 1999). Roy and others (1981) believed that damage to the viral nucleic acid was the major cause of poliovirus 1 inactivation by ozone (Roy et al., 1981). This is supported by the report of Shin and Sobsey (2003), which showed that virus reductions of poliovirus 1 and coliphage MS2 exposed to ozone were similar as evaluated by both RT-PCR and infectivity assays (Shin and Sobsey, 2003). However, others suggested that ozone actually targets the capsid protein. Kim and others (1980) found that ozone breaks the protein capsid into subunits, which leads to the inactivation of bacteriophage f2 (Kim et al., 1980). This is evidenced by the study of Lim and others (2010), who reported that ozone at 1 mg/liter (at pH of 7 or 5.6 and temperature of 20°C or 5°C) effectively inactivated more than 99% of MNV-1 within 2 min, as measured by plaque assays, but RT-PCR assay significantly underestimated the inactivation of MNV-1 (Lim et al., 2010).

Ozone has shown its efficacy on the inactivation of norovirus surrogates, including FCV-F9 and MNV-1. Hirneisen and others (2011) observed >6 log TCID$_{50}$/mL of FCV reduction in water and ~2 log TCID$_{50}$/mL on green onions and lettuce after 5 min of 6.25 ppm ozone treatment. MNV-1 inoculated onto these produce items produced a >2 log reduction after 1 min of exposure, as determined by plaque assay (Hirneisen et al., 2011). Hudson and others (2007) reported that ozone gas, at the level of 20-25 ppm, was able to reduce the concentration of
infectious FCV by a factor of more than $10^3$ within less than an hour of exposure (Hudson et al., 2007).

**Hydrogen peroxide:**

Hydrogen peroxide ($H_2O_2$) is also a strong oxidizer, which is often used as a bleaching or cleaning agent. Hydrogen peroxide has shown to be effective against enteric viruses and their surrogates. For example, Li and others (2011) found that liquid $H_2O_2$ (L-$H_2O_2$) at concentrations of 2.1% inactivated MNV-1 and coliphage X174 on stainless steel discs by approximately 4 log$_{10}$ units within 10 min, whereas for *Bacillus fragilis* Phage B40-8, 15% of L-$H_2O_2$ was needed to obtain a similar reduction in 10 min, with only a <1 log$_{10}$ unit reduction after 5 min of exposure to 2.52% of Vaporized $H_2O_2$ was achieved for the tested model viruses (Li et al., 2011). Tuladhar and others (2011) reported that hydrogen peroxide vapor at 127 ppm completely inactivated poliovirus, rotavirus, adenovirus and murine norovirus after 1 h treatment at room temperature on carriers (Tuladhar et al., 2011). Bentley and others (2011) observed a 4 log reduction on all tested surfaces within 20 min of exposure to 30% (w/w) $H_2O_2$ vapour. The reduction was achieved most rapidly on vinyl flooring (10 min), while the longest was observed on stainless steel (20 min). For glass, ceramic tile and plastic surfaces, the desired reduction titer was reached within 15 min (Bentley et al., 2011). Malik and others (2006) found that a combination of 2% sodium bicarbonate with 2% hydrogen peroxide killed FCV-F9 by 99.00% in 1 and 3 min, and 99.68% of the virus was killed after 10 min contact time on stainless steel coupons (Malik and Goyal, 2006).
**Potassium monosulfate:**

Potassium monosulfate (KPMS) is widely used as a powerful oxidizing agent. Currently, KPMS is routinely used in swimming pools against *Escherichia coli* to keep the water clean and safe (Anipsitakis et al., 2008). It has also been used as a mist in veterinary hospitals to decontaminate *S. aureus* and *S. Typhimurium* by >99.9999% with the concentration of 4% for 30 min exposure time after misting was completed (Patterson et al., 2005). In a 6-year study, KPMS at concentrations of 1 and 10 mg/mL completely inactivated *S. aureus, MRSA* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* after 10 and 2 min exposure times, respectively and no significant variation in resistance to KPMS was observed during the period of the study (Giacometti et al., 2005). However, only a few studies reported the application of KPMS for viral inactivation. The KPMS-based product Virkon S was shown to completely inactivate the poultry enteric mortality syndrome (PEMS)-associated astrovirus in an embryo model (Schultz-Cherry et al., 2001). Also, KPMS was shown to completely inactivate FCV after 10 min of exposure (Eleraky et al., 2002). However, this study used only FCV from animal isolates and not the HNoV surrogate strains FCV-F9 or MNV-1.

Solomon and others (2009) reported that Virkon at 1% containing 5 mg/mL KPMS reduced FCV-F9 from 4-5 log\textsubscript{10} PFU/mL to undetectable levels after 10 min (Solomon et al., 2009). Su and D’Souza (2012) reported that KPMS at 5, 10, and 20 mg/mL reduced high and low titers of FCV-F9 and low titers of MNV-1 to undetectable levels, while high titers of MNV-1 were reduced by 0.92 and 3.44 log\textsubscript{10} PFU/mL with KMPS at 5 and 10 mg/mL, respectively after 2 h at room temperature (Su and D’Souza, 2012).
Trisodium phosphate

Trisodium phosphate (TSP) is considered a generally recognized as safe (GRAS) chemical by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA: Lindsay 1985), which has a pH of 12 in a 1% solution. TSP has shown efficacy against a wide range of pathogens, including *E. coli* O157: H7, *Salmonella*, *poliovirus* 1 and bacteriophages. Jerzy and others (2003) reported that significant reductions of bacteriophage MS2 and *Poliovirus* 1 were obtained by using 1.0% TSP solution on strawberries (Jerzy et al., 2003). TSP has also been evaluated as a detergent against norovirus surrogates, including FCV-F9 and MNV-1. D’Souza and Su (2010) reported that 5% TSP effectively inactivated high titers of MNV-1 and FCV by ≥6 log PFU/mL, and with a 5 log reduction of low viral titers after 30s or 1 min of contact time. TSP at 2% inactivated high titers of FCV by ≥6 log PFU/mL, but only a 1.05 log reduction for MNV-1 after 1 min exposure.1% TSP reduced FCV by ~2.65 log PFU/mL, with no reduction of high titers of MNV-1 (D’Souza and Su, 2010). Su and D’Souza (2011) found that 2% and 5% TSP reduced low titers of FCV to undetectable levels after 15 s or 30 s. Low titers of MNV-1 was reduced by ~2 - 3 log PFU/mL by 2% TSP and to undetectable levels by 5% TSP. High titers of FCV and MNV-1 were reduced by > 5 or ~ 2-3.4 log PFU/mL with 2% TSP, respectively, and to undetectable levels with 5% TSP (Su and D'Souza, 2011).

Sodium metasilicate:

Sodium metasilicate is a highly soluble chemical with the pH of a 1% solution ranging from 12.5 to 13.0. Sodium metasilicate is USDA FSIS approved as a processing aid and can be used as an antimicrobial component of marinades for meat and poultry products up to 2% by weight of the marinades and as a carcass rinse or spray on raw beef carcasses, subprimalts, and
trimmings up to 6% in solution (USDA FSIS, 2011). Sodium metasilicate has been effective against *E. coli* O157: H7 and *Salmonella* both in vitro and in beef trimmings (Carlson et al., 2008; Geornaras et al., 2012; Pohlman et al., 2009; Weber et al., 2004), *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Salmonella* Typhimurium in fresh chicken breast meat (Sharma et al., 2012a, b). Weber and others (2004) found that sodium metasilicate at 0.6% (pH 12.1) resulted in complete inhibition of *E. coli* O157: H7 after 5 to 10 s exposure in water at room temperature (Weber et al., 2004).

Carlson and others (2008) reported that 4% sodium metasilicate (23°C, pH 12.94) reduced *E. coli* O157: H7 and *Salmonella* by ~1.9 and ~ 2.6 log CFU/mL, respectively from inoculated beef hides following a 30 s- spray- 2 min- dwell- 30 s - water rinse procedure (Carlson et al., 2008).

Pohlman and others (2009) demonstrated that *E. coli* and *Salmonella* Typhimurium were reduced by ~1 log to ≥1.5 log CFU/mL, respectively, treated with 4% sodium metasilicate from inoculated beef trimmings (Pohlman et al., 2009). Geornaras and others (2012) compared the efficacy of sodium metasilicate against *E. coli* O157:H7 and 6 non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* (nSTEC) serogroups suggesting similar effectiveness. The initial pathogen counts were decontaminated by 1.3 to 1.5 log CFU/cm² from inoculated beef trimmings after immersion for 30 s in solution of 4% sodium metasilicate (pH 12.5) (Geornaras et al., 2012). Adler and others (2011) observed that brine solution containing 2.2% sodium metasilicate immediately reduced *E.coli* O157:H7 by ≥2.4 log CFU/mL (0 h) (Adler et al., 2011).

Sodium metasilicate has also been evaluated for efficacy against viral pathogens, including human rotaviruses and vesicular stomatitis viruses (Springthorpe et al., 1986; Wright, 1970). Springthorpe and others (1986) tested the efficacy of 69 commercial and 7 non-commercial disinfectant formulations against human rotaviruses and found that the efficacy of quaternary ammonium-based disinfectant formulations was greatly improved by adding 0.5 to
5% sodium metasilicate. They believed that quaternary ammonium compounds and sodium metasilicate may act in an additive or synergistic manner to inactivate human rotaviruses (Springthorpe et al., 1986). However, Wright (1970) demonstrated that sodium metasilicate at 5% (pH 12.1) was not viricidal against vesicular stomatitis virus after 10 min contact time (Wright, 1970).

**Natural antimicrobial extracts:**

As the global consumer’s demand for natural ingredients to reduce pathogenic microorganism from foods is steadily increasing, the investigation of the effectiveness of potential natural antimicrobials is necessary. Some natural extracts such as Cranberry juice and cranberry proanthocyanidins, Black raspberry juice, Grape seed extract, Pomegranate juice and pomegranate polyphenols, Hibiscus sabdariffa have shown antibacterial and antiviral activities. Cranberry extracts have been reported to have antibacterial activity against *E. coli* O157: H7, *Salmonella Typhimurium*, *Listeria Monocytogenes, Helicobacter pylori* and *Staphylococcus aureus* (Matsushima et al., 2008; Nogueira et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2008). In addition to antibacterial property, they have also shown antiviral activity against reovirus, bacteriophages T4, phiX-174 and MS2, rotavirus, influenza virus and human norovirus surrogates (Lipson et al., 2007; Su et al., 2010a; Su et al., 2010b; Weiss et al., 2005). The mechanism of cranberry extracts on the antimicrobial activity has not been well established. Wu and others (2008) believed that both low pH and phenolics may cause the antibacterial effect by observing cell wall and cell membrane damage treated with cranberry concentrate, which may make bacteria vulnerable to cranberry concentrate (Wu et al., 2008). Similarly, cranberry extracts may injure the viral capsid structure or viral nucleic acid to interfere with viral replication. Su and others (2010a) reported
that FCV-F9 at ~ 5 log_{10} PFU/mL was reduced to undetectable levels after 1h of exposure to cranberry juice (CJ) (pH 2.6) or 0.15, 0.30, and 0.60 mg/mL cranberry proanthocyanidins (PAC) at room temperature. MNV-1 was reduced by 2.06 log_{10} PFU/mL with CJ, and 2.63, 2.75, and 2.95 log_{10} PFU/mL with the three tested PAC solutions, respectively (Su et al., 2010a). In another time-dependent study by these investigators, FCV-F9 at low viral titers was reduced by ~5 log_{10} PFU/mL within 30 min treated by CJ (pH 2.6 and pH 7.0) and the two PAC solutions (0.15, 0.30 mg/mL). MNV-1 titers were decreased similarly with CJ at pH 2.6 or 7.0. In most cases, viral reduction within the first 10 min of treatment accounted for 50% of the total reduction (Su et al., 2010b).

