

To the Graduate Council:

I am submitting herewith a thesis written by Robert W. Cayse entitled "Application of the Hilbert Transform to Doppler Radar Signals From a Hypervelocity Gun." I have examined the final copy of this thesis for form and content and recommend that it be accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science, with a major in Engineering Science.

Bruce W. Bomar

Bruce W. Bomar, Major Professor

We have read this thesis
and recommend its acceptance:

R. Ingels
John E. Caruthers

Accepted for the Council:

Lewminkel

Vice Provost
and Dean of The Graduate School

STATEMENT OF PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a Master's degree at The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, I agree that the Library shall make it available to borrowers under rules of the Library. Brief quotations from this thesis are allowable without special permission, provided that accurate acknowledgement of the source is made.

Permission for extensive quotation from or reproduction of this thesis may be granted by my major professor, or in his absence, by the Head of Interlibrary Services when in the opinion of either, the proposed use of the material in this thesis is for scholarly purposes. Any copying or use of the material in this thesis for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission.

Signature

Robert W. Cayce

Date

11/24/87

APPLICATION OF THE HILBERT TRANSFORM TO DOPPLER RADAR
SIGNALS FROM A HYPERVELOCITY GUN

A Thesis

Presented for the

Master of Science

Degree

The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

Robert W. Cayse

December 1987

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to extend special thanks to: Dr. Bruce W. Bomar, for his encouragement, suggestions, and criticism; to Dr. Julius Bendat, for providing the initial encouragement to apply the Hilbert transform to radar signals; to the Engineering Applications Section of OAO, Incorporated, for computer graphics support; and to Mr. Howard G. Harris, for providing countless technical details about the Range G radar system and data.

ABSTRACT

A computer program is described which processes a Doppler radar signal to provide the position, velocity, and acceleration histories of a model in the barrel of a hypervelocity gun. The program uses the Hilbert transform as obtained via the Fourier transform. Algorithms for dealing with poor signal quality are described. Results from actual and simulated signals are presented. It is shown that the unique properties of the Hilbert transform result in a program that is fast, accurate, and easy to use.

PREFACE

The work reported herein was performed by the Arnold Engineering Development Center (AEDC), Air Force Systems Command (AFSC) under Program Element 65807F, Control Number 9R02, at the request of the Directorate of Technology (DOT), AEDC. The DOT project manager was Robert W. Smith. The Calspan project manager was William T. Strike. The results were obtained by Calspan Corporation/AEDC Division, operating contractor of the Aerospace Flight Dynamics testing effort at the AEDC, AFSC, Arnold Air Force Base, Tennessee. The research was performed in the von Karman Gas Dynamics Facility (VKF) during the period of October 1, 1986 through June 26, 1987 under the AEDC Project Number DB95VW (Calspan Number V32C-CG).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	PAGE
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background Information.	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem and Study Objective.	2
1.3 Approach.	3
2.0 TEST FACILITY.	4
2.1 Light Gas Launcher.	4
2.2 Interior Ballistic Radar System	5
3.0 THEORETICAL DEVELOPMENT.	6
3.1 Doppler Radar	6
3.2 Previous Data Reduction Methods	6
3.3 The Hilbert Transform	8
3.4 Comparison of Two Methods	11
3.5 Smoothing and Differentiation	11
4.0 PROGRAM DESCRIPTION.	14
4.1 Program Summary	14
4.2 Calculating the Hilbert Transform	15
4.3 Signal Filtering.	16
4.4 Unwrapping the Phase Angle.	17
4.5 Hanning Smoothing	18
4.6 Velocity.	19
4.7 Position and Acceleration	20
4.8 Query Program	21

5.0 RESULTS.	22
5.1 Simulated Signals	22
5.2 Range G Radar Signals	23
5.3 Performance	25
5.4 Future Work	26
6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.	28
BIBLIOGRAPHY.	29
APPENDIXES.	32
A. FIGURES.	33
B. QUERY PROGRAM PARAMETERS AND OPERATION	59
VITA.	63

