

9-1-2024

Enhancing Access and Inclusion: The Retrofitting of Olympic Facilities for the Paralympic Games

Lawrence W. Judge

Ball State University, lwjudge@bsu.edu

Jeffrey Petersen

Baylor University, Jeffery_Petersen@baylor.edu

Adam Smith

Ball State University, adam.smith2@bsu.edu

Kyra Livergood

Ball State University, kyra.livergood@bsu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://trace.tennessee.edu/jasm>



Part of the [Architectural History and Criticism Commons](#), [Business Commons](#), [Education Commons](#), and the [Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Judge, Lawrence W.; Petersen, Jeffrey; Smith, Adam; and Livergood, Kyra (2024) "Enhancing Access and Inclusion: The Retrofitting of Olympic Facilities for the Paralympic Games," *Journal of Applied Sport Management*: Vol. 16 : Iss. 3.

<https://doi.org/10.7290/jasm16sdfx>

Available at: <https://trace.tennessee.edu/jasm/vol16/iss3/1>

This article is brought to you freely and openly by Volunteer, Open-access, Library-hosted Journals (VOL Journals), published in partnership with The University of Tennessee (UT) University Libraries. This article has been accepted for inclusion in *Journal of Applied Sport Management* by an authorized editor. For more information, please visit <https://trace.tennessee.edu/jasm>.

Enhancing Access and Inclusion: The Retrofitting of Olympic Facilities for the Paralympic Games

Lawrence W. Judge

Ball State University

Jeffrey C. Petersen

Baylor University

Adam Smith

Ball State University

Please send correspondence to Lawrence Judge, lwjudge@bsu.edu

Abstract

Retrofitting facilities for the Paralympic Games remains essential for ensuring equal opportunities and inclusivity for athletes and spectators. This paper examines the significance of retrofitting initiatives in creating accessible sports environments. By modifying existing venues, these efforts accommodate the unique needs of individuals with disabilities, enhancing both athlete participation and the spectator experience. Key retrofitting strategies, such as installing wheelchair ramps and accessible seating, contribute to a more equitable environment. Addressing the specific needs of Paralympic athletes and spectators demonstrates a commitment to inclusivity in sports. Beyond the Paralympic Games, retrofitted facilities set a standard for future events and public venues, promoting societal inclusivity. This paper highlights how retrofitting fosters equality in both sports and society, emphasizing its role in advancing an inclusive and accessible future.

Keywords: accessibility, adaptive sports, facility design, universal design, inclusive infrastructure

The Paralympic Games, an iconic international sporting event celebrating the athletic prowess of individuals with disabilities, have gained significant traction over the past decade (Brittain & Beacom, 2018). The amplified acclaim of the Paralympics is reflected in the growing media coverage and spectatorship, notably with the London 2012 Paralympic Games' record-setting attendance numbers (London 2012, n.d.). As the Paralympics' profile ascends, so does the imperative for host cities to ensure that Olympic facilities are prepared to accommodate this dynamic event (Goggin & Hutchins, 2017; Kolotouchkina et al., 2020). Preparation for the Paralympics includes the modification of physical infrastructure accompanied with an attitudinal shift towards access, inclusivity, and sustainability. Building upon the insights of Petersen and Judge (2023) regarding sustainable practices implemented for the Youth Olympics, this critical review contends that a similar discourse is needed for Paralympic facilities to achieve accessible and inclusive venues that are sustainable.

An accident involving a visually impaired Japanese judo athlete and an autonomous shuttle bus at the Tokyo Paralympic Village signifies a potential conflict between the implementation of operational processes and the broader societal implications of sports, as discussed by Blauwet and Willick (2012) and Weed and Dowse (2009). The incident occurred when Japanese Paralympian, Kitazono Aramitsu, was struck by an autonomous vehicle while traversing a designated pedestrian crosswalk, resulting in injuries necessitating withdrawal from his upcoming competition. The collision not only caused the temporary cessation of Toyota's e-Palette transportation services, but also accentuated the critical importance of planning that accommodates the specialized needs of athletes with disabilities. Toyota's e-Palette vehicles, which were a celebrated component of the Olympic transport network in 2021 (Toyota Motor Corporation, 2021), revealed the constraints of this technology in ensuring the protection of visually impaired pedestrians. This underscores the need for inclusive design that considers all aspects of human ability in the deployment of automated technologies. Remarkably, the e-Palette service was relaunched with a full-time human operator just four days after the incident, demonstrating a continued dedication to serving the Paralympic community and a resolved commitment to enhancing the technology following the crisis (Toyota Motor Corporation, 2021).

