



2020

The Cost of Big Data: Evaluating the Effects of the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation

Kara Rebecca White
kwhite85@vols.utk.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_finapubs



Part of the [Business Analytics Commons](#), [Business Law, Public Responsibility, and Ethics Commons](#), [Corporate Finance Commons](#), [European Law Commons](#), and the [International Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

White, Kara Rebecca, "The Cost of Big Data: Evaluating the Effects of the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation" (2020). *Finance Publications and Other Works*.
https://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_finapubs/8

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Finance at Trace: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Finance Publications and Other Works by an authorized administrator of Trace: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange. For more information, please contact trace@utk.edu.

The Cost of Big Data: Evaluating the Effects of the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation

By: Kara White
Advisor: Dr. Larry Fauver

ABSTRACT

In the 1990's the World Wide Web was created, drastically changing the way we do business, communicate, and live our lives. Ten years later in the early 2000's the dot com boom happened, and several years later, new technology giants emerged—like Amazon, Google, and Facebook. From this, we now face “big data” that promises to solve world problems, but has the potential to create turmoil and malfeasance. My research examines the impact of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) enacted in the EU in 2016 on firm value using Tobin's Q and CARs. The implications of my analyses suggest that the GDPR enacted in the EU to ensure data privacy and protection increased consumer trust in business and positively impacted firm value.

INTRODUCTION

The GDPR is the strictest guideline to ever be placed on businesses regarding the collection and use of data, which poses interesting and unexplored questions:

- How does data policy affect the annual costs of business, if at all?
- How does data policy affect different industries?
- Does the stock market react to data policy announcements?

GDPR DESCRIPTION

ANNOUNCEMENT DATE
26 April 2016

ENFORCEMENT DATE
25 May 2018

The key principles of the GDPR are as follow:

LAWFULNESS, FAIRNESS AND TRANSPARENCY

PURPOSE LIMITATION

DATA MINIMIZATION

ACCURACY

STORAGE LIMITATION

INTEGRITY AND CONFIDENTIALITY

REGRESSION ANALYSIS

METHODOLOGY

In the regression analysis, the main variables of interest are firms in the EU between 2016-18, *POST*, and firm value, *Tobin's Q*. The time period of interest in the *POST* variable, 2016-18, serves as a date range which covers the announcement and enforcement of the GDPR which was enacted on EU firms. Control variables are used to account for differences in the sizes of firms, inherent differences between US and EU firms and industry differences. *Tobin's Q* is the dependent variable in the regression analysis.

RESULTS

TABLE 1- REGRESSION ANALYSIS RESULTS

| | Estimate | Standard Error |
|---|----------|----------------|
| (Intercept) | 0.88*** | 0.03 |
| POST | 0.04*** | 0.01 |
| ln(Total Assets) | 0.02*** | 0.01 |
| ROA | -0.001 | 0.0003 |
| Country = EU | -0.14*** | 0.01 |
| Industry = Mining | -0.07** | 0.03 |
| Industry = Construction | 0.001 | 0.03 |
| Industry = Manufacturing | 0.23*** | 0.02 |
| Industry = Transportation and Communication | 0.09*** | 0.02 |
| Industry = Wholesale Trade | 0.11*** | 0.03 |
| Industry = Retail Trade | 0.20 | 0.03 |
| Industry = Finance and Insurance | -0.10*** | 0.02 |
| Industry = Lodging Services | -0.07* | 0.03 |
| Industry = Advertising Services | 0.24*** | 0.03 |
| Industry = Technology Services | 0.35*** | 0.02 |
| Industry = Health Services | 0.21*** | 0.03 |
| Industry = Research and Consulting Services | 0.35*** | 0.03 |



Flag of the European Union

In the regression model, 14% of the variation in Industry, natural log of Total Assets, ROA, Country, and *POST* describe the variation in *Tobin's Q*. The results of the model are statistically significant. *POST* is statistically significant and positive, indicating that after controlling for the size of the firm, the inherent initial differences between US and EU firms, and Industry, that the GDPR positively impacts and increases firm value for the EU firms.

The announcement and enforcement of the GDPR improves firm value.

MARKET REACTION (CARs)

METHODOLOGY

To gauge how the market responds to the news of the GDPR, cumulative abnormal returns (CARs) were calculated for every firm in both the EU and US around a 5-day window (-2, 2) for 26 April 2016. The US firms serve as the control group, as the GDPR is currently only enacted in the EU.