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	PAGE
1. Hypervelocity Range/Track G.	34
2. G Range Launcher	35
3. Doppler Radar in the Gun Barrel.	36
4. Analytic Signal.	37
5. Analytic Signal and Peak Picking Methods	38
6. Low-Pass Nature of Hanning Window.	39
7. Box Car Filter	40
8. Phase Angle Unwrapping	41
9. Typical End Effect of Smoothing Routine.	42
10. Effect of Hanning Smoothing on Square Pulse.	43
11. Signal Amplitude Drop.	44
12. Velocity Correction Algorithm.	45
13. Effectiveness of Velocity Correction Algorithm	46
14. Theoretical Trajectory for Simulated Signals	47
15. TXHARS Results With Perfect 3.6 GHz Signal	48
16. TXHARS Results With Perfect 24 GHz Signal.	49
17. Effect of Noise on Simulated 3.6 GHz Results	50
18. Effect of Noise on Simulated 24 GHz Results.	52
19. Typical 3.6 GHz Results.	55
20. Typical 24 GHz Results	56
21. Adverse Helix Offset Caused By Amplitude Drop.	57
22. Effect of Signal Length on Processing Time	58

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Information

A vehicle encounters tremendous heating loads during atmospheric reentry. In order to survive, it must have an effective heat shield. Because flight testing is very expensive, candidate heat shield materials are often screened through ground testing.

One such ground testing technique used at the Arnold Engineering Development Center (AEDC) is to attach a small specimen of candidate heat shield material onto a support body and launch the model into a controlled atmosphere at hypervelocity. This procedure is often used in the von Karman Gas Dynamics Facility (VKF) Hypervelocity Range/Track G [1]¹.

A model is accelerated to hypervelocity in a short distance and thus encounters high axial accelerations, often in excess of 100,000 g. A one-dimensional gas dynamics code predicts that the problem of high g-loading is further compounded when shock waves in the launching gas reflect from the aft end of the model and cause acceleration peaks. It has been hypothesized that these peak accelerations have caused material sample failures during some launches. (More recently, the high accelerations of launch have been exploited for survivability testing of small electronic packages.)

For test planning purposes, the one-dimensional gas dynamics model is used to predict the velocity of models launched in the G Range. In

¹Numbers in brackets refer to similarly numbered references in the Bibliography.

order to validate the accuracy of the prediction program it is desirable to know the actual velocity and acceleration histories of models while they are still in the gun barrel. Thus, a Doppler radar system has been installed in the G Range in order to aid validation of the launcher performance prediction program, to assist investigations of material sample failures, and to measure the accelerations imparted to electronics packages.

The Doppler radar system produces a signal with a frequency proportional to the model velocity. Previous attempts to calculate acceleration from recorded radar signals have included manually measuring the time intervals between signal peaks and zero-crossings. Because the peak interval measurements are labor intensive, and because the calculated results are adversely affected by even small errors in the interval measurements, these methods have achieved only limited success. Thus, an alternate method of obtaining the model acceleration from the radar signal was sought.

1.2 Statement of the Problem and Study Objective

The acceleration histories of models launched in the G Range must be measured to validate launcher performance prediction methods and to aid investigations of material specimen failures. The objective of this study was to develop and verify a computer program for accurately and efficiently calculating model accelerations from Doppler radar data.

1.3 Approach

A literature search was conducted in order to find a better method of calculating the instantaneous model accelerations. The search revealed that the Hilbert transform was well suited for the job because it can be used to obtain the instantaneous frequency of a signal and because it can be easily calculated using the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT). For the case of the G Range Doppler radar signal, the instantaneous frequency is directly proportional to the model velocity. It was then determined that a computer program could be developed which would obtain the model velocity from the Doppler radar signal via the Hilbert transform and then numerically differentiate the velocity to obtain the model acceleration history.

The Doppler signal from a typical model launch contains tens of thousands of data points. It was found that the AEDC Cray XMP/12 super computer had available an FFT subroutine suitable for processing such large arrays of data. The approach of the study was then to implement the Hilbert transform on the Cray. A numerical differentiation algorithm was then added to form the basis of the radar data reduction program.

The program was applied to simulated radar signals. The results were compared to the known theoretical acceleration histories. Random noise was added to the simulated signals to determine the sensitivity of the program to imperfect signals. The program was then applied to data from several model launches, and the results from two radar systems were compared.