Aramitsu's injuries, which prevented his participation in the Tokyo Paralympics, highlight a critical need for technological advancements to ensure safe and equitable access for everyone, especially individuals with disabilities. Such enhancements resonate with the core values of access and inclusivity that are crucial to sports culture and societal integration (Blauwet & Willick, 2012; Weed & Dowse, 2009). This review critically examines retrofitting strategies used in recent Paralympic Games, highlighting their impact on the disabled community's experiences. Success for the Paralympics depends on creating venues that meet or exceed access standards (Dowse & Fletcher, 2018). The post-2001 Olympic bid process changes, which mandated detailed planning for the Paralympics, were evident in the accessibility-focused venue selection for Los Angeles' successful 2024 (now 2028) bid, opting for SoFi Stadium over the LA Memorial Coliseum for the Paralympic closing ceremony. The ambitions of the Los Angeles 2028 bid highlight the ongoing efforts to enhance accessibility. This review analyzes advancements and obstacles in updating sports facilities for the Paralympics, combining academic perspectives, governance guidelines, and personal accounts to champion future efforts that integrate seamless mobility and inclusiveness in Paralympic infrastructure. Table 1 encapsulates the retrofitting actions taken for existing Olympic venues

to facilitate Paralympic suitability, with a focus on key changes that improve access and engagement.

Table 1

Summary of Accessibility and Inclusivity Retrofitting Efforts

Retrofitting Measure	Description	References
Wheelchair Ramps	Ensuring easy accessibility for wheelchair reliant individuals at community fitness facilities.	Dolbow and Figoni (2015)
COVID-19 Safety Measures	Implementing health and safety protocols, including enhanced sanitation and social distancing, during the Paralympic Games.	Yoneoka et al. (2022)
Universal Design Principles	Applying principles of universal design to accommodate the needs of all users, including those with disabilities, in the design and retrofitting of venues.	Connell et al. (1997); Persson et al. (2014); Petersen and Judge (2023)
Accessible Training Facilities	Creating specialized training areas tailored to the needs of athletes with disabilities.	Tokyo 2020 Summer Paralympic Games (IPC, n.d.), Patatas & Winckler (2021)
Psychological and Social Inclusivity	Designing venues for elevated social interaction and training event staff for effective interaction with people with disabilities.	Singleton and Darcy (2013)
Accessible Seating Areas	Provision of suitable seating arrangements to accommodate individuals with disabilities and ensure their comfort.	Yazigi et al. (2015)

Review Methodology

This systematic critical review of recent literature evaluates the conversion of Olympic facilities for Paralympic use, focusing on English-language sources addressing adaptations for accessibility. A strategic search of academic databases and journals employed keywords like “Olympic to Paralympic conversion” and “universal design in sports facilities,” refined with Boolean operators. The review process included an initial screening of titles and abstracts, a thorough full-text assessment for quality and relevance, systematic data extraction, and a thematic synthesis to identify key patterns.

Accessibility and Inclusivity Considerations

Ensuring Paralympic venues are functionally accessible and inclusive extends beyond the scope of existing regulatory frameworks, demanding comprehensive planning that accounts for diverse disabilities (Azzali, 2020). This effort goes beyond simply following established guidelines; it calls for a deeper commitment to embracing both the letter and the spirit of universal design principles. Past retrospectives on accessibility modifications reveal the imperative to transcend basic compliance, advocating for an

elevation in standards that culminates in the epitome of inclusivity. Accomplishing genuine inclusivity requires the creation of environments that address both the tangible and intangible obstacles. This approach to inclusivity confronts not only the physical impediments, but also the psychological barriers, that may deter participation (Pierre et al., 2022). Such efforts mandate an interdisciplinary approach and proactive engagement with the disabled community. Involving those most intimately affected in the dialogue ensures their perspectives are woven into the essence of venue design and functional operations, fostering spaces that are not only accessible, but also welcoming, for all attendees.