TABLE 2- MARKET REACTION: CUMULATIVE ABNORMAL RETURNS

| Grouping | N | Average CAR | SD of CAR |
|----------|------|-------------|-----------|
| EU | 5005 | 0.13% | 0.2349 |
| US | 3446 | -0.50% | 0.0593 |

RESULTS

The EU firms had an average CAR of positive 0.13 percent, which indicates that the stock market returns for the 5-day (-2,2) time period were more positive than expected.

The market responded more positive than expected to the announcement.

CONCLUSION

- The announcement and enforcement of the *GDPR improves firm value*
- The market responded more positive than normal expectations to the announcement of the GDPR
- My observations suggest that the GDPR enacted in the EU to ensure data privacy and protection increased consumer trust in business

For further implications of this study, it would be interesting to evaluate the why behind why the GDPR has increased consumer trust in business: Is the same true for other data policies? Does consumers' trust change after an incident or breach in data policies? Would there be a different observed impact if a similar study was performed several years later?

REFERENCES

- Bahr, A., & Schlünder, I. (2015). Code of practice on secondary use of medical data in European scientific research projects. *International Data Privacy Law*, 5(4), 279-291. doi: 10.1093/idpl/tpv018.
- Blume, P. (2014). The myths pertaining to the proposed General Data Protection Regulation. *International Data Privacy Law*, 4(4), 269-273. doi: 10.1093/idpl/tpu017.
- Cool, A., Bauer, S., Hoeyer, K., & Pickering, M. (2019). Impossible, unknowable, accountable: Dramas and dilemmas of data law. *Social Studies of Science* (Sage Publications, Ltd.), 49(4), 503-530. https://doi.org/proxy.lib.utk.edu/10.1177/0306312719846557.
- Doekery, S. (2016, April 25). Uncertainty Abounds in Europe's Data Privacy Overhaul. *Wall Street Journal*. Retrieved from blogs.wsj.com/riskandcompliance/2016/04/25/uncertainty-abounds-in-europes-data-privacy-overhaul/?mod=searchresults&page=1&pos=14.
- Fauver, L., Hung, M., Li, X., & Tabouda, A. G. (2014). Board Reforms and Firm Value: Worldwide Evidence. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2607785.
- Franklin, J. (2019). GDPR has kept AI ethical despite concerns. *International Financial Law Review*, N.PAG. Retrieved from https://search-ehost-com.proxy.lib.utk.edu/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=139213623&scope=site.
- GDPR - Official Legal Text. (n.d.). Retrieved March 5, 2020, from https://gdpr-info.eu/
- Information Commissioner's Office. (n.d.). Retrieved March 5, 2020, from https://ico.org.uk/
- Inverardi, P. (2019). The European perspective on responsible computing. *Communications of the ACM*, 62(4), 64-64. doi: 10.1145/3311783.
- Kalman, L. (2019). New European data privacy and cyber security laws. *Communications of the ACM*, 62(4), 38-38. doi: 10.1145/3310326.
- Karpoff, J. M., Lee, D. S. S., & Martin, G. (2005). The Cost to Firms of Cooking the Books. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.652121.
- Kuner, C., Cate, F. H., Lynskey, O., Millard, C., Loideain, N. N., & Svantesson, D. J. B. (2019). If the legislature had been serious about data privacy ... *International Data Privacy Law*, 9(2), 75-77. doi: 10.1093/idpl/tpz006.
- Marelli, L., & Testa, G. (2018). Scrutinizing the EU General Data Protection Regulation. *Science*, 360(6388), 496-498. doi: 10.1126/science.aar5419.
- Satariano, A. (2019, January 21). Google Is Fined \$57 Million Under Europe's Data Privacy Law. Retrieved from https://www.nytimes.com/2019/01/21/technology/google-europe-gdpr-fine.html.
- The Great Hack. (2019). Retrieved from netflix.com.
- The Office of Clive Humby and Edwina Dunn - the future of customer engagement. (2013). Retrieved March 5, 2020, from http://www.humby-anddunn.com/.
- U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2020, February 26). Retrieved March 5, 2020, from https://www.bls.gov/.
- Verob, A. (2019, November 4). The Cookie Monster Strikes Back - The Latest GDPR-Related Decision Of The EU Court. *Mondaq Business Briefing*. Retrieved from https://global.factiva.com/ga/default.aspx.
- Why Big Tech Should Fear Europe. (2019, March 23). *Economist*. Retrieved from https://www.economist.com/leaders/2019/03/23/why-big-tech-should-fear-europe.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special thanks to the following:

- Dr. Larry Fauver for all of his assistance and guidance on my research
- Smith Global Leadership Scholars Program
- Chancellor's Honors Program
- All of my family and friends for their continuous support throughout my college experience

CONTACT

Kara White, kwhite85@vols.utk.edu