2.0 TEST FACILITY

The Hypervelocity Range/Track G shown in Figure 1² is a test unit which is used for the measurement of the aerodynamic coefficients of models in hypervelocity free-flight and for captive testing of material sample models along a track. The facility can be easily converted from the free-flight (range) configuration to the track configuration. The track configuration allows the recovery of models for post-test inspection. The facility consists of a launcher, a blast chamber, and the range/track and is described in detail in Reference 1.

2.1 Light Gas Launcher

The Range G launcher (Figure 2) is equipped with a 2.5-in. caliber, two-stage powder-hydrogen gun approximately 170-ft-long. The launcher is a fourth-generation two-stage gun which has been in use since 1969 and has launched approximately 3000 models at speeds up to 24,000 ft/sec.

The launch cycle can be summarized as follows. Gun powder is ignited which propels a piston along a pump tube. The piston compresses hydrogen gas in the pump tube. When the hydrogen pressure becomes sufficiently high, a rupture disk breaks which then allows the hydrogen to escape into the gun barrel. The high pressure hydrogen then propels the model out the barrel. Hydrogen is used because of its high speed of sound. The performance of the launch cycle is controlled

²Figures are in Appendix A.

by varying the amount of gun powder, the mass of the piston, the initial pressure of the hydrogen, the diaphragm rupture pressure, and the mass of the model.

2.2 Interior Ballistic Doppler Radar System

The idea of using radar to measure the interior ballistics of guns is not new. Doppler radar, or microwave interferometry, was first used to measure projectile motions in guns as early as the 1950's [2 and 3]. At AEDC, a microwave interferometer was used in the G Range in 1961 [4 and 5].

The operation of the Range G radar system can be summarized as follows [6]. A reflex klystron transmits microwave energy through an antenna which performs both the transmitting and receiving functions. The microwaves travel from the barrel exit toward the model. The microwave energy reflects from the front of the model and then returns to the antenna. The reflected microwaves are mixed with the transmitted microwaves resulting in an oscillating signal which is recorded on analog magnetic tape. Equipment for operation at either 3.6 GHz or 24 GHz is available.

3.0 THEORETICAL DEVELOPMENT

3.1 Doppler Radar

When electro-magnetic waves are reflected from a moving object, the reflected waves undergo a Doppler shift [7]. When sensed by an antenna that is designed for sensing the constructive-destructive interference of the transmitted and reflected waves, the antenna produces a signal which undergoes cyclic changes as the object moves. For the case of a model in the G Range launcher, the signal will go through one complete cycle for every half-wavelength travelled by the model (Figure 3). The Doppler frequency, $f(t)$, is described by Equation 1,

$$f(t) = \frac{2\dot{\lambda}(t)}{\lambda} \quad (1)$$

where $\dot{\lambda}(t)$ is model velocity, λ is the radar wavelength, and t is time.

It should be pointed out that the wavelength, λ , is the wave guide wavelength, not the free-space wavelength. Two radar systems are considered in this report. For the 3.6 GHz system, λ is 0.422 ft, and for the 24 GHz system, λ is 0.0424 ft.

3.2 Previous Data Reduction Methods

Doppler radar has been in use for measuring the velocity of moving objects since the early 1940's when it was used to measure aircraft

velocity [8]. For most applications, velocity is quasi-steady (i.e. low accelerations) and typically simple tracking filters are used. However, in hypervelocity guns such as the Range G launcher, the velocity and Doppler frequency change drastically in just a few milliseconds. In order to get a valid acceleration history, the Doppler signal must be analyzed in detail for an extremely large range of frequencies. Thus, past methods of analyzing Doppler radar signals from gun barrels have focussed on measuring the duration of each cycle of the signal.

The current method used to reduce the Doppler radar data from the Range G launcher is based on Equation 1 which can be rearranged as

$$\dot{x}(t) = \frac{\lambda f(t)}{2} \quad (2)$$

If one defines the duration of the i^{th} half-cycle of the signal as ΔT_i , then Equation 2 is approximated by

$$\dot{x}_i = \frac{\lambda}{4\Delta T_i} \quad (3)$$

A computer graphics terminal is used so that an operator can position a cursor over each signal peak in order to measure the values of ΔT_i for all the half-cycles of a model launch. Hence, this technique is dubbed the "peak-picking" method. The velocity history of Equation 3 is then differentiated to obtain the model acceleration.