Pomegranate juice and pomegranate polyphenols exhibited a wide range of antioxidant, anticancer, anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial properties (Braga et al., 2005; Haidari et al., 2009; Kotwal, 2008; Lee et al., 2010; Neurath et al., 2005; Prashanth et al., 2001; Reddy et al., 2007). Pomegranate extracts have shown antibacterial activities against Salmonella Typhimurium, Staphylococcus aureus, E. coli, and Bacillus subtilis (Braga et al., 2005; Prashanth et al., 2001). They are also reported to have antiviral properties against influenza virus, HIV-1, poliovirus, herpes virus, poxvirus, and human norovirus surrogates (Haidari et al., 2009; Jayaprakasha et al., 2006; Konowalchuk and Speirs, 1976; Kotwal, 2008; Neurath et al., 2005; Su et al., 2010c, 2011). These antiviral effects were attributed to the high content of polyphenols, which were believed to act directly on the viral particles to block the attachment of the viruses to the cell receptors (Haslam, 1974). Pomegranate juice and pomegranate polyphenols were shown to cause 2.56, 1.32, and 0.32 log reduction for low titers of FCV-F9, MNV-1, and MS2, respectively, after treatment by pomegranate juice for 1 h at room temperature. The effects were decreased using high titers of three tested viruses. Pomegranate polyphenols at 8, 16, 32 mg/mL
were shown to reduce both low and high titers of FCV-F9 to undetectable levels within 1 h. MNV-1 at low titers was reported to be reduced by 1.30, 2.31, and 3.61 $\log_{10}$ PFU/mL and at high titers by 1.56, 1.48, and 1.54 $\log_{10}$ PFU/mL with three tested concentrations of PP, respectively, after 1 h by these investigators (Su et al., 2010c). According to the time-dependent study carried out by Su and others (2011), PJ and PP were found as rather rapid acting, resulting in $\geq 50\%$ reduction within the first 20 min of treatment for all tested viruses. FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at titers of ~ 5 $\log_{10}$ PFU/mL were reduced by 3.12 and 0.79 $\log_{10}$ PFU/mL, respectively, using PJ within the first 20 min. Titer reductions of 4.02 and 0.68 $\log_{10}$ PFU/mL with 2 mg/mL PP and 5.09 and 1.14 $\log_{10}$ PFU/mL with 4 mg/mL PP were reported by these investigators for FCV-F9 and MNV-1, respectively, after 20 min (Su et al., 2011).

*Hibiscus sabdariffa* are prepared as hot and cold beverages worldwide, and is classified as a GRAS ingredient for the food industry (CDC 2006). In addition, aqueous extracts of *Hibiscus sabdariffa* have been used in folk medicine in the treatment of hypertension (Odigie et al., 2003; Olaleye, 2007; Wang et al., 2000). Hibiscus anthocyanins, a group of phenolic natural pigments present in calyces, have been found to have cardioprotective, hypocholesterolemic, antioxidative and heaptoprotective effects in animals (Chen et al., 2003; Jonadet et al., 1990; Wang et al., 2000). Moreover, the aqueous extracts were reported the presence of hibiscitrin, gossypitrin, sabdaritrin, flavonol glycosides and some organic acids including citric, malic, tartaric and ascorbic (Ali et al., 2005; Cowan, 1999; Tsai et al., 2002). Aqueous extracts of *H. sabdariffa* have exhibited a wide range of antimicrobial properties against *Pasteurella*, *Pseudomonas*, *Proteus*, *Streptococcus*, *P. aeruginosa*, *Lactobacillus sp.*, *Bacillus sp.*, *Corynebacterium sp.*, *Salmonella*, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 And *Listeria monocytogenes* (Oboh and Elusiyan, 2004; Pliego, 2007; Sharaf et al., 1966). The mode of action includes phenols that
inhibit metabolic enzymes of the cells leading to their inability to reproduce. The phenolic compound, anthocyanins, extracted from *Hibiscus sabdariffa* were suggested to complex with nucleophilic amino acids of the cell wall, resulting the loss of function (Cowan, 1999; Tsai et al., 2002). However, phenols may not be the only compound responsible for all the antimicrobial activities of *Hibiscus sabdariffa*. Roman (2006) found that rifamycine, a precursor of the antibiotic rifampicin, was present in *Hibiscus sabdariffa* extracts (Roman 2006).