Kim et al. (2022) underscored the transformative potential of participatory design processes, highlighting that the disabled are not mere end-users but also pivotal contributors to decision making. This collaborative approach yields innovative solutions that recognize the diversity of disability, avoiding “one-size-fits-all” remedies that often fall short in addressing nuanced needs. Such inclusively designed products and spaces can give companies in the industry a competitive edge, as they resonate more deeply with users’ lived experiences and can tap into previously unmet demands in the marketplace.

Compliance With Paralympic Standards

Ensuring that facilities meet Paralympic standards is an ongoing process, which necessitates comprehensive retrofitting strategies in tandem with the dynamic needs of the sporting community. Universal design in sports facilities means creating inclusive and accessible spaces for all users without the need for special adaptations (Petersen, & Judge, 2023). The concept of universal design promotes the transformation of sports facilities to meet inclusive standards, ensuring access for athletes of all abilities (Petersen & Piletic, 2006). Such enhancements encompass modifications to the layout and architecture, as well as the integration of specialized equipment and designated areas for the diverse range of Paralympic events (Darcy, 2001; Jaarsma et al., 2014). These upgrades reflect a deep respect for the abilities of Paralympic athletes and underscore the commitment to the principles of safety and equity within the Paralympic movement (Patatas et al., 2019). Embracing these standards as a catalyst for innovation rather than mere compliance fosters the pursuit of excellence and aligns with the spirit of the Paralympic Games.

Therefore, it is important to consider the Tokyo Olympics/Paralympics, where facilities dating back to the 1964 games had to be reviewed and re-engineered for modern accessibility principles. Some of these venues, not originally designed with accessibility in mind, had to bridge a significant gap to meet contemporary expectations and serve as fully inclusive platforms for Paralympians. This underscores the challenge of retrofitting legacy sporting venues to honor both the past and the commitment to accessibility for all athletes (Petersen & Judge, 2023). Furthermore, the Los Angeles Olympic/Paralympic bid illustrates a commitment to legacy and environmental stewardship by strategically utilizing preexisting and planned infrastructure, circumventing the high costs and ecological impacts associated with constructing new venues (Los Angeles City Legislative Analyst’s Office, 2016). The adaptation of legacy facilities for Paralympic sports poses additional challenges, such as ensuring full accessibility and meeting the unique requirements of various para-athletes, which can be complex and costly when retrofitting older structures.

Limited Time for Facility Conversion

A significant challenge in the retrofitting of Olympic facilities is the tight timeframe separating the Olympic and Paralympic Games. The brief transition period demands timely and necessary transformations. Insights drawn from previous Games, with a particular focus on London 2012, illustrate that swift and precise venue modifications benefit significantly from proactive planning and the early establishment of a “retrofit-ready” approach during the initial phases of Olympic venue design (Patatas & Winckler, 2021). Post-Olympics, London organizers swiftly implemented temporary seating adjustments in event venues to enhance accessibility for Paralympic spectators, a task that necessitated action within the stringent timeframe between the Games. This preparedness is critical, as the details outlined in the bid and application process often predetermine the retrofitting parameters, with government regulations potentially influencing the complexity and duration of the conversion timeframe. These regulatory frameworks are designed to ensure that facilities meet specific standards which, although contributing to safety and inclusivity, may also add layers of compliance that extend the conversion timeline. Therefore, integrating versatile design features from the onset aligns with bid commitments and ensures compliance with regulations, facilitating a more efficient transition. This allows for a more streamlined conversion of the space from one event to the other, embodying an efficient, practical translation of multifunctionality serving both immediate event requirements and long-term strategic use. The challenges faced in the retrofitting of facilities for Paralympic Games are systematically catalogued and analyzed in Table 2. In Tokyo, these included last-minute enhancements to accessibility, such as adding temporary ramps, making additional tactile ground surface indicators for the visually impaired, and installing specific signage, all of which had to be implemented swiftly.