3.3 The Hilbert Transform

The Hilbert transform was developed by the German mathematician David Hilbert (1862-1942). Since its development the transform has seen only limited application, and in light of the popularity of the Fourier transform, the Hilbert transform can be considered obscure. It does possess some rather unique properties [9 and 10] which have led to its use in some relatively diverse fields. Some recent examples include image enhancement [11], structural dynamic damping measurements [12], characterization of non-linearities in structures [13], and electronic communication [14 and 15].

The Hilbert transform of an oscillating timewise function, $y(t)$, is a second function of time, $\tilde{y}(t)$, where

$$\tilde{y}(t) = \mathcal{H}[y(t)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{y(u)}{\pi(t-u)} du \quad (4)$$

If we create a complex analytic signal [9 and 10],

$$z(t) = y(t) + j\tilde{y}(t) , \quad j = \sqrt{-1} \quad (5)$$

we can obtain some very useful properties as shown below.

Any complex variable can be written in exponential form, so $z(t)$ can be written as

$$z(t) = A(t)\exp(j\phi(t)) \quad (6)$$

where

$$A(t) = (y^2(t) + \tilde{y}^2(t))^{1/2} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\phi(t) = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\tilde{y}(t)}{y(t)} \right) \quad (8)$$

Thus $z(t)$ is a helix in complex space with radius $A(t)$ and phase angle $\phi(t)$ as shown in Figure 4. $A(t)$ is also the instantaneous amplitude of $y(t)$ and of $\tilde{y}(t)$. The instantaneous frequency is given by

$$f(t) = \frac{\dot{\phi}(t)}{2\pi} \quad (9)$$

from which we can calculate velocity using Equation 2. Thus, if the Hilbert transform of the G Range Doppler radar signal can be obtained, the model position, velocity, and acceleration histories can be easily calculated.

A method for obtaining the Hilbert transform of a signal via the Fourier transform and the analytic signal is presented in Reference 10 and is shown here.

The Fourier transform is defined as

$$Y(f) = F[y(t)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y(t) \exp(-j2\pi ft) dt \quad (10)$$

The convolution property of the Fourier transform gives

$$\begin{aligned} F[y_1(t) * y_2(t)] &= F[y_1(t)]F[y_2(t)] \\ &= Y_1(f)Y_2(f) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where "*" denotes convolution which is defined as

$$y_1(t) * y_2(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y_1(u)y_2(t-u) du \quad (12)$$

Since the definition of the Hilbert transform (Equation 4) is equivalent to the convolution of $y(t)$ and $1/\pi t$, i.e.

$$\tilde{y}(t) = y(t) * \frac{1}{\pi t} \quad (13)$$

we can use Equation 11 to write

$$\begin{aligned} F[\tilde{y}(t)] &= F[y(t) * \frac{1}{\pi t}] = F[y(t)]F[\frac{1}{\pi t}] \\ &= Y(f)(-j \cdot \text{sgn}(f)) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where

$$\text{sgn}(f) = \begin{cases} -1, & f < 0 \\ 1, & f \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

The Fourier transform of the analytic signal (Equation 5) is

$$Z(f) = F[z(t)] = F[y(t)] + jF[\tilde{y}(t)] \quad (16)$$

Upon substituting Equation 14 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z(f) &= Y(f) + jY(f)(-j \cdot \text{sgn}(f)) \\ &= Y(f)(1 + \text{sgn}(f)) = B(f)Y(f) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where

$$B(f) = \begin{cases} 0, & f < 0 \\ 2, & f \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

From inspection of Equations 17 and 18 we can see that, given the Fourier transform of $y(t)$, we quite easily obtain the Fourier transform of $z(t)$ by setting $Y(f)$ to zero for negative frequencies and by multiplying $Y(f)$ by 2 for positive frequencies. The analytic signal can then be obtained via the inverse Fourier transform.

3.4 Comparison of Two Methods

The primary advantage of the analytic signal method of calculating velocity over the peak-picking method is illustrated in Figure 5. The analytic signal method provides a continuous estimate of the signal phase angle, while the peak-picking method provides a stair-step phase angle history. Thus, the differentiation needed to obtain the model velocity can be more readily performed using the continuous curve of the analytic signal method rather than the disjointed curve of the peak-picking method.