Based on the current literature, the aims of this research were to (1) investigate the antiviral activity of benzalkonium chloride at 0.32 mg/mL and KPMS against human norovirus surrogates over 1 h at room temperature using suspension and carrier tests and (2) determine the ability of sodium metasilicate to inactivate human norovirus surrogates at RT over 1 h for application in the food industry and prevent human noroviral transmission.
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Appendix
Table 1.1: Examples of studies using chlorine against FCV-F9 and MNV-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viruses</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Contact time</th>
<th>Initial titer</th>
<th>Reduction in $\log_{10}$</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCV-F9</td>
<td>15 ppm (lettuce)</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>$10^7$ TCID$_{50}$/mL</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>Plaque assay and qRT-PCR</td>
<td>Fraisse et al. 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,000 ppm (suspension)</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^5$ - $1 \times 10^6$ TCID$_{50}$/mL</td>
<td>$&gt;5$ log units</td>
<td>RT-PCR and qRT-PCR</td>
<td>Duizer et al. 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 mg/L = 200 ppm (lettuce and pepper)</td>
<td>15 s or 30 s</td>
<td>$\sim 5 \log_{10}$ PFU/mL</td>
<td>$\leq 1.4$</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Su and D’Souza, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5,000 ppm (surface)</td>
<td>30 s or 1 min</td>
<td>$\sim 7 \log_{10}$ PFU/mL</td>
<td>$\sim 5$</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>D’Souza and Su, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300 ng/mL = 0.3 ppm (suspension)</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>$2.6 \times 10^6$ TCID</td>
<td>$&gt;6$ log units</td>
<td>TCID$_{50}$</td>
<td>Urakami et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 ppm, 1000 ppm (surface)</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>$&lt;2.27$, $&gt;4.2$</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Whitehead and McCue, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100, 1000 ppm (surface)</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>3.2, 6.6</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Jimenez and Chiang, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200, 400, 800, 5000 ppm (stainless steel, lettuce and strawberry)</td>
<td>1-10 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>$&lt;800$ ppm $&lt;1$ (ss) 5000 ppm 3.4 (ss) 800 ppm 1.5 (ls)</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Gulati et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100, 250, 500, 1000 and 5000 ppm (suspension)</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>$&lt;500$ ppm $&lt;3$ 5000 ppm 5</td>
<td>TCID$_{50}$</td>
<td>Doultree et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4500-5000 ppm (suspension)</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Without feces: $&gt;5$</td>
<td>Plaque assay and RT-PCR</td>
<td>Poschetto et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5500 ppm</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>With feces: 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5000 ppm (fecally soiled stainless steel surface)</td>
<td>1.9 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Park and Sobsey, 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR= Not Reported
qRT-PCR= Quantitative reverse transcription- polymerase chain reaction
TCID$_{50}$= Tissue culture infectious dose 50%
ss = stainless steel; ls = lettuce and strawberry
Table 1.1: Examples of studies using chlorine against FCV-F9 and MNV-1 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viruses</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Contact time</th>
<th>Initial titer</th>
<th>Reduction in log_{10} PFU/mL</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNV-1</td>
<td>15 ppm (lettuce)</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>3 x 10^6 TCID_{50}/mL</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Plaque assay and qRT-PCR</td>
<td>Fraisse et al. 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 mg/L</td>
<td>120 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td>Plaque assay and real-time RT-PCR</td>
<td>Kitajima et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 mg/L</td>
<td>0.5 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td>Plaque assay and real-time RT-PCR</td>
<td>Belliot et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.26%= 2600 ppm (suspension)</td>
<td>0.5 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td>Plaque assay and real-time RT-PCR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 mg/L (lettuce and pepper)</td>
<td>15 s or 30 s</td>
<td>~5 log_{10} PFU/mL</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Su and D’Souza 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3000 ppm (surface)</td>
<td>5 min or 10 min</td>
<td>~7 log_{10} PFU/mL</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>Complete inactivation</td>
<td>Girard et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5000 ppm (fecally soiled stainless steel surface)</td>
<td>3.2 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Park and Sobsey, 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR= Not Reported  
qRT-PCR= Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction
Table 1.2: Examples of studies using ethanol, 1-propanol and 2-propanol against FCV-F9 and MNV-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viruses</th>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Contact time</th>
<th>Initial titer</th>
<th>Reduction in log$_{10}$ PFU/mL</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCV-F9</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>10min</td>
<td>4.5 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL</td>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td>nested RT-PCR</td>
<td>Martino et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>30min</td>
<td>2 x $10^5$~ 1 x $10^6$ TCID$_{50}$/mL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>RT-PCR and qRT-PCR</td>
<td>Duizer et al. 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>30s or 1min</td>
<td>~5 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL</td>
<td>Not effective</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>D’Souza and Su, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70% and 90%</td>
<td>1min</td>
<td>$10^7$ TCID$_{50}$/mL</td>
<td>Most effective, killing 99% of FCV</td>
<td>TCID$_{50}$</td>
<td>Malik et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>1min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Most effective</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Gehrke et al. 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>30s</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>≥4.75</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Macinda et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>1min</td>
<td>~5 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>TCID$_{50}$</td>
<td>Doultree et al. 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60% (surface)</td>
<td>1min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Whitehead and McCue 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50% or 70%</td>
<td>5min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>≥2.2</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Park et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99.5%, 62% (fingertips)</td>
<td>30s or 2min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>≥1, &lt;1</td>
<td>Fingerpad and Plaque assay</td>
<td>Lages et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNV-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>30s or 1min</td>
<td>~5 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>D’Souza and Su. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70%, 90%</td>
<td>5min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>≥3.6</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Park et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>30s, 1min or 3min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>&gt;4</td>
<td>Plaque assay and RT-qPCR</td>
<td>Belliot et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50% (surface)</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Magulski et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR= Not Reported
qRT-PCR= Quantitative reverse transcription- polymerase chain reaction
TCID$_{50}$= Tissue culture infectious dose 50%
Table 1.2: Examples of studies using ethanol, 1-propanol and 2-propanol against FCV-F9 and MNV-1 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viruses</th>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Contact time</th>
<th>Initial titer</th>
<th>Reduction in log&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt; PFU/mL</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCV-F9</td>
<td>1-propanol</td>
<td>50% and 70%</td>
<td>30s</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Gehrke et al. 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60% (suspension)</td>
<td>30s</td>
<td></td>
<td>Most effective</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNV-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>30% (surface)</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Magulski et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCV-F9</td>
<td>2-propanol</td>
<td>40% to 60%</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt; TCID&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;/mL</td>
<td>most effective, killing 99%</td>
<td>TCID&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Malik et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50%, 70% or 90%</td>
<td>1 min or 5 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>almost no reduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58% (suspension)</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Most effective</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNV-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>≥70%</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>&gt;2.6</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Park et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>30 s, 1 min or 3 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>3.86 (30 s), &gt;4</td>
<td>Plaque assay and qRT-PCR</td>
<td>Belliot et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60% (surface)</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Magulski et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR= Not Reported  
qRT-PCR= Quantitative reverse transcription- polymerase chain reaction  
TCID<sub>50</sub>= Tissue culture infectious dose 50%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viruses</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Contact time</th>
<th>Initial titer</th>
<th>Reduction in log_{10} PFU/mL</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FCV-F9</strong></td>
<td>2% (surface)</td>
<td>30 s or 1 min</td>
<td>~5 log_{10} PFU/mL</td>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>D’Souza and Su 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5% (suspension)</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>~7 log_{10} PFU/mL</td>
<td>≥6</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Doultree et al. 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.6% (fabrics and carpets)</td>
<td>1-10 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>&gt;99.99%</td>
<td>TCID_{50} TCID_{50}</td>
<td>Malik et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MNV-1</strong></td>
<td>2% (surface)</td>
<td>30 s or 1 min</td>
<td>~5 log_{10} PFU/mL</td>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>D’Souza and Su 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2500ppm (stainless steel)</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Magulski et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR= Not Reported  
qRT-PCR= Quantitative reverse transcription- polymerase chain reaction  
TCID_{50}= Tissue culture infectious dose 50%
Table 1.4: Examples of studies using organic acids against FCV-F9 and MNV-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viruses</th>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Contact time</th>
<th>Initial titer</th>
<th>Reduction in log&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt; PFU/mL</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCV-F9</td>
<td>Formic acid and glyoxylic acid</td>
<td>55~60% (FA) + 7% (GA)</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peroxyacetic acid (lettuce leaves)</td>
<td>100 ppm</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2 to 3 log units</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Fraisse et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Citric acid</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>&gt;3.17</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Whitehead and McCue, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tannic acid</td>
<td>0.2 mg/mL</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>~5log&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;PFU/mL</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gallic acid</td>
<td>0.1, 0.2, and 0.4 mg/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td>~7log&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;PFU/mL</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>Su and D’Souza, 2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNV-1</td>
<td>Peroxyacetic acid (lettuce leaves)</td>
<td>100 ppm</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Fraisse et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR= Not Reported  
qRT-PCR= Quantitative reverse transcription- polymerase chain reaction  
RT-PCR= Reverse transcription- polymerase chain reaction
Table 1.5: Examples of studies using ozone and hydrogen peroxide against FCV-F9 and MNV-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viruses</th>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Contact time</th>
<th>Initial titer</th>
<th>Reduction in log_{10} PFU/mL</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCV-F9</td>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>6.25 ppm (water)</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>&gt;6 log TCID_{50}/mL</td>
<td>TCID_{50}</td>
<td>Hirneisen et al. 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.25 ppm (produce)</td>
<td>&lt; 1 h</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>~2 log TCID_{50}/mL</td>
<td>qRT-PCR and plaque assay</td>
<td>Hudson et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20- 25 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNV-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 mg/liter</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>&gt;99%</td>
<td>TCID_{50}</td>
<td>Lim et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.25 ppm (produce)</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>&gt;2 log TCID{50}/mL</td>
<td>TCID_{50}</td>
<td>Hirneisen et al. 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCV-F9</td>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>V- ( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 ) 30% (w/w)</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Bentley et al. 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>peroxide</td>
<td>(carriers)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNV-1</td>
<td></td>
<td>L-( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 ) 2.1% (stainless steel)</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>~4</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Li et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>V- ( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 ) 2.52% V- ( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 )</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td>Tuladhar et al. 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>127 ppm (carriers)</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>To undetectable levels</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR= Not Reported  
qRT-PCR= Quantitative reverse transcription- polymerase chain reaction  
TCID_{50}= Tissue culture infectious dose 50%
Table 1.6: Examples of studies using trisodium phosphate against FCV-F9 and MNV-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viruses</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Contact time</th>
<th>Initial titer</th>
<th>Reduction in $\log_{10}$ PFU/mL</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCV-F9</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>30 s or 1 min</td>
<td>~ 7 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL</td>
<td>~ 2.65</td>
<td>≥6</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>~ 5 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL</td>
<td>~ 5</td>
<td>≥6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>~ 7 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL</td>
<td>~ 5</td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>15 s or 30 s</td>
<td>~ 5 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL</td>
<td>To undetectable levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNV-1</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>30 s or 1 min</td>
<td>~7 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>Plaque assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>~ 5 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>≥6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>~ 5 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL</td>
<td>~ 5</td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>15 s or 30 s</td>
<td>~ 7 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL</td>
<td>To undetectable levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>~ 5 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL</td>
<td>To undetectable levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>~ 5 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL</td>
<td>To undetectable levels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR= Not Reported
Table 1.7: Advantages, disadvantages and applications of disinfectants reviewed in this chapter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemicals</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Applications</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine</td>
<td>Low cost; easily available; broad spectrum against bacteria, viruses, fungi, algae and mycoplasmas</td>
<td>Bleach food; carcinogenic byproducts; inactivated by organic matter rapidly; caustic to tissues and equipment; skin irritation; potential safety problems</td>
<td>Household cleaning products; public sanitation, disinfection and antisepsis</td>
<td>Allwood et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohols</td>
<td>Effective against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria</td>
<td>Long contact time required; not effective against some viruses and bacterial spores; evaporate quickly</td>
<td>Skin antiseptic; alcohol-based hand sanitizers</td>
<td>D’Souza and Su, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutaraldehyde</td>
<td>Non corrosive to metal; effective against bacteria, viruses, fungi, spores and parasites; remains effective in the presence of organic matter</td>
<td>Toxic to human and animals; long contact time; must be used in a well ventilated area</td>
<td>Disinfecting medical and dental equipment; industrial water treatment; preservative</td>
<td>Doltree et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1.7: Advantages, disadvantages and applications of disinfectants reviewed in this chapter

(Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemicals</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Applications</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benzalkonium chloride</td>
<td>Non-irritating to skin; not corrosive; has been used for decades in hospital environment</td>
<td>Slow-acting; not effective against gram-negative bacteria or spores</td>
<td>Non-alcohol-based hand sanitizers; hard surface disinfectants; surgical instrument sterilizing solutions; clinical settings disinfectants; pharmaceutical preservatives</td>
<td>Moadab et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic acids</td>
<td>Less reaction with organics; wider pH range; no toxic residues</td>
<td>Not effective against spores</td>
<td>Disinfecting surfaces; red meat carcass spray; fruits and vegetables</td>
<td>Fraisse et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolyzed water</td>
<td>Kill spores and many viruses and bacteria; On-site production of disinfectant; can be applied directly on fresh food products</td>
<td>Lose potency fairly quickly; equipment costly</td>
<td>Disinfectant on food contact surfaces, fruits and vegetables</td>
<td>Koseki et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone</td>
<td>Broad spectrum against bacteria, viruses and fungi</td>
<td>Inactivation by organic matter; 10 ppm can be harmful to human health</td>
<td>Sanitizers; process water; products (fruits, vegetables and juices); gas (control mold in storage)</td>
<td>Kim et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1.7: Advantages, disadvantages and applications of disinfectants reviewed in this chapter
(Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemicals</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Applications</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen peroxide</td>
<td>A valuable bleaching, cleansing and deodorizing agent; no toxic residues</td>
<td>not effective against viruses, bacterial spores, fungal spores, Cryptosporidia, Giardia, mycobacteria; damaging to tissues; moderately corrosive; ineffective in the presence of organic matter</td>
<td>Skin antiseptic; disinfectant; chemical sterilant</td>
<td>Li et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium peroxymonosulfate</td>
<td>Fast-acting</td>
<td>Corrosive</td>
<td>Pool and spa disinfectants</td>
<td>Anipsitakis et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trisodium phosphate</td>
<td>Approved by FDA to be a GRAS chemical;</td>
<td>Relatively high cost compared to chlorine</td>
<td>Cleaning agent; approved for treatment of beef carcasses</td>
<td>Lindsay 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium metasilicate</td>
<td>Approved by USDA FSIS to be used in food; no adverse effect in food</td>
<td>Relatively high cost compared to chlorine</td>
<td>Processing aid as an antimicrobial in marinades for meat products; a carcass rinse or spray on beef carcasses, subprimals, and trimmings</td>
<td>USDA FSIS, 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1.1: Chemical structures of disinfectants evaluated in this work. Panel A- benzalkonium chloride; Panel B- potassium peroxymonosulfate; Panel C- Sodium metasilicate
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Abstract

Human noroviruses, the major cause of acute nonbacterial gastroenteritis worldwide, are easily transmitted via person-to-person and contaminated food-contact surfaces. This research determined inactivation of human norovirus surrogates, feline calicivirus (FCV-F9) and murine norovirus (MNV-1), by benzalkonium chloride (BAC) and potassium peroxymonosulfate (KPMS) over 1 h at room temperature using suspension and carrier (stainless-steel coupons) tests. Virus recovery after triplicate treatments was evaluated using infectious plaque assays and compared to controls. In suspension tests, 0.32 mg/mL BAC caused 1.94 and 2.59 log PFU/mL reductions of FCV-F9 at low and high titers after 1 h, respectively; and > 3 and 1.47 log reduction of MNV-1 at low and high titers, respectively. KPMS at 5 and 10 mg/mL reduced both viruses at low titers within 30 s and high titers of FCV-F9 to undetectable levels after 2 min and 30 s, respectively. KPMS at 5 mg/mL had little effect against high titers of MNV-1, though 10 mg/mL KPMS caused a 4.61 log reduction after 5 min. Using carrier tests, KPMS at 5 and 10 mg/mL reduced both viruses at low titers after 30 s. High titers of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 required 10 mg/mL KPMS for 10 and 15 min, respectively for complete inactivation. Carrier tests with 0.32 mg/mL BAC reduced low titers of both viruses to undetectable levels after 1 h without significant reduction of high titers even after 2 h; simulated dirty conditions showed decreased viral reduction. These results indicate that KPMS causes viral reduction within short contact times, while BAC requires longer contact.
Introduction

Human noroviruses (HNoVs) are considered as the most common cause of acute non-bacterial gastroenteritis in the world, that are currently responsible for approximately 90% of non-bacterial enteric outbreaks (Scallan et al., 2011; Siebenga et al., 2009; Lindesmith et al., 2003). These viruses can be transmitted via contaminated water, food, surfaces, or through direct contact with infected persons (Goodgame et al., 2006). HNoVs are quite persistent in the environment being detected on food-contact surfaces for up to 7 days at room temperature (D’Souza et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2009). They can persist in water and foods, such as marinated mussels, lettuce, green onions, strawberries and ready-to-eat products for several days (Bidawid et al., 2004; Green et al., 1998, 1999; Hewitt and Greening, 2004). Although, HNoV infection itself is self-limiting in healthy individuals, they can be life-threatening to the elderly and immunocompromised (Siebenga et al., 2009; Donaldson et al., 2010). HNoV outbreaks result in economic losses from product recalls or public health costs, as well as loss of labor due to inability of employees to report to work. Outbreaks related to cruise-ships, nursing homes, and elderly care facilities continue to be reported annually (Cheesbrough et al., 2000; Anderson et al., 2001; Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2002; Scallan et al., 2011).

