



February 2010

An Organizational Context for Scientific Data Practices

Kimberly L. Douglass

University of Tennessee - Knoxville, kdougl2@utk.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_infosciepubs

 Part of the [Comparative Politics Commons](#), [Environmental Policy Commons](#), [International Relations Commons](#), [Library and Information Science Commons](#), and the [Public Administration Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Douglass, Kimberly L., "An Organizational Context for Scientific Data Practices" (2010). *School of Information Sciences -- Faculty Publications and Other Works*.

http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_infosciepubs/26

This is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Information Sciences at Trace: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in School of Information Sciences -- Faculty Publications and Other Works by an authorized administrator of Trace: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange. For more information, please contact trace@utk.edu.



An Organizational Context for Scientific Data Practices

(Kimberly Douglass, Lei Wu, Carol Tenopir, Suzie Allard, Maribeth Manoff, Eleanor Read) University of Tennessee,




Bruce Wilson^{ORNL}, Patricia Cruse California Digital Library, Mike Frame U.S. Geological Survey



Research Question


How are government-housed and government-funded scientists constrained in data sharing?

DataONE



- NSF-funded project
- Enables science
- Universal access to data about life on Earth and the environment that sustains it

Demographics – Survey of Data Practices



Preliminary Findings

If some or all of your data are available to others, these data are available on my organization's website.

Academia 52.5%
Government 75.9%

*Pearson's R (Value = 31.375 - df = 12 - .significance = .002)***
*Phi (Value=.332 - significance=.002)***

My organization or project has a formal established process for storing data beyond the life of the project (long-term).

Academia 39.3%
Government 57.3%

*Pearson's R (Value = 27.450 - df = 16 - .significance = .037)**
*Phi (Value=.288 - significance=.037)**

Tell us how much you agree with the statement, "my organization or project provides training on best practices for data management".

Academia 20.6%
Government 24.5%

*Pearson's R (Value = 32.731 - df = 16 - .significance = .008)***
*Phi (Value = .314 - significance = .008)***

I would be more likely to make my data available if I could place conditions on access.

Academia 67.1%
Government 61.8%

*Pearson's R (Value = 31.126 - df = 16 - .significance = .013)**
*Phi (Value = .309 - significance = .013)**

If your data are not available electronically to others, why not (check all that apply)?

| Insufficient Time | Lack of Funding | Do not Have Rights to Make Data Public | No place to Put Data |
|-------------------|------------------|--|----------------------|
| Academia 57.8% | Academia 37.7% | Academia 20.6% | Academia 21.1% |
| Government 58.3% | Government 50.0% | Government 27.8% | Government 20.8% |

Analysis

More data available on government websites

Storage is more of an issue in academia.

Training on best practices for data management is an issue for both sectors.

Both would make data available conditionally.

Time and funding are issues for both sectors.

What Have We Learned?

Government-housed and government-funded scientists differ only in information available on their websites and processes for data storage.

Significant at the .05 level, significant at the .010 level**, significant at the .001 level****

Acknowledgements

Support for DataONE is provided through NSF DataNET-0830944 Cooperative Agreement. The principal investigator of DataONE is William Michener, University of New Mexico.