3.5 Smoothing and Differentiation

Numerical differentiation of a noisy function typically magnifies the effect of the noise. If one can tolerate a loss of time resolution, numerical smoothing can be applied either before or after

the differentiation to reduce the effect of the magnified noise. The Hanning filter is a well-known smoothing technique and, as shown below, can be combined with the numerical differentiation into a single operation.

The normalized Hanning window, $h(t)$, is defined as

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t < -\frac{T}{2} \\ \frac{1}{T} [1 - \cos \frac{2\pi t}{T}], & -\frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq \frac{T}{2} \\ 0, & t > \frac{T}{2} \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

where T is the time span of the window. The low-pass nature of Hanning smoothing is illustrated in Figure 7.

If $x(t)$ is a function to be differentiated, and $\dot{x}(t)$ is the result of the differentiation, $\dot{x}(t)$ can be smoothed by convolution with the Hanning window yielding

$$\hat{x}(t) = h(t) * \dot{x}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(u) \dot{x}(t - u) du \quad (20)$$

Integration by parts gives

$$\hat{x}(t) = -h(u) \dot{x}(t - u) \Big|_{u=-\infty}^{u=\infty} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{h}(u) x(t - u) du \quad (21)$$

Substitution of Equation 19 gives

$$\hat{x}(t) = 0 + \int_{u=-\frac{T}{2}}^{u=\frac{T}{2}} \frac{2\pi}{T^2} \sin \frac{2\pi u}{T} x(t - u) du \quad (22)$$

This is equivalent to convolution with a differentiated Hanning window,

i.e.

$$\dot{x}(t) = h(t) * \dot{x}(t) = \dot{h}(t) * x(t) \quad (23)$$

Thus, we can obtain a smoothed, differentiated version of $x(t)$ by a single convolution with $\dot{h}(t)$, where

$$\dot{h}(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t < -\frac{T}{2} \\ \frac{2\pi}{T^2} \sin \frac{2\pi t}{T}, & -\frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq \frac{T}{2} \\ 0, & t > \frac{T}{2} \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

This technique is simple to implement numerically and is used to calculate $\dot{\phi}(t)$ and acceleration as discussed below in Section 4.5.

4.0 PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

A Fortran program called TXHARS (Trajectory Extraction via Hilbert Analysis of a Radar Signal) has been written using the techniques described in Section 3. An interactive program which runs on the AEDC Andahl computer queries the user for the necessary parameters. The query program then automatically submits the TXHARS program to the Cray for execution. After TXHARS executes, the results are sent back to the Andahl where the user can view the results using TEKPLOT, an interactive graphics program available at AEDC.

4.1 Program Summary

Detailed descriptions of the various algorithms used in the TXHARS program are presented in Sections 4.2 through 4.7. However, the basic operation of the program can be summarized as follows:

1. A helix in complex space is created via the Hilbert transform;
2. Band-pass filtering is performed in order to remove noise;
3. The phase angle, $\phi(t)$, of the helix is simultaneously smoothed and differentiated to obtain the model velocity, $\dot{x}(t)$;
4. A correction is applied to the computed velocity during periods of insufficient signal amplitude;
5. The corrected velocity is integrated to obtain the model position history, $x(t)$;

6. The corrected velocity is simultaneously smoothed and differentiated to obtain the model acceleration, $\ddot{x}(t)$.

4.2 Calculating the Hilbert Transform

For the real-world case of finite signal lengths and discrete data points, the procedure presented in Section 3.3 for obtaining the Hilbert transform can be approximated using the Discrete Fourier Transform as calculated by the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) algorithm. The negative frequencies of Equations 15 and 18 are manifested as frequencies between the half-sample frequency and the sample frequency. The resulting procedure for obtaining the analytic signal is as follows:

1. Compute the FFT of $y(t)$ to obtain $Y(f)$;
2. Multiply $Y(f)$ by 2 for frequencies between zero and the half-sample frequency;
3. Set $Y(f)$ to zero for frequencies between the half-sample frequency and the sample frequency. (Steps 2 and 3 yield $Z(f)$.);
4. Compute the inverse FFT of $Z(f)$ to obtain $z(t)$.