Table 2

Challenges in Retrofitting Olympic Facilities for the Paralympic Games

Challenge	Description	References
Accessibility Considerations	Going beyond basic standards to ensure a comprehensive range of accommodations for various disabilities.	Blauwet and Willick (2012)
Inclusivity Considerations	Balancing both physical and social inclusivity in the facets of sporting venues and events.	Darcy and Dowse (2013)
Compliance With Standards	Adherence to international standards and sport-specific regulations for the Paralympic Games.	DePauw (2012); Enock and Jacobs (2008)
Limited Conversion Time	Dealing with the rapid transition and logistical challenges between Olympic and Paralympic events to retrofit the facilities.	London 2012 (n.d.)
Collaborative Stakeholder Engagement	Engaging diverse stakeholder groups to ensure varied perspectives are included in planning and execution.	Azzali (2020)

Critical Engagement and Moving Forward

Reflection on these critical elements demonstrates that the retrofitting process for Paralympic facilities is inherently intertwined with larger concerns of access, societal inclusion, and space utility. The discourse advocates for a proactive stance, preempting potential barriers by considering diverse disability needs from the earliest design stages of sporting venues. Embracing universal design principles not only champions inclusivity, but also aligns with the sustainability efforts crucial to the integrity of future Paralympic Games. The integration of these principles into the planning and execution of large-scale events offers a model for developing facilities that are both accessible and environmentally considerate (Dickson et al., 2013). This critical review explores the intricate relationship between accessibility, inclusivity, sustainability, and universal design, urging stakeholders to strive for eco-conscious excellence in retrofitting endeavors. This review positions sustainability as a key component, intertwined with the essential goals of accessibility and inclusivity. It champions the integration of environmentally responsible practices with the fundamentals of universal design, aiming to establish the Olympic and Paralympic movements as exemplars of an all-encompassing, responsible ethos (McCullough et al., 2016).

Inclusive Adaptation and Collaboration

The critical review of retrofitting Olympic facilities for the Paralympic Games reveals the nuanced challenges and complexities associated with ensuring access and inclusivity. Azzali (2020) emphasized effective collaboration as a linchpin of success in retrofitting efforts, requiring the pooled expertise and perspectives of diverse stakeholders. Through partnership and iterative testing, stakeholders can proactively identify potential issues and co-create tailored solutions that advance the inclusivity of the infrastructure. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Tokyo 2020 Summer Paralympic Games facilities underwent significant retrofitting to ensure that athletes who use wheelchairs and other mobility aids could navigate the environment safely and comfortably, thus exemplifying a model of adaptive innovation that maintained the integrity of access and inclusion despite unprecedented health challenges. Building upon the universal design principles outlined by Connell et al. (1994) and later expounded by Persson et al. (2014), the Tokyo Paralympic Games retrofitting addressed Paralympic athletes' needs along with the compulsory adaptations of the COVID-19 pandemic. The adaptation measures, such as wider doorways for wheelchair access, no-touch sanitization stations, and plexiglass barriers for physical distancing, were not mere stop-gap solutions but rather integrated components of a comprehensive, universally designed environment. This synthesis of access and safety considerations underscored a commitment to an inclusive experience, allowing for both unimpeded participation by athletes with disabilities and adherence to stringent health protocols (Kim et al., 2022). This strategic vision underscores the symbiotic relationship between inclusivity and sustainability within the legacy of large-scale sporting events (Kellison & Hong, 2023). For a holistic understanding of the strategies involved in enhancing Paralympic venues, Table 3 delineates a range of innovative solutions to navigate retrofitting challenges, paired with potential positive outcomes.