Since HNoVs cannot be cultivated in the lab, cultivable surrogates including feline calicivirus, FCV-F9 and murine norovirus, MNV-1, are used to determine efficacy of inactivation using infectivity plaque assays. Currently, chemical disinfectants that are utilized for HNoV decontamination include chlorine, sodium hypochlorite (household bleach), glutaraldehyde, and other quaternary ammonium compounds (Jimenez and Chiang, 2006; Jean et al., 2003; Solomon et al., 1998). These chemicals are reported to be effective on contact surfaces against FCV-F9 and MNV-1 (Park and Sobsey, 2011; Duizer et al., 2004; D’Souza and Su,
However, some of the disadvantages of these chemicals include their corrosive nature that can cause damage to equipment including rust and staining of surfaces and are harmful during handling. Also, carcinogenic byproducts can be formed by the interaction of chlorine with organic matter (Dunnick and Melnick, 1993). Therefore, it is important to explore alternative chemical disinfectants to effectively inactivate HNoVs that have a broad range of antimicrobial activity against nosocomial infections.

Benzalkonium chloride (BAC) is a chemical that has been used in hospital environments for surface disinfection and decontamination against a wide range of pathogenic bacteria. BAC at 512 ppm (0.512 mg/mL) is reported to be effective against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), *Campylobacter*, *Salmonella*, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* after an exposure time of 5 min in both suspension and surface tests (Riazi and Matthews, 2011). In addition to bacteria, studies have also shown that BAC has antiviral activity against canine coronavirus (Pratelli, 2007), respiratory syncytial virus, adenovirus, herpes simplex virus hominis type 2 and cytomegalovirus (Belec et al., 2000).

Potassium peroxymonosulfate (KPMS) is another chemical that is routinely used in swimming pools to keep the water clean, and is effective against *Escherichia coli* after 1 h at a dose of 25 ppm (0.025 mg/mL) (Anipsitakis et al., 2008). Previous studies have also shown its effectiveness against veterinary isolates of FCV and the poultry enteritis mortality syndrome-associated astrovirus (Eleraky et al., 2002; Schultz-Cherry et al., 2001). Therefore, routinely used chemical disinfectants for bacterial disinfection in these facilities may also have the potential to inactivate HNoVs and/or HNoV surrogates to prevent their spread.

With the alarming increase in HNoV outbreaks and their emerging virulent forms such as GII.4 that are known to cause death in the elderly and immune-compromised (Siebenga et al.,
2010), it has become imperative to implement improved control strategies in high risk areas including hospitals, elderly-care facilities, cruise-ships, and the food environment.

Previously, using suspension tests, it was shown that BAC (0.2, 0.5, and 1 mg/mL) and KPMS (5, 10, and 20 mg/mL) can reduce the titers of FCV-F9, MNV-1, and bacteriophage MS2 after 2 h at room temperature (Su et al., 2012). In this study, the time-dependence effects of benzalkonium chloride (BAC at 0.32 mg/mL) and potassium peroxymonosulfate (KPMS at 5 and 10 mg/mL) against FCV-F9 and MNV-1 over 1 h at room temperature were compared in vitro using suspension tests and carrier tests on stainless-steel coupons under clean and simulated dirty conditions. The infectivity after treatment was evaluated by using infectious plaque assays and compared to control (water-treated) viruses.

Materials and Methods

Virus Stocks

Feline calicivirus (FCV-F9) and its host, Crandell Reese Feline Kidney (CRFK) cells, were obtained from American type culture collection (ATCC) (Manassas, VA). Murine norovirus (MNV-1) was kindly provided by Dr. Skip Virgin (Washington University, St. Louis, MO), and its host, RAW 264.7 cells were obtained from the University of Tennessee cell culture collection. Viral stocks were obtained as previously described using their respectively confluent cell lines in 175cm² flasks (D’Souza et al., 2011; Su et al., 2011). Viral titer was quantified using infectious plaque assays as described below.
**Chemicals and Treatments**

Benzalkonium chloride (BAC), obtained from MP Biomedicals (Illkirch, France), was diluted with sterile de-ionized water to reach a concentration of 0.32 mg/mL and then filter-sterilized with a 0.2 μm filter (Fisher Scientific, GA, USA). Potassium peroxymonosulfate (KPMS) (Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Japan) was prepared in sterile de-ionized water to reach final concentrations of 5 and 10 mg/mL and filter-sterilized with a 0.2 μm filter (Fisher Scientific, GA, USA).

For the suspension test, one volume (167 µl) of BAC and KPMS were mixed with an equal volume of FCV-F9 or MNV-1 at high and low titers of ~7 log PFU/mL and ~5 log PFU/mL to obtain a final concentration of 0.16 mg/mL BAC or 2.5 mg/mL and 5 mg/mL KPMS, respectively, and incubated for specific time intervals of 0, 5, 10, 15, 30 and 60 min at room temperature (22°C). For the carrier test, stainless steel coupons sterilized by autoclaving were used as a surface model (fomite). Under clean conditions, MNV-1 and FCV-F9 were inoculated on sterile coupons at high and low titers of ~7 log_{10} PFU/mL and ~5 log_{10} PFU/mL and allowed to dry for 1 h at room temperature in a BioSafety Level 2 hood. After drying, the coupons were treated with BAC or KPMS and sampled at specific time intervals of 0, 5, 10, 15, 30 and 60 min at room temperature (RT). Heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (5% FBS, HyClone Laboratories, Inc, Logan, Utah) was added into the virus stocks to simulate an organic load to test the efficacy of chemicals against HNoV surrogates in the presence of dirty conditions using the same procedures as described above for clean conditions.

Sterile water was used as non-treated controls to test the viral recovery and to compare with treated samples. All the chemical treatments were neutralized after their appropriate treatment contact times by serially diluting the virus-chemical mix in Dulbecco’s Modified
Eagle’s Medium/Ham’s F-12 (HyClone Laboratories, Inc, Logan, Utah) containing 10% heat-inactivated FBS followed by serial dilutions in DMEM-F12 containing 2% FBS and plaque assayed as described below.

*Plaque Assays for FCV-F9 and MNV-1*

Serial dilutions (0.5 mL) of control and treated viruses were inoculated onto the confluent CRFK cells for FCV-F9 and RAW 264.7 cells for MNV-1 in 6-well plates, and incubated for 2.5 h at 37°C in a CO₂ incubator. Plaque assays were performed as previously described (D’Souza et al., 2006; D’Souza et al., 2009; Su et al., 2011). Briefly, overlay medium containing 0.75% noble agar (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was added to each infected or control well and incubated for 2~3 d at 37°C under 5% CO₂. Finally, overlay media containing neutral red (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was added for visualization of plaques after incubation.

*Statistical Analysis*

All treatment and controls were replicated thrice in duplicate. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for differences in means of plaque counts among treatments and means were analyzed using a completely randomized design at p<0.05 in SAS program (version 9.3, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). If statistically significant differences were found using the ANOVA, the Tukey’s Post Hoc test was used to analyze differences in means between treatments.
Results

Reduction of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 by 0.32 mg/mL BAC in suspension tests

BAC at 0.32 mg/mL concentration for 5, 10 and 15 min contact time caused <1 log\(_{10}\) PFU/mL reduction for FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at low titers, with ~1 log\(_{10}\) PFU/mL of reduction after 30 min, and with greater reduction of 1.94 log\(_{10}\) PFU/mL or ≥3 log\(_{10}\) PFU/mL for FCV-F9 and MNV-1, respectively, after 1 h at RT. Using high viral titers, FCV-F9 and MNV-1 were reduced by ~1 log\(_{10}\) PFU/mL after 10 min of exposure time. FCV-F9 titer was reduced by 2.59 log\(_{10}\) PFU/mL after 1 h, while a 1.47 log reduction was observed for MNV-1 at RT (Table 2.1).

Reduction of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 by 5 and 10 mg/mL KPMS in suspension tests

FCV-F9 and MNV-1 were undetectable after exposure to 5 or 10 mg/mL of KPMS after 10 min (data not shown). Therefore shorter contact times for 0, 30 s, 1 min, 2 min and 5 min were further evaluated at the same concentrations of KPMS. Low titers of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 were undetectable within 30 s. However, KPMS at 5 mg/mL reduced high titers of FCV-F9 by ≥5 log\(_{10}\) PFU/mL after 2 min, but caused little reduction (~0.5 log) of high titers of MNV-1 after 5 min of exposure time. Increasing the concentration of KPMS to 10 mg/mL for 30 s of contact time resulted in ≥5 log\(_{10}\) PFU/mL reduction for high titers of FCV-F9 and also had a significant effect on high titers of MNV-1 resulting in a 4.61 log reduction after 5 min at RT (Table 2.2).

Reduction of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 by 0.32 mg/mL BAC in clean coupon tests

Since little reduction was achieved in the suspension test after 1 h of exposure and it is generally recognized that chemical efficacy is higher in suspension than carrier tests, longer contact times for BAC at a concentration of 0.32 mg/mL on stainless steel coupons were needed
for testing. Low titers of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 were reduced to undetectable levels after 1 or 2 h of exposure at RT. High titers of FCV-F9 were reduced by 1.45 and 1.05 log\textsubscript{10} PFU/mL with the treatment of BAC after 1 h and 2 h, respectively. However, lower reductions of \sim 0.7 log\textsubscript{10} PFU/mL for high titers of MNV-1 were achieved after 1 or 2 h of exposure to 0.32 mg/mL of BAC at RT (Table 2.4).