The TXHARS program uses the the IMSL subroutine FFTCC [16] to compute the FFT of the radar signal and the inverse FFT of the analytic signal to obtain the Hilbert transform of the radar signal. Since the subroutine does not have a constraint on the number of data points, the very large array defining the radar signal can be processed with only one call for the FFT and a second call for the inverse FFT. This is

simpler and more efficient than segmenting the signal into several short pieces and making several calls to the FFT subroutine. To date, radar signals containing up to 40,000 data points have been processed.

4.3 Signal Filtering

Since the signals from the radar system are typically noisy, provisions for filtering the radar signal are included in TXHARS. Since signal frequency is proportional to model velocity, any signal content at frequencies higher than that of the maximum velocity achieved by the model can be assumed to be noise. Also, very-low frequency data (such as signal drift) can also be ignored since the model achieves a finite velocity in a very short time when hit by the first hydrogen pulse. Thus, the program user is allowed to select a velocity range of interest which is defined by VMIN and VMAX. Signal frequencies corresponding to velocities outside the range of interest are eliminated by band-pass filtering.

The band-pass filtering is performed in the frequency-domain by zeroing out $Z(f)$ at frequencies outside the band-pass limits f_1 and f_2 . This results in a simple "box car" filter as illustrated in Figure 7. The band-pass limits are calculated by

$$f_1 = 0.9 \left[\frac{2 \cdot VMIN}{\lambda} \right], \quad f_2 = 1.1 \left[\frac{2 \cdot VMAX}{\lambda} \right] \quad (25)$$

The factors outside of the brackets serve to slightly broaden the pass-band.

One shortcoming of the box car filter is the phenomenon known as "ripple" [17] which is illustrated as the scalloped curve in Figure 7. Ripple is the result of approximating a continuous spectrum with discrete points. This causes non-zero filter components to exist within the stop-bands and non-unity components to exist in the pass-band. A more advanced filter that has less ripple than the box car could have been used, but the box car is very easy to implement and has provided acceptable results to date.

After the box car filter is applied to $Z(f)$, we are left with $Z'(f)$. The inverse FFT of $Z'(f)$ then gives

$$z'(t) = y'(t) + j \tilde{y}'(t) \quad (26)$$

where $y'(t)$ is the filtered radar signal and $\tilde{y}'(t)$ is the Hilbert transform of $y'(t)$.

4.4 Unwrapping the Phase Angle

The amplitude, $A(t)$, and phase angle, $\phi(t)$, of the filtered signal are calculated using Equations 7 and 8. However, since the inverse tangent function available in Fortran only provides results ranging from $-\pi$ to $+\pi$, the $\phi(t)$ values must be "unwrapped" as illustrated in Figure 8. The program has an unwrapping algorithm which appropriately adds or subtracts 2π from the the phase angle whenever two consecutive points are in the second and third quadrants.

4.5 Hanning Smoothing

TXHARS uses the Hanning window methods presented in Section 3.5 to smooth the velocity, acceleration, and signal amplitude histories. A window that covers a large time span is effective at removing unwanted noise from the results, but it also removes desired detail. Conversely, a window that covers a small time span provides better detail, but can also pass too much noise. Thus, the program was designed so that the user can adjust the time span of the windows in order to reach an acceptable compromise between noise and detail.

Since each of the data arrays to be smoothed is of finite length, the Hanning window cannot function properly at the array ends. This is because there are no data points available for convolution with the Hanning window outside of an array. Therefore, for these periods the program uses the convolution value obtained at the most extreme point for which full over-lap occurs. This results in a small flat at each end of a smoothed array. This effect is illustrated in Figure 9.

Figure 10 shows the effect of Hanning windows of various time spans on a rectangular pulse. The figure is presented in dimensionless form. The pulse begins at time zero, immediately obtains a value of one, and then drops back to zero at time one. The $R=0.5$ curve shows that a very good approximation of a pulse is obtained when a rectangular pulse is smoothed by a Hanning window with a time width one-half the pulse width. A very poor approximation is obtained when the Hanning width is 10 times the pulse width as shown by the $R=10$ curve.