Table 3*Best Practices and Innovative Solutions to Overcome Paralympic Retrofitting Challenges*

Challenge	Innovative Solution(s)	Potential Outcomes
Accessibility Considerations	Incorporation of assistive technology and universal design such as ramps	Enhanced access for persons with disabilities and the aging
Inclusivity Considerations	Design “think tank” workshops with stakeholders including able-bodied and disabled athletes.	Leading to a more inclusive sporting event
Compliance With Standards	Based on international guidelines and certified by international agencies	A high standard of venue readiness that meets or exceeds Olympic and Paralympic standards
Limited Conversion Time	Adoption of modular and prefabricated facility elements allowing faster installation and disassembly	Quicker and more efficient change-over from Olympic Games to Paralympic Games
Collaborative Stakeholder Engagement	Establishment of a cross-sector collaborative platform for communication and decision making	Harmonized efforts leading to a more effective and responsive retrofitting process

Research and Operations

Future research must systematically examine the role of universal design in sports complexes, focusing on the tangible benefits of such environments in promoting social unity and establishing a legacy of equal access for individuals with disabilities. Access and inclusivity are central to this academic pursuit, highlighting the necessity of environments that welcome and empower everyone. Current literature lacks comprehensive studies on the alteration of Olympic venues to accommodate Paralympic Games’ needs. It is essential to foster collaborative initiatives between technology firms and academic institutions to advance the innovation of retrofitting techniques. These partnerships promise to uncover new approaches that include automated accessibility monitoring systems and the use of advanced materials for efficient installations. Such progress is integral to reinforcing the Paralympic Games as a catalyst for the development of universally accessible and sustainable sports facilities.

Conclusion

The evolution of the Paralympic Games emphasizes the need for integrating inclusion and sustainability in Olympic facility design. This evaluation suggests that effective transformation is contingent on targeted retrofitting strategies rooted in universal design and a commitment to inclusivity among all stakeholders. The current body of research and practice concerning retrofitting for the Paralympic Games offers valuable insights that can inform the design of public spaces worldwide. Incorporating access, inclusion, and sustainability from the start of the planning and design process can create a lasting impact on the social and physical landscape of communities, as shown through events like the Paralympic Games, according to Kellison and Hong (2023).

Acknowledgements

Thank you to all Paralympic athletes, coaches, and staff for their invaluable insights on retrofitting and its effects. The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

- Azzali, S. (2020). Challenges and key factors in planning legacies of mega sporting events: lessons learned from London, Sochi, and Rio de Janeiro. *Archnet-IJAR: International Journal of Architectural Research*, 14(2), 203–218
- Blauwet, C., & Willick, S. E. (2012). The Paralympic Movement: Using sports to promote health, disability rights, and social integration for athletes with disabilities. *PM&R*, 4(11), 851–856.
- Brittain, I., & Beacom, A. (Eds.). (2018). *The Palgrave handbook of Paralympic studies*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-47901-3>
- Connell, B. R., Jones, M., Mace, R., Mueller, J., Mullick, A., Ostroff, E., et al. (1997). The principles of universal design. http://design.ncsu.edu/cud/univ_design/principles/udprinciples.htm
- Darcy, S. (2001). The Games for Everyone? Planning for Disability and Access at the Sydney 2000 Paralympic & Olympic Games. *Disability Studies Quarterly*, 21(4), 70–84.
- Darcy, S., & Dowse, L. (2013). In search of a level playing field—the constraints and benefits of sport participation for people with intellectual disability. *Disability & Society*, 28(3), 393–407.
- DePauw, K. P. (2012). A historical perspective of the paralympic games. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance*, 83(3), 21–31.
- Dickson, T. J., Benson, A. M., & Blackman, D. (2013). Developing a framework for evaluating Olympic and Paralympic legacies. *Social Science Research Network*. https://autopapers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2202212
- Dolbow, D. R., & Figoni, S. F. (2015). Accommodation of wheelchair-reliant individuals by community fitness facilities. *Spinal Cord*, 53(7), 515–519.
- Dowse, S., & Fletcher, T. (2018). Sport mega-events, the ‘non-West’ and the ethics of event hosting. *Sport in Society*, 21(5), 745–761.
- Enock, K., & Jacobs, J. A. (2008). The Olympic and Paralympic Games 2012: Literature review of the logistical planning and operational challenges for public health. *Public Health*, 122(11), 1229–1238.
- Goggin, G., & Hutchins, B. (2017). Media and the Paralympics: Progress, visibility, and paradox. In *Palgrave Macmillan UK eBooks* (pp. 217–239).
- Jaarsma, E. A., Dekker, R., Koopmans, S. A., Dijkstra, P. U., & Geertzen, J. (2014). Barriers to and facilitators of sports participation in people with visual impairments. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 31(3), 240–264.
- Kellison, T., & Hong, S. (2023). Innovation diffusion in the sustainable design of sport venues. *Journal of Applied Sport Management*, 15(4), 5-13.
- Kim, H., Lee, C., Kim, K., & Kim, J. (2022). Paralympic legacy as seen through the lenses of specta-