*Reduction of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 by 5 and 10 mg/mL KPMS in clean coupon tests*

KPMS at 5 and 10 mg/mL concentrations reduced FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at low titers to undetectable levels within 30 s contact time. KPMS at 10 mg/mL concentration reduced high titers of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 by 1.92 and 1.56 log\textsubscript{10} PFU/mL after 5 min, respectively; and complete inactivation was obtained after 10 min and 15 min for FCV-F9 and MNV-1, respectively. Lowering the concentration of KPMS to 5 mg/mL for 5 min of contact time only resulted in a \(<1 \log\) reduction for high titers of FCV-F9 and MNV-1. FCV-F9 at high titers were reduced to undetectable levels after 10 min of exposure time to 5 mg/mL KPMS, while only 2.46 log\textsubscript{10} PFU/mL reduction was reached for MNV-1 after 1 h at RT (Table 2.3a).

*Reduction of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 by 0.32 mg/mL BAC in dirty coupon tests*

The effect of BAC at 0.32 mg/mL concentration was decreased significantly on FCV-F9 and MNV-1 titer reduction after 1 or 2 h contact times under dirty conditions. Almost no reduction was observed on high titers of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 after 2 h of contact time. Furthermore, \(<0.5 \log\textsubscript{10} \) PFU/mL reduction was observed on low titers of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 after 1 or 2 h of exposure (Table 2.5).
**Reduction of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 by 5 and 10 mg/mL KPMS in dirty coupon tests**

KPMS at 5 mg/mL for 2 min effectively inactivated low titers of FCV-F9 and reduced MNV-1 to undetectable levels (Table 2.6). FCV-F9 at high titer was reduced to undetectable levels after 15 min of exposure time by 5 mg/mL of KPMS. High titers of MNV-1 were reduced by 2.29 logs after 1 h of contact time at RT, which was similar to the clean condition carrier tests. Increasing the concentration of KPMS to 10 mg/mL reduced FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at low titers to undetectable levels after 1 min and 30 s, respectively. High titers of FCV-F9 were reduced to undetectable levels after 10 min. However, the effect of KPMS on high titers of MNV-1 was decreased compared to the clean conditions, where only a 2.52 log_{10} PFU/mL reduction was achieved after 15 min of contact time and complete reduction was observed only after 1 h of exposure at RT (Table 2.3b).

**Discussion**

In this study, the effect of 0.32 mg/mL BAC and 5 and 10 mg/mL KPMS on the titer reduction of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 was evaluated. The virus reduction was found to be related to the contact time, contact surfaces, the type of virus and chemical disinfectant used. Generally, longer contact times resulted in increased reductions for both tested viruses. BAC required longer contact times to effectively inactivate both viruses, while KPMS was found to be a fast-acting agent causing rapid reduction of viral titers within short contact times. Both chemicals had decreased efficacy for both tested viruses using the stainless-steel coupon tests compared to suspension tests that could be attributed to the interference of the physical properties of the surface with contact between the microorganisms and disinfectants (Best et al., 1988). Not
surprisingly, the effect of both chemical disinfectants was decreased in the presence of organic loads.

Current chemical disinfectants routinely used include alcohols including ethanol, 1-propanol, and 2-propanol, glutaraldehyde and sodium hypochlorite. Using suspension tests, 70% ethanol was most frequently tested by investigators, but without any conclusive suggestions on its effectiveness against HNoV surrogates (Martino et al., 2010; Malik et al., 2006a; Park et al., 2010; D’Souza and Su, 2010; Duizer et al., 2004; etc.). Iso-1-propanol at 50% and 70% was reported to have >4 log reduction after 30 s of contact on FCV-F9, whereas 2-propanol was effective only after 3 min of contact time (Gehrke et al., 2004). In another study, 1-propanol at only 30% was shown to be the most effective virucidal agent among the three alcohols tested on stainless steel for MNV-1 with 99.99% reduction, followed by (50-55%) ethanol and then (60%) 2-propanol (Magulski et al., 2009). Furthermore, this study showed the efficacy of 1000 ppm peracetic acid and 2500 ppm glutaraldehyde to inactivate 99.99% of MNV on the carriers within 5 min of exposure time (Magulski et al., 2009). Using surface disinfection tests, 70% ethanol was reported to be ineffective against high as well as low titers of MNV-1 and FCV-F9 after 1 min of contact time (D’Souza and Su, 2010). In comparison to ethanol, the results reported with BAC show higher effects though requiring longer contact time of 1 h. Typical sodium hypochlorite wash solutions were reported to be effective only at >300 ppm for HNoV inactivation, where complete inactivation of FCV-F9 was reported at chlorine levels of 3,000 ppm (or higher) after 10 min at room temperature (Duizer et al., 2004). On the other hand, 2% glutaraldehyde was found to effectively reduce FCV-F9 and MNV-1 by ~6 log_{10} PFU after 30 s and 1 min of contact time, while 10% household bleach containing 5000 ppm free chlorine resulted in effective reductions of FCV-F9 by >6 log_{10} PFU/mL in 1 min, but failed to
completely inactivate MNV-1 (D’Souza and Su, 2010). These results are consistent with reports showing that MNV-1 is a sturdy and resilient virus, resistant to most treatments and processing conditions compared to FCV-F9. One surface disinfection test was carried out on fabrics and carpets, to show that an activated dialdehyde-based product containing 2.6% glutaraldehyde could reduce more than 99.99% of FCV in 1-10 min on all types of material tested (Malik et al., 2006b).

Recently, Japanese investigators found that 1000 µg/mL copper iodide nanoparticles had an extremely high antiviral activity reducing FCV by 7 orders of magnitude after 1 h of contact time (Shionoiri et al., 2012). Furthermore, investigators suggested tannic acid derived from Chinese medicinal herbs inhibited binding to histo-blood group antigens (HBGAs) receptors in HNoVs, which indicated tannic acid a promising antiviral (Zhang et al., 2012). A novel MNV strain, MT30-2, was isolated from the feces of mice in Japan and two antiseptics, povidone-iodine and sodium hypochlorite, revealed strong virucidal activity against MNV, which inactivated MNV at low concentrations and in short exposure time (Matsuhira et al., 2012).

Quaternary ammonium compounds (QAC) have been studied as disinfectants against human NoVs and its surrogates including FCV-F9 and MNV-1. Previous studies using commercial cleansers that contain the active ingredient n-alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride at 0.08%, showed less than 1 log of reduction for MNV-1 was obtained after 10 min at RT (Girard el at., 2010), and similar results were reported by others showing that QAC disinfectants were not effective against FCV-F9 (Doultree et al., 1999; Eleraky el at., 2002). Another commercial disinfectant with 1:10 final concentrations of QAC showed no reduction against FCV after 1 min (Doultree et al., 1998). QAC disinfectants containing 0.14% BAC was also shown to be ineffective at virolysis of human GII.4 norovirus (Nowak el at., 2011).
Similarly, our study showed that both high and low titer of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 reached $\leq 1\ \log_{10}$ reduction by treatments with 0.32 mg/mL BAC after 10 min at RT, but required longer exposure/contact times for improved effects.

BAC belongs to the QAC group, which have been widely utilized in hand sanitizers (Moadab et al., 2001) and disinfectants for hard surfaces (Gradel et al., 2004) and as pharmaceutical preservatives (Marple et al., 2004) due to its effectiveness in decontaminating a broad spectrum of bacteria, viruses and fungi in solutions (Bastiani et al., 1974; Belec et al., 2000; Jira et al., 1982). BAC at low concentrations is reported to be a non-irritant, non-toxic, and non-corrosive to food contact surfaces and thus can be safely used as a disinfectant in the food industry as a readily-used antimicrobial agent. However, studies showed that BAC requires longer exposure time to inactivate non-enveloped viruses. Furthermore, in our study, longer incubation times resulted in higher reduction irrespective of initial titers. Therefore, longer contact times could be explored to estimate the effectiveness of BAC in decontaminating HNoV surrogates. However, the long contact time of 1 h may not be suitable for all applications.

Recently, alternatives including trisodium phosphate (TSP) and hydrogen peroxide vapor (HPV) are being studied as antiviral sanitizers. TSP at the concentrations of 1%, 2% and 5% were tested on surfaces and produce. It appears to be suitable for titer reductions of FCV, MNV-1 and MS2 bacteriophage on surfaces, and FCV and MNV-1 on produce. Studies showed that higher concentration of TSP resulted in higher titer reduction with short contact times (30 s and 1 min) and 5% TSP achieved similar efficacy to 5000 ppm chlorine (D’Souza and Su, 2010; Su and D’Souza, 2011). Though, the adverse effects of high concentrations of alkaline treatments such as TSP on the environment, if any, may need to be explored. HPV appears to be a promising virucide, showing that poliovirus, rotavirus, adenovirus and murine virus can be
completely inactivated by 127 ppm HPV for 1 h at room temperature on stainless steel and framing panel carriers (Tuladhar et al., 2012). Human norovirus GII.4 appeared to be most resistant to HPV treatment, with a reduction of 0.5 log_{10} PCR units on stainless steel carriers. Furthermore, their study indicated that low levels of feces (1%) did not significantly influence the effectiveness of HPV (Tuladhar et al., 2012). However, the initial cost of the equipment to generate HPV may be a limiting factor for some small scale industries or communities.

Therefore, other commonly used sanitizers and disinfectants need to be evaluated to determine their effectiveness against human noroviruses. KPMS is widely used as a powerful oxidizing agent. Currently, KPMS is routinely used in swimming pools against Escherichia coli to keep the water clean and safe (Anipsitakis et al., 2008). It has also been used as a mist in veterinary hospitals to decontaminate S. aureus and S. Typhiumurium by >99.9999% with the concentration of 4% for 30 min exposure time after misting was completed (Patterson et al., 2005). In a 6-year study, KPMS at concentrations of 1 and 10 mg/mL completely inactivated all the bacteria - S. aureus, MRSA and Pseudomonas aeruginosa after 10 and 2 min exposure times, respectively and no significant variation in resistance to KPMS was observed during the period of study (Giacometti et al., 2002). However, only a few studies report the application of KPMS for viral inactivation. The KPMS-based product Virkon S was shown to completely inactivate the poultry enteric mortality syndrome (PEMS)-associated astrovirus in an embryo model, while quaternary ammonium or benzalkonium chloride-based products had no effect against the virus (Schultz-Cherry et al., 2001). Also, KPMS was shown to be effective against veterinary isolates of FCV (Elarky et al., 2002).