As an example, suppose one wanted to know the peak g-loading

encountered during acceleration pulses lasting more than 0.1 milliseconds. The R=1 curve of Figure 10 shows these peak values could be obtained with a Hanning window as large as 0.1 milliseconds. Note that the detailed shape of the acceleration curve would be incorrect, but the parameter of interest, the peak acceleration, would be correct.

It should also be pointed out that the response curves of Figure 10 apply to the difference between the pulse height and the nominal value, not the absolute height. As another example, suppose the nominal acceleration of the model was 100,000 g, then suddenly jumped to 150,000 g for 0.1 milliseconds. The R=2 curve shows that a Hanning window of 0.2 milliseconds span would obtain the 100,000 g nominal acceleration plus about 84 percent of the 50,000 g difference. Thus the TXHARS output for the peak acceleration would be 142,000 g. This would be considered a reasonable engineering estimate of peak acceleration since it represents an error of only 5 percent.

Hanning windows with time widths greater than the pulse width of interest will always underestimate the peak acceleration value, while signal noise typically causes the program to overestimate the peak values. Knowledge of the Hanning window widths and the signal quality are therefore useful when interpreting the program output.

4.6 Velocity

An initial estimate of the model velocity, $\dot{x}(t)$, is found by first applying Equation 24 to simultaneously smooth and differentiate $\phi(t)$ to get $\dot{\phi}(t)$. Then Equations 9 and 2 are used to get $\dot{x}(t)$.

The amplitude of G Range radar signals often drops to zero. Typical drops in amplitude are shown in Figure 11 and are believed to be caused by several phenomena which include antenna vibration, and extraneous radar reflections [6]. Since these amplitude drops can significantly degrade the program results, provisions are included in TXHARS to interpolate the velocity across these time periods. This effectively "skips" the "bad" portions of the signal. The skipping algorithm uses a floating criterion for identifying bad periods.

The floating criterion used is the ratio of a lightly-smoothed trace of the signal amplitude, $A(t)$, with a heavily-smoothed trace. Equation 19 is convolved with the data to perform the smoothing. When the lightly-smoothed curve is less than a user-specified fraction of the heavily-smoothed curve, velocity interpolation is performed. After interpolation is performed, Equation 19 is convolved with $\dot{x}(t)$ in order to eliminate kinks in the curve. The algorithm is illustrated in Figure 12.

The effect of turning off the skipping algorithm is shown in Figure 13. Note the large spikes on the "Without Velocity Correction" velocity curve. These spikes correspond to signal amplitude drop-outs.

4.7 Position and Acceleration

After the skipping algorithm processes the velocity history, $\dot{x}(t)$, the position, $x(t)$, is calculated by numerically integrating velocity. A simple rectangular integration method is used. Acceleration, $\ddot{x}(t)$, is calculated by convolving the differentiated Hanning window of Equation 24 with $\dot{x}(t)$.

4.8 Query Program

Because several parameters can be adjusted by the program user, an interactive query program has been added to TXHARS by the Engineering Applications Section of OAO, Incorporated, the computer services subcontractor at AEDC. A typical session is presented in Appendix B along with definitions for the input variables. The query program was designed so that the user can easily track the variables used to produce the results of numerous launches and analyses. The query program automatically produces a hardcopy of the terminal screen at the end of each session. The session date and time are included on the hardcopy and stored for subsequent labeling of the plotted results.

5.0 RESULTS

5.1 Simulated Signals

Several simulated signals have been generated in order to validate the TXHARS computer program. The acceleration histories produced by TXHARS were compared to the theoretical accelerations corresponding to each of the simulated signals. Figure 14 shows the theoretical model trajectory for one such simulation. Figure 15 shows the velocity and acceleration histories calculated by TXHARS for a simulated signal with a Doppler wavelength of the 3.6 GHz radar system, and Figure 16 shows the trajectory calculated for a simulated 24 GHz signal. The close agreement of the calculated trajectories in Figures 15 and 16 with the theoretical trajectory of Figure 14 validates the basic operation of the program.

It can be seen that the acceleration curves of the simulated signals are oscillatory in nature. The period of the oscillation was selected to correspond to a duration of acceleration peak of 0.08 milliseconds. This duration is typical of the acceleration pulses predicted by the launcher performance program [18].

