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The Tennessee Governmental Tort Liability Act (TGTLA) passed in 1973 (T.C.A. Title 29, Chapter 20) stripped municipalities and counties of their sovereign immunity in several areas. That act, as amended several times, provides that municipalities can be sued for injuries caused by their employees only in a limited number of areas and immunizes municipal employees or limits their liability for injuries they cause in certain cases.

MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEE AND BOARDS IMMUNITY/LIABILITY EMPLOYEES

If the injury in question is one for which the municipality is liable under the TGTLA, the employee who caused the injury is totally relieved of liability (unless the employee is a “health care practitioner” sued for malpractice). Generally, the municipality is liable under the TGTLA for injuries arising from:

1. Negligent operation of a motor vehicle;
2. Defective, unsafe, or dangerous streets, etc.;
3. Dangerous or defective public building or other structure; and
4. Employee negligence where the negligence does not involve discretion.

If the injury in question is one for which the municipality is immune from suit under the TGTLA, the employee who caused the injury may be personally liable for it but only to the limits of liability provided for in the Tennessee Governmental Tort Liability Act. However, the liability limits do not apply if the employee's actions were willful, malicious, criminal, or performed for personal gain, or constituted medical malpractice by a healthcare practitioner.

EMPLOYEE INDEMNIFICATION

Municipalities can insure or indemnify their employees for claims for which the employee is liable but for which the municipality is immune. However, indemnification cannot generally exceed the tort liability limits.

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

The provisions immunizing boards, commissions, and committees are broad. T.C.A. § 29 20 201(b)(2), declares that all members of boards, commissions, agencies, authorities, and other governing bodies of any governmental entity, created by public or private act, whether compensated or not, shall be immune from suit arising from the conduct of the affairs of such boards, commission, agency, authority or other...
governing body. Provided, however, such immunity from suit shall be removed when such conduct amounts to willful, wanton, or gross negligence.

TORT LIABILITY LIMITS
Chapter 424 of Public Acts of 2001 increased the limits for municipalities for actions arising on or after July 1, 2002, and July 1, 2007. The limits and the effective dates are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INJURY</th>
<th>Date Cause of Action Arose</th>
<th>Limit July 1, 1987-June 30, 2002</th>
<th>Limit July 1, 2002-June 30, 2007</th>
<th>Limit On or after July 1, 2007</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One Person</td>
<td>$130,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Persons</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$700,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Damage</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GROUNDS FOR A SUIT AGAINST A MUNICIPALITY FOR INJURIES CAUSED BY ITS EMPLOYEES

1. The negligent operation of motor vehicles or other equipment by municipal employees in the scope of their employment. T.C.A. § 29 20 202.
2. Defective, unsafe or dangerous streets, alleys, sidewalks, or highways, including traffic control devices (provided the municipality has notice of the unsafe or dangerous condition). T.C.A. § 29 20 203.
3. Dangerous or defective public buildings and structures of various kinds (provided the municipality has notice of the danger or defect). T.C.A. § 29 20 204.
4. The negligent acts or omissions of their employees. Exceptions to this include injuries arising from what the employee did or did not do in the following areas. (In other words, municipalities, counties, and other local governmental entities would still be immune from suit for these acts of their employees.)

a. Discretionary functions, whether or not the discretion is abused. What is a discretionary function? In Bowers v. City of Chattanooga, 826 S.W.2d 427 (Tenn. 1992), the Tennessee Supreme Court adopted the “planning operational” test for determining what constitutes a discretionary function. Under that test, said the court

Decisions that rise to the level of planning or policy making are considered discretionary acts which do not give rise to tort liability, while decisions that are merely operational are not considered discretionary acts and, therefore, do not give rise to immunity.
The planning operational test focuses on the type of decision rather than on the decision maker. It is not always clear what decisions of municipal employees are planning functions and which are operations decisions;

b. False imprisonment, false arrest, malicious prosecution, intentional trespass, abuse of process, libel, slander, deceit, interference with contract rights, infliction of mental anguish, invasion of privacy, or civil rights;

c. Issuance, denial, suspension or revocation of, or by the failure or refusal to issue, deny, suspend or revoke, any permit, license, certificate, approval, order, or similar authorization;

d. Failure to make an inspection, or by reason of making an inadequate or negligent inspection of any property;

e. Institution or prosecution of any judicial or administrative proceeding, even if malicious or without probable cause;

f. Misrepresentation by an employee whether or not such is negligent or intentional;

g. Riots, unlawful assemblies, public demonstrations, mob violence, and civil disturbances;

h. Assessment, levy, or collection of taxes; and

i. Failure of computer software occurring before January 1, 2005, which is caused directly or indirectly by Y2K type computer problems. T.C.A. § 29 20 205.

IF YOU NEED HELP
For more information about the Tennessee Governmental Tort Liability Act, please contact your MTAS municipal management consultant.