In our study, KPMS at 5 mg/mL and 10 mg/mL reduced both viruses at low titers within 30 s and high titers of FCV-F9 after 2 min with 5 mg/mL while within 30 s with 10 mg/mL of
KPMS to undetectable levels. High titers of FCV-F9 were reduced to undetectable levels after 2 min of treatment with 5 mg/mL KPMS. This is consistent with earlier research showing that KPMS completely inactivated FCV after 10 min of exposure (Eleraky et al., 2002). However, this study used only FCV from animal isolates and not the FCV-F9 strain or MNV-1. KPMS appears to be a more suitable disinfectant among the tested chemicals. Though KPMS is fast-acting, it could be corrosive to surfaces and equipment as a strong oxidative disinfectant, which may not be proper for use in hand sanitizers. The applicability of KPMS as an alternative strategy to decontaminate foodborne viruses on food contact surfaces (stainless steel) and under soiled conditions was investigated in this study as well.

Solomon and others (2009) reported that 0.8 mg/mL QAC reduced FCV by 1.9 log\textsubscript{10} PFU/mL after 10 min of exposure, while Virkon at 1% containing 5 mg/mL KPMS reduced FCV from 4-5 log\textsubscript{10} PFU/mL to undetectable levels after 10 min (Solomon et al., 2009). In comparison, our results showed that 5 mg/mL KPMS reduced FCV from ~6 log\textsubscript{10} PFU/mL to undetectable levels after 2 min; and 0.32 mg/mL BAC decreased FCV at ~ 4 log\textsubscript{10} PFU/mL by 1.9 log\textsubscript{10} PFU/mL after 1 h. Su and D’Souza (2012) reported that BAC at 0.2, 0.5, and 1 mg/mL reduced FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at low (~5 log\textsubscript{10} PFU/mL) titers to undetectable levels after 2 h at room temperature in suspension tests; and high (~7 log\textsubscript{10} PFU/mL) titers of FCV-F9 were reduced by 2.87, 3.08, and 3.25 log\textsubscript{10} PFU/mL and high titers of MNV-1 were reduced by 1.55, 2.32, and 2.75 log\textsubscript{10} PFU/mL, respectively. KPMS at 5, 10, and 20 mg/mL reduced high and low titers of FCV-F9 and low titers of MNV-1 to undetectable levels, while high titers of MNV-1 were reduced by 0.92 and 3.44 log\textsubscript{10} PFU/mL with KMPS at 5 and 10 mg/mL, respectively after 2 h at room temperature (Su and D’Souza, 2012). In comparison, our study showed that BAC at 0.32 mg/mL reduced FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at low (~5 log\textsubscript{10} PFU/mL) titers by 1.94 and \geq 3.14
log$_{10}$ PFU/mL after 1 h at room temperature in suspension tests, respectively; and FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at high (~7 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL) titers were decreased by 2.59 and 1.47 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL, respectively after 1 h. KPMS at 5 and 10 mg/mL reduced both low and high titers of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 to undetectable levels after 1 h.

Since HNoV is transmitted via fecal-oral route, human excretions such as vomit and stools can be the major sources of transmission of HNoV in the environment. Therefore, in previous studies, simulated natural conditions have been carried out by adding organic compounds into the virus stocks to determine the effect of disinfectants against HNoV and its surrogates. In one study, investigators simulated field conditions using an organic acid, an aldehyde, a halogen compound, and a peroxide as chemical disinfectants to show that the efficacy of disinfectants against FCV and noroviruses could be greatly reduced in the presence of organic impurities (25% feces) (Poschetto et al., 2007). However, another study showed that organic loads (0.3% BSA plus 0.3% erythrocytes) did not change the effectiveness of the biocides (Magulski et al., 2009). In our study, the effect of both chemicals against FCV-F9 and MNV-1 decreased under simulated dirty conditions (5% FBS). BAC at 0.32 mg/mL reduced low titers of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 by <0.5 log$_{10}$ PFU/mL under dirty conditions, while undetectable levels were achieved under clean conditions after 1 or 2 h exposure time at RT. Moreover, BAC resulted in 1.45 and 1.05 log reductions against high titers of FCV-F9 after 1 and 2 h, respectively, and ~0.7 log reduction against high titers of MNV-1 after 1 or 2 h under clean conditions. However, almost no reduction of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at high titers after 1 or 2 h was observed under dirty conditions. The effect of KPMS at 5 and 10 mg/mL was diminished somewhat in the presence of organic loads where 5 mg/mL of KPMS reduced FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at low titers to undetectable levels within 30 s and after 2 min under clean and dirty
conditions, respectively. KPMS at 10 mg/mL showed no difference against FCV-F9 at both high and low titers and MNV-1 at low titer under clean or dirty conditions; however, effects significantly decreased against high titers of MNV-1, resulting in complete inactivation after 15 min and 1 h under clean and dirty conditions, respectively.

**Conclusion**

This study provides data on alternative methods for HNoV surrogate reduction. Further studies using higher concentrations of BAC may be necessary to determine the efficacy of its antiviral effects against HNoV surrogates for use in food processing, hospital or industrial settings. Our results with KPMS show its strong potential for application in decontaminating enteric viruses in a rapid and effective manner, though caution is advised as it is a strong oxidizing agent.

**Acknowledgements**

Funding for this research was provided by the TN Agricultural Experiment Station (UT-HATCH TEN-00391) and is gratefully acknowledged. The use of trade names does not imply endorsement by the University of Tennessee-Knoxville.
References


Appendix
Table 2.1: Reduction of low and high titers of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at room temperature by 0.32 mg/mL benzalkonium chloride (BAC) using suspension tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact time (min)</th>
<th>FCV-F9 (log$_{10}$ PFU/mL) at Low titer</th>
<th>MNV-1 (log$_{10}$ PFU/mL) at Low titer</th>
<th>FCV-F9 (log$_{10}$ PFU/mL) at High titer</th>
<th>MNV-1 (log$_{10}$ PFU/mL) at High titer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovered titer</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
<td>Recovered titer</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.66±0.13$^A$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.41±0.17$^A$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.10±0.17$^B$</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>4.09±0.25$^{AB}$</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.04±0.06$^B$</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>3.84±0.21$^{BC}$</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.85±0.15$^{BC}$</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>3.68±0.24$^{CD}$</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.55±0.09$^{E}$</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>3.35±0.12$^{E}$</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.73±0.25$^{H}$</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>&lt;10$^E$</td>
<td>≥3.41$^*$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters denote significant differences when compared within each column alone (p<0.05).

*Indicates inactivation values at the detection limit of the assay since BAC fixed the cell monolayers after 1 log serial dilution but not the lower dilution of the virus-treatment combination.
Table 2.2: Reduction of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at high titers by potassium peroxymonosulfate (KPMS) at 5 and 10 mg/mL at room temperature in suspension tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact time (min)</th>
<th>FCV-F9 (log_{10} PFU/mL) with 5 mg/mL KPMS</th>
<th>MNV-1 (log_{10} PFU/mL) with 5 mg/mL KPMS</th>
<th>FCV-F9 (log_{10} PFU/mL) with 10 mg/mL KPMS</th>
<th>MNV-1 (log_{10} PFU/mL) with 10 mg/mL KPMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovered titer</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
<td>Recovered titer</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6.41±0.15^A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.45±0.12^A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.71±0.06^B</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>6.04±0.10^B</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.10±0.19^C</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>5.87±0.20^H</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;10^I</td>
<td>≥5.41*</td>
<td>5.98±0.05^H</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;10^I</td>
<td>≥5.41*</td>
<td>5.97±0.09^I</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters denote significant differences when compared within each column alone (p<0.05).

*Indicates inactivation values at the detection limit of the assay since KPMS fixed the cell monolayers after the first-log serial dilution but not the lower dilutions of the virus-treatment combination.
Table 2.3: Reduction of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at high titers on clean (a) and dirty (b) sterile stainless-steel coupons (carrier tests) by 5 mg/mL and 10 mg/mL potassium peroxymonosulfate (KPMS) at room temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact time (min)</th>
<th>FCV-F9 (log&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt; PFU/mL) with 5 mg/mL KPMS</th>
<th>MNV-1 (log&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt; PFU/mL) with 5 mg/mL KPMS</th>
<th>FCV-F9 (log&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt; PFU/mL) with 10 mg/mL KPMS</th>
<th>MNV-1 (log&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt; PFU/mL) with 10 mg/mL KPMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovered titer</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
<td>Recovered titer</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>7.51±0.08&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.83±0.07&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>7.25±0.06&lt;sup&gt;AB&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>5.79±0.03&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.95±0.09&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>5.69±0.07&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.86±0.11&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>5.58±0.13&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.03±0.14&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>5.16±0.09&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;10&lt;sup&gt;T&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>≥6.51*</td>
<td>4.19±0.06&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;10&lt;sup&gt;T&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>≥6.51*</td>
<td>4.18±0.10&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>&lt;10&lt;sup&gt;T&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>≥6.51*</td>
<td>3.63±0.12&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>&lt;10&lt;sup&gt;T&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>≥6.51*</td>
<td>3.37±0.21&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters denote significant differences when compared within each column alone (p<0.05).
*Indicates inactivation values at the detection limit of the assay since KPMS fixed the cell monolayers after the first-log serial dilution but not the lower dilutions of the virus-treatment combination.
Table 2.3: Reduction of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at high titers on clean (a) and dirty (b) sterile stainless-steel coupons (carrier tests) by 5 mg/mL and 10 mg/mL potassium peroxymonosulfate (KPMS) at room temperature (Continued).

b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact time (min)</th>
<th>FCV-F9 (log&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt; PFU/mL) with 5 mg/mL KPMS</th>
<th>MNV-1 (log&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt; PFU/mL) with 5 mg/mL KPMS</th>
<th>FCV-F9 (log&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt; PFU/mL) with 10 mg/mL KPMS</th>
<th>MNV-1 (log&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt; PFU/mL) with 10 mg/mL KPMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovered titer</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
<td>Recovered titer</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>7.98±0.03&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.85±0.09&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.71±0.08&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>4.59±0.03&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;10&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>≥6.98*</td>
<td>4.43±0.09&lt;sup&gt;BC&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>&lt;10&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>≥6.98*</td>
<td>4.26±0.02&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>&lt;10&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>≥6.98*</td>
<td>3.56±0.13&lt;sup&gt;BC&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters denote significant differences when compared within each column alone (p<0.05).