- tors with physical disabilities: a case of the PyeongChang Paralympic Games. *Annals of Leisure Research*, 27(2), 1–20.
- Kolotouchkina, O., Barroso, C. L., Guardia, M. L. G., & Pavón, J. (2020). Disability, sport, and television: Media visibility and representation of Paralympic Games in news programs. *Sustainability*, 13(1), 256.
- London Organizing Committee of the Olympic and Paralympic Games. (2012). About the Paralympic Games. London 2012. Retrieved from <https://www.paralympic.org/london-2012>.
- Los Angeles City Legislative Analyst's Office. (2016). LA's bid for the 2024 Olympics and Paralympics [PDF file]. California Legislative Analyst's Office. <https://lao.ca.gov/reports/2016/3506/LA-Bid-2024-Olympics-Paralympics.pdf>
- McCullough, B. P., Pfahl, M. E., & Nguyen, S. N. (2016). The green waves of environmental sustainability in sport. *Sport in Society*, 19(7), 1040–1065.
- Patatas, J. M., De Bosscher, V., De Cocq, S., Jacobs, S. D., & Legg, D. (2019). Towards a system theoretical understanding of the parasport context. *Journal of Global Sport Management*, 6(1), 87–110.
- Patatas, J. M., & Winckler, C. (2021). 'We too will have to wait a year': The impacts of COVID-19 and the postponement of the Tokyo 2020 Paralympic Games from Brazilian athletes and coaches' perspectives. *Sport in Society*, 25(7), 1252–1272.
- Persson, H., Åhman, H., Yngling, A., & Gulliksen, J. (2014). Universal design, inclusive design, accessible design, design for all: different concepts—one goal? On the concept of accessibility—historical, methodological and philosophical aspects. *Universal Access in the Information Society*, 14(4), 505–526.
- Petersen, J., & Judge, L. W. (2023). A new secret of the Youth Olympic Games: A model for Olympic facilities reform. *Journal of Applied Sport Management* 15(4), 39–47. <https://doi.org/10.7290/jasm-2023-V15-I4-osTu>
- Petersen, J., & Piletic, C. (2006). Facility accessibility: Opening the doors to all. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance*, 77(5), 38–44.
- Pierre, J., Schut, P., & Segay, B. (2022). The role of sports clubs to integrate people with disabilities. *Managing Sport and Leisure*, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23750472.2022.2135584>
- Singleton, J. F., & Darcy, S. (2013). 'Cultural life,' disability, inclusion, and citizenship: Moving beyond leisure in isolation. *Annals of Leisure Research*, 16(3), 183–192.
- Tokyo 2020 Summer Paralympic Games | IPC. (n.d.). International Paralympic Committee. <https://www.paralympic.org/tokyo-2020>
- Toyota Motor Corporation. (2021, August 27). Toyota suspends self-driving pods at Paralympics after accident. *The Japan Times*. <https://electrek.co/2021/08/27/toyota-suspends-all-self-driving-vehicles-at-paralympic-games-after-collision-with-athlete/>
- Weed, M., & Dowse, S. (2009). A missed opportunity waiting to happen? The social legacy potential of the London 2012 Paralympic Games. *Journal of Policy Research in Tourism, Leisure, and Events*, 1(2), 170–174.
- Yazigi, S., Resende, A. E., & Yazigi, R. (2015). Accessibility in soccer stadiums: Infrastructure and

organization in support of people with reduced mobility—A use analysis. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 3, 5557–5561.

Yoneoka, D., Eguchi, A., Fukumoto, K., Kawashima, T., Tanoue, Y., Tabuchi, T., ... & Nomura, S. (2022). Effect of the Tokyo 2020 Summer Olympic Games on COVID-19 incidence in Japan: A synthetic control approach. *BMJ Open*, 12(9), e061444. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-061444>