*Indicates inactivation values at the detection limit of the assay since KPMS fixed the cell monolayers after the first-log serial dilution but not the lower dilutions of the virus-treatment combination.
Table 2.4: Reduction of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at high titers on clean sterile stainless-steel coupons by 0.32 mg/mL BAC at room temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact time</th>
<th>FCV-F9 (log$_{10}$ PFU/mL)</th>
<th>MNV-1 (log$_{10}$ PFU/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovered titer</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water 1 h</td>
<td>7.88±0.05$^A$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>6.43±0.08$^B$</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water 2 h</td>
<td>6.78±0.32$^B$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>5.73±0.05$^C$</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters denote significant differences when compared within each column alone (p<0.05).
Table 2.5: Reduction of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at high and low titers on sterile stainless-steel coupons with 5% FBS (dirty condition) by 0.32 mg/mL BAC at room temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact time (min)</th>
<th>FCV-F9 (log_{10} PFU/mL) at Low titer</th>
<th>MNV-1 (log_{10} PFU/mL) at Low titer</th>
<th>FCV-F9 (log_{10} PFU/mL) at High titer</th>
<th>MNV-1 (log_{10} PFU/mL) at High titer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water 1 h</td>
<td>6.03±0.02&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt; 0.00</td>
<td>4.38±0.07&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt; 0.00</td>
<td>8.14±0.06&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt; 0.00</td>
<td>5.90±0.08&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt; 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>5.85±0.18&lt;sup&gt;AB&lt;/sup&gt; 0.18</td>
<td>4.17±0.06&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt; 0.21</td>
<td>8.14±0.03&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt; 0.00</td>
<td>5.01±0.04&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water 2 h</td>
<td>6.10±0.03&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt; 0.00</td>
<td>4.40±0.08&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt; 0.00</td>
<td>8.19±0.05&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt; 0.00</td>
<td>5.99±0.06&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt; 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>5.56±0.11&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt; 0.54</td>
<td>3.94±0.08&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt; 0.46</td>
<td>8.01±0.02&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt; 0.18</td>
<td>5.62±0.02&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt; 0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters denote significant differences when compared within each column alone (p<0.05).
Table 2.6: Reduction of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at low titers on dirty carrier tests by 5 and 10 mg/mL potassium peroxymonosulfate (KPMS) at room temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact time (min)</th>
<th>FCV-F9 (log_{10} PFU/mL) with 5 mg/mL KPMS</th>
<th>MNV-1 (log_{10} PFU/mL) with 5 mg/mL KPMS</th>
<th>FCV-F9 (log_{10} PFU/mL) with 10 mg/mL KPMS</th>
<th>MNV-1 (log_{10} PFU/mL) with 10 mg/mL KPMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovered titer</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
<td>Recovered titer</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6.03±0.07^A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.67±0.09^A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.09±0.06^B</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.76±0.31^B</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.72±0.04^C</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>1.46±0.22^B</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;10^C</td>
<td>≥5.03*</td>
<td>&lt;10^C</td>
<td>≥2.67*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters denote significant differences when compared within each column alone (p<0.05).
*Indicates inactivation values at the detection limit of the assay since KPMS fixed the cell monolayers after the first-log serial dilution but not the lower dilutions of the virus-treatment combination.
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Abstract

Sodium metasilicate is USDA FSIS approved as a processing aid for meat and poultry products with known antibacterial properties and anti-rotaviral activity. However, its efficacy against human noroviruses, the leading cause of non-bacterial gastroenteritis, has not been reported. Concentration-dependent effects of sodium metasilicate at 2%, 5%, and 10% against culturable human norovirus surrogates, (feline calicivirus (FCV-F9) and murine norovirus (MNV-1)), at room temperature for up to 1 h using both suspension and carrier tests were evaluated. Each virus at high (6~8 log₁₀ PFU/mL) or low (4~6 log₁₀ PFU/mL) titers was mixed with equal volumes of sodium metasilicate and incubated for 15 s, 30 s, 1 min, 2 min, 5 min, 10 min, 15 min, 30 min and 1 h. Each treatment was replicated thrice, recovered viruses were plaque assayed in duplicate, and data was statistically analyzed. Virus reduction by 5 and 10% sodium metasilicate was rapid, with complete reduction within 15 s for both tested viruses at both titers using suspension tests. FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at high titers were reduced to undetectable levels with 2% sodium metasilicate after 2 min and 15 s, respectively; with complete reduction of both viruses at low titers within 15 s. For carrier tests, under dry conditions, complete reduction of FCV-F9 at high titers was achieved by 2 and 5% sodium metasilicate after 10 min and 15 s, respectively; high titers of MNV-1 were reduced to undetectable levels by 2% sodium metasilicate after 2 min; and complete reduction of both tested viruses at low titers were observed within 15 s. This research shows the potential application of the processing aid sodium metasilicate as a 2% solution for the control of human norovirus transmission.
Introduction

Human noroviruses are non-enveloped, single-stranded RNA viruses that belong to the *Caliciviridae* family. They are currently considered the leading cause of foodborne illness outbreaks worldwide. In the United States, over half of the foodborne disease outbreaks reported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention from 2006 to 2008 were attributed to human noroviruses (Scallen et al., 2011; Siebenga et al., 2009; CDC, 2011). The difficulty involved in controlling human norovirus outbreaks are due to their ease of transmission, high attack rates, environmental stability and low infectious doses of 10 to 100 viral particles (Caul, 1994; D'Souza et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2009). The virus can be transmitted by person to person, or via the fecal-oral route (Goodgame et al., 2006). In addition, people may be infected by consuming contaminated food or water and touching inanimate objects contaminated with the virus. Outbreaks have occurred in a variety of closed settings, including long-term care and other healthcare facilities, restaurants and catered events, cruise ships, schools, hotels, and other institutional settings and also involve ready-to-eat food items that do not undergo further processing before consumption (CDC, 2011). The symptoms include nausea, vomiting, diarrhea and abdominal pain. Although the infection is self-limiting, the emerging virulent strains can be life-threatening to the elderly and the immuno-compromised (Siebenga et al., 2009; Donaldson et al., 2010). Routine sanitation and hygienic practices need to be followed to prevent outbreaks. Commonly used sanitizers including chlorine containing compounds have been found to be efficacious for surface decontamination (D’Souza and Su, 2010).

Sodium metasilicate is a commonly used USDA FSIS approved processing aid in the food industry that is highly soluble with the pH of a 1% solution ranging from 12.5 to 13.0 (Sharma et al., 2012a). It is approved for use as an antimicrobial component of marinades for
meat and poultry products at up to 2% by weight of the marinades and also as a carcass rinse or spray on raw beef carcasses, subprimals, and trimmings at up to 6% in solutions (USDA FSIS, 2011). Sodium metasilicate has been shown to be effective against foodborne bacterial pathogens including *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 and *Salmonella* species both *in vitro* and in beef trimmings (Carlson et al., 2008; Geornaras et al., 2012; Pohlman et al., 2007; Weber et al., 2004), and also *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Salmonella* Typhimurium in fresh chicken breast meat (Sharma et al., 2012a, b). Weber et al. (2004) found that sodium metasilicate at 0.6% (pH 12.1) resulted in complete inhibition of *E. coli* O157: H7 after a 5 to 10 s exposure in water at room temperature (Weber et al., 2004). Carlson et al., (2008) reported that 4% sodium metasilicate (23°C, pH 12.94) reduced *E. coli* O157: H7 and *Salmonella* by ~1.9 and ~ 2.6 log CFU/mL, respectively from inoculated beef hides following a 30 s- spray- 2 min- dwell- 30 s - water rinse procedure (Carlson et al., 2008). Pohlman et al. (2009) demonstrated that *E. coli* and *Salmonella* Typhimurium were reduced by ~1 log to ≥1.5 log CFU/mL, respectively, after treatment with 4% sodium metasilicate on inoculated beef trimmings (Pohlman et al., 2009). Geornaras et al. (2012) found similar effectiveness of sodium metasilicate against *E.coli* O157:H7 and 6 non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* (nSTEC) serogroups. After immersion for 30 s in a solution of 4% sodium metasilicate (pH 12.5), initial pathogen counts were found to be reduced by 1.3 to 1.5 log CFU/cm² on inoculated beef trimmings from an initial count of 3 to 4 log CFU/cm² (Geornaras et al., 2012). Adler et al. (2011) observed that brine solution containing 2.2% sodium metasilicate immediately reduced *E.coli* O157:H7 by ≥2.4 log CFU/mL at 4 or 15 °C(Adler et al., 2011).

Besides these foodborne bacterial pathogens, sodium metasilicate has also been evaluated for its efficacy against viral pathogens, including human rotaviruses and vesicular stomatitis
viruses (Springthorpe et al., 1986; Wright 1970). Springthorpe et al. (1986) tested the efficacy of 69 commercial and 7 non-commercial disinfectant formulations against human rotaviruses and found that the efficacy of quaternary ammonium-based disinfectant formulations was greatly improved by adding 0.5 to 5% sodium metasilicate, being effective against human rotaviruses. They believed that quaternary ammonium compounds and sodium metasilicate may act in an additive or synergistic manner to inactivate human rotaviruses (Springthorpe et al., 1986).

However, information is currently lacking on the antiviral activity of sodium metasilicate against human norovirus surrogates in vitro. The objective of this study was to determine the ability of 2%, 5%, and 10% sodium metasilicate to inactivate human norovirus surrogates, FCV-F9 and MNV-1, in suspension and using carrier tests (stainless steel coupons) at room temperature.

**Materials and Methods**

**Virus stocks and cell lines:** Feline calicivirus (FCV-F9) and its host, Crandell Reese Feline Kidney (CRFK) cells, were obtained from American type culture collection (ATCC) (Manassas, VA). Murine norovirus (MNV-1) was kindly provided by Dr. Skip Virgin (Washington University, St. Louis, MO), and its host, RAW 264.7 cells were obtained from the University of Tennessee cell culture collection. Viral stocks containing ~6 log_{10} PFU/mL of MNV-1 and ~8 log_{10} PFU/mL of FCV-F9 were obtained as previously described using their respectively confluent cell lines in 175cm^2 flasks (D’Souza et al., 2011; Su et al., 2011).

**Chemicals:** Sodium metasilicate obtained from MP Biomedicals (Solon, OH, USA) was dissolved in de-ionized water and then filtered through 0.2 μm filters (Fisher Scientific, GA, USA) to reach concentrations of 10% (w/v), 5% (w/v), and 2% (w/v).
**Treatments:** For suspension tests, one volume (167 μl) of sodium metasilicate was mixed with the same volume of virus to reach final concentrations of 5% (w/v), 2.5% (w/v), and 1% (w/v) and incubated for 15 s, 30 s, 1 min, 2 min, 5 min, 10 min, 15 min, 30 min and 1 h at room temperature. Sterile de-ionized water was used as a control to compare with the treated samples. At each time point, treatments were neutralized by serially diluting in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium/Ham’s F-12 (DMEM, HyClone Laboratories, Inc, Logan, Utah) containing 10% heat-inactivated Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, HyClone Laboratories, Inc, Logan, Utah), followed by serial dilutions in DMEM containing 2% heat-inactivated FBS.

For the carrier test, stainless steel coupons sterilized by autoclaving were used as a surface model (fomite) to simulate natural conditions. MNV-1 and FCV-F9 were inoculated on sterile coupons at high and low titers and allowed to dry for 1 h at room temperature in a BioSafety Level 2 hood. After drying, the coupons were treated with 2% sodium metasilicate for various time intervals from 0, 15 s, 30 s, 1, 2, 5, 10, 15, 30 and 60 min at room temperature (RT).

**Plaque Assays:** Treated samples and controls were inoculated onto the confluent CRFK cells for FCV-F9 and RAW 267.4 cells for MNV-1 in 6-well plates, and incubated for 2.5 h at 37°C under 5% CO₂. Then overlay media containing 0.75% (w/v) noble agar was added to each well and incubated for 2 to 3 days at 37°C in a CO₂ incubator. Finally, neutral red (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) containing overlay media was added and incubated for 3 to 24 h to visualize the plaques.

**Statistical Analysis:** All treatments and controls were replicated three times in duplicates. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for differences in means of plaque counts among treatments and means were analyzed using a completely randomized
design at p<0.05 in SAS program (version 9.3, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). If statistically significant differences were found using the ANOVA, the Tukey’s Post Hoc test was used to analyze differences in means between treatments.

Results

Effect of 5 and 10% sodium metasilicate against FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at high and low titers in suspension tests: Both 5 and 10% sodium metasilicate reduced high and low titers of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 to undetectable levels within 15 s at room temperature (data not shown).

Effect of 2% sodium metasilicate against FCV-F9 and MNV-1 in suspension tests: Sodium metaslicate at 2% reduced FCV-F9 at low titers and MNV-1 at both high and low titers to undetectable levels within 15 s at room temperature. The reduction caused by 2% sodium metasilicate on high titers of FCV-F9 in suspension tests are shown in Table 3.1. FCV-F9 at high titers were reduced by 5.42, 6.02, and 6.18 log PFU by 2% sodium metasilicate after 15 s, 30 s, and 1 min, respectively; and complete reduction was observed after 2 min contact time at room temperature.

Effect of 2% sodium metasilicate against FCV-F9 and MNV-1 in carrier tests: Low titers of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 were reduced to undetectable levels within 15 s at room temperature using stainless steel coupons. The effects of 2% sodium metasilicate on high titers of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 in carrier tests are shown in Table 3.2. Compared to the titer reduction of the tested viruses at high titers in suspension tests, the titer reduction of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 in carrier tests appeared to be slightly lower, where 2% sodium metasilicate decreased the FCV-F9 at high titers by 2.28, 3.19, 3.59 and 4.80 log\textit{10} PFU/mL, respectively after 30 s, 1 min, 2 min and 5 min of exposure time. High titers of MNV-1 were decreased by 1.04 and 2.02 log\textit{10} PFU/mL.
after 30 s and 1 min, respectively with 2% sodium metasilicate. FCV-F9 and MNV-1 were reduced to non-detectable limits (based on the detection limit of the plaque assays 1 log
10
PFU/mL) by 2% sodium metasilicate after 10 min and 2 min of contact times at room temperature, respectively.

Discussion

In the present study, the effect of sodium metasilicate at concentrations of 2%, 5%, and 10% on inactivation of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 was evaluated. Sodium metasilicate was found to cause rapid reduction in titers of the tested human noroviral surrogates. FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at low titers were reduced to undetectable levels within 15 s by 2% sodium metasilicate in both suspension and carrier tests at room temperature. Complete reduction of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at high titers was achieved by 2% sodium metasilicate after 2 min and within 15 s in suspension tests, respectively. In carrier tests, high titer FCV-F9 and MNV-1 were reduced to undetectable levels after 10 min and 2 min at room temperature, respectively. Increasing the concentrations of sodium metasilicate to 5 and 10% were found to reduce FCV-9 and MNV-1 at high titers to undetectable levels within 15 s in both suspension and carrier tests. The results revealed the potential suitability of using sodium metasilicate to prevent the transmission of human noroviral outbreaks.

Chemicals other than sodium metasilicate used include trisodium phosphate (TSP), a common household cleanser, which is also an alkaline detergent with the pH of 12 in 1% solution, and considered as GRAS by the FDA (Lindsay 1985). TSP is reported to be effective against a wide range of pathogens, including \textit{E. coli O157: H7}, \textit{Salmonella}, \textit{Poliovirus} 1 and bacteriophages. Jerzy and others (2003) reported that significant reductions of bacteriophage
MS2 and poliovirus1 were obtained using 1.0% TSP solution on strawberries (Jerzy et al., 2003). D’Souza and Su (2010) reported that 5% TSP effectively inactivated high titers of MNV-1 and FCV-F9 by ≥6 log PFU/mL, and with ~ 5 log reduction of low viral titers after 30s or 1 min contact time. TSP at 2% were found to inactivate high titers of FCV-F9 by ≥6 log PFU/mL, but only a 1.05 log reduction for MNV-1 after 1 min was obtained. TSP at 1% was also reported to reduce FCV-F9 by ~2.65 log PFU/mL, while no reduction was observed for high titers of MNV-1 (D’Souza and Su, 2010). In this study, sodium metasilicate at the lower concentrations of 2% appears to be more effective than TSP for the titer reduction of these human noroviral surrogates. 

The mechanism of antibacterial activity of TSP is believed to be due to a combination of high pH (~12), ionic strength and detergent effects that can cause cell wall and membrane disruption of Gram-negative foodborne pathogens (Mendonca et al., 1994; Sampathkumar et al., 2003; Yuk and Marshall, 2006). However, the mechanism of virucidal activity has not been elucidated. The mechanism of antimicrobial effect of sodium metasilicate could be similar to TSP in that they are both strongly alkaline. Further research is needed to explore the antiviral mechanism of action. 

The application of sodium metasilicate in food industry can be broader than TSP, as sodium metasilicate has been approved to be directly added in food as a processing aid to wash fruits, vegetables, and nuts. It can also be applied in poultry and meat products as an antimicrobial (US FDA 2003). Furthermore, previous studies have shown that sodium metasilicate can maintain the quality of fresh commercial poultry, having no negative effects on sensory, physical or chemical characteristics. These investigators found that 1-4% sodium metasilicate did not adversely affect sensory characteristics, color, texture or pH of fresh
commercial chicken breast meat at 4°C after 9 days (Huang et al., 2011). Pohlman et al., (2009) also reported little impact of sensory odor and color characteristics was observed by 4% sodium metasilicate in beef trimmings (Pohlman et al., 2009). Additionally, Quilo et al. (2010) revealed that better quality were perceived by using 4% sodium metasilicate in beef trimmings, resulting in much juicier, lower Warner-Bratzler shear force value for texture, and less cooking loss than the control samples (Quilo et al., 2010).

Besides alkaline chemical treatments, acidic solutions, including lactic acid and peroxyacetic acid, also have a long history of commercial use for the inactivation of foodborne pathogens. The mode of action of these organic acids and its salts on foodborne bacteria pathogens appears to be the result of the diffusion of the undissociated form of the acid into the cell, leading to decreasing intracellular pH. In order to sustain the pH inside the cell, ATPase pumps out protons at the expense of ATP. Eventually, cells die due to the lack of cellular energy (Bogaert and Naidu, 2000). The acid mediated mechanisms leading to a loss of infectivity of foodborne viruses has not been completely understood, which is believed to result from the alterations of the virus capsid and nucleic acid (Cliver, 2009; Salo and Cliver, 1976). However, whether the effect was derived from the decrease in pH or the type of acid is still not clear. It is appeared that the inactivation of non-enveloped viruses is due to the denaturation of the capsid proteins due to the decrease in pH (Rodger et al., 1977).

Previous research has shown the effect of lactic acid and peroxyacetic acid against human norovirus surrogates. Using suspension tests, Straube et al., (2011) found that D, L-lactic acid at the concentration of 0.3% (pH 3.4-3.5) and 0.4% (pH 3.2-3.3) could reach a significant titer reduction of FCV-F9 after 7 days at 20°C (Straube et al., 2011). Baert et al., (2009) reported that the application of 250 mg/L peroxyacetic acid to washing solution could obtain a supplementary
1-log reduction of MNV-1 on shredded iceberg lettuce (compared to tap water), and its efficacy was not influenced by the presence of organic materials (Baert et al., 2009). Fraisse et al., (2011) found that 100 ppm of peroxyacetic-based biocide effectively inactivated FCV-F9 by 3.2 log units and MNV-1 by 2.3 log units on the lettuce leaves after 2 min of contact time, as estimated by cell culture (Fraisse et al., 2011).

Other effective cleansers, including chlorine have been commonly used to disinfect foodborne pathogens. Chlorine has been tested for antiviral activity against human norovirus surrogates and found to be very effective in inactivating FCV-F9 and MNV-1 in suspension, on surfaces, and on produce (Belliot et al., 2008; D'Souza and Su, 2010; Doultree et al., 1999; Duizer et al., 2004; Fraisse et al., 2011; Kitajima et al., 2010; Urakami et al., 2007). However, due to the corrosive nature of chlorine, bleaching of food and unfavorable sensory effects, it has limitations for use. Furthermore, by-products including trihalomethanes may be generated due to interaction with organic matters, which can cause carcinogenic products and potential risks to public health (Dunnick and Melnick, 1993). Overall, based on the findings of this study, sodium metasilicate may be suitable and applicable for the food industry to decrease foodborne human noroviral transmission risk.

Conclusion

Sodium metasilicate at 2% is found to be very suitable for inactivating human norovirus surrogates, FCV-F9 and MNV-1 in both suspension and carrier tests, indicating its potential use as an antiviral component for surface disinfection or could be potentially used in marinades. This chemical is already approved as a processing aid in meat and poultry products and being fast-acting has great promise to reduce human norovirus surrogate transmission. The application of
sodium metasilicate as an alternative coating or strategy to decontaminate foodborne viruses in foods, such as meat and fresh produce, needs to be investigated.
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Appendix
Table 3.1: The effect of sodium metasilicate at 2% on reduction of FCV-F9 at high titer using suspension tests at room temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact time</th>
<th>Recovered titer</th>
<th>Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>7.86±0.08&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 s</td>
<td>2.45±0.16&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 s</td>
<td>1.84±0.34&lt;sup&gt;BC&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>1.68±0.23&lt;sup&gt;C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>&lt;10&lt;sup&gt;D&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>≥6.86*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>&lt;10&lt;sup&gt;D&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>≥6.86*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters denote significant differences when compared within each column alone (p<0.05).

*Indicates inactivation values at the detection limit of the assay since sodium metasilicate fixed the cell monolayers after the first-log serial dilution but not the lower dilutions of the virus-treatment combination.
Table 3.2: The effect of sodium metasilicate at 2% on reduction of FCV-F9 and MNV-1 at high titers using carrier tests at room temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact time</th>
<th>FCV-F9 (log_{10} PFU/mL)</th>
<th>MNV-1 (log_{10} PFU/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovered titer</td>
<td>Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>7.97±0.08^A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 s</td>
<td>5.69±0.17^B</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>4.79±0.12^C</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>4.38±0.16^D</td>
<td>3.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>3.17±0.15^E</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>&lt;10^F</td>
<td>≥6.97*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>&lt;10^F</td>
<td>≥6.97*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters denote significant differences when compared within each column alone (p<0.05).

*Indicates inactivation values at the detection limit of the assay since sodium metasilicate fixed the cell monolayers after the first-log serial dilution but not the lower dilutions of the virus-treatment combination.
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