It can be seen from Figures 14 and 15 that both the 3.6 GHz and 24 GHz signals could be used to resolve these peaks reasonably well. Acceleration peaks of shorter duration (i.e. higher frequency oscillations of the theoretical acceleration) could not be resolved quite as well, with the results tending to be worse at the lower theoretical model velocities, especially for the 3.6 GHz runs. Thus 0.08 milliseconds can be used as a rule-of-thumb as the smallest accel-

eration peak resolvable by TXHARS with perfect signal quality.

In order to investigate the effect of signal noise on the program performance, various amounts of band-limited white noise were added to a simulated signal. The random noise was generated using the IMSL subroutine GGNML [16]. The theoretical trajectory chosen for the noise study was similar to the one in Figure 14, except the acceleration oscillation period was increased to represent an acceleration peak duration of 0.1 milliseconds. Figure 17 shows the TXHARS results for a 3.6 GHz signal with increasing noise content, and Figure 18 shows the 24 GHz results.

Note that the velocity histories are almost unaffected by noise while the acceleration histories deviate significantly with increased noise. The results can be summarized by stating that an acceleration peak of duration 0.1 milliseconds can be reliably resolved with a signal-to-noise ratio as low as 30 dB for the 3.6 GHz signals and 20 dB for 24 GHz signals. Acceleration peaks of longer duration can be resolved with even lower signal-to-noise ratios. These results re-emphasize that one needs to consider signal quality when evaluating the program results for a specific launch.

5.2 Range G Radar Signals

The Doppler radar signals from several model launches have been analyzed with the TXHARS program, and typical results are presented in Figures 19 and 20. These analyses were made using the following parameter values which are defined in Appendix B:

CUT= 0.7

TFILT1= 0.20 milliseconds

TFILT2= 0.05 milliseconds

TFILT3= 0.30 milliseconds

TFILT4= 0.40 milliseconds

Since the true model trajectories are not known, absolute statements about the program accuracy cannot be made based on these results. However, some interesting trends can be cited as noted below.

As mentioned in Section 4.6, the amplitude of the radar signal occasionally drops to near zero. Theoretically, this should not pose a problem since the phase angle of the analytic signal should continue to increase during these periods. However, Figure 21 shows that for actual data the helix of the analytic signal typically is not centered around the time axis during the signal amplitude drop. This offset can be attributed to low-frequency noise in the signal. The offset causes the phase angle to oscillate, instead of increase, with time. The velocity correction algorithm described in Section 4.6 has proven to be successful in eliminating the adverse effects of the amplitude drop, but an improved filtering method might provide better results. Such a method would have to eliminate the helix offset of the amplitude drops without filtering out the low-frequency Doppler signal that occurs during the early period of a model launch.

It is believed that one measure of signal quality is the size of the Hanning windows used for smoothing the data. Typically, when a launch signal is analyzed for the first time, very small Hanning

windows are selected and usually very noisy and unrealistic results are produced. The program is then re-executed with increasing window widths until the program results are judged to be reasonable. (Usually no more than three or four runs are needed to get acceptable results.)

Signals from the 3.6 GHz system often require smaller windows and thus are felt to produce better results than the 24 GHz system. This would seem to be contrary to the results of the simulated signal study of Section 5.1 which indicate that the higher 24 GHz system should provide better results. However, experience has shown that the 24 GHz system produces much noisier signals than the 3.6 GHz system and that the amplitude of the signal from the 3.6 GHz system is less prone to drop to zero [6]. In practice, therefore, the inferior signal quality of the 24 GHz system usually negates any inherent advantage it has over the 3.6 GHz system.

5.3 Performance

Signals of various length have been analyzed with TXHARS, the largest containing 40,000 data points. Figure 22 shows the adverse effect of signal length on run time. However, the maximum central-processor time of 20 seconds is considered to be a small job for the AEDC Cray. The elapsed time from job submittal to plotted results was typically 15 minutes and was largely unaffected by signal length.

Since the computation time of the FFT is proportional to $N \cdot \log(N)$ [16], where N is the signal length, we can conclude from the linearity of Figure 22 that the run time of TXHARS is not significantly

influenced by the FFT calculation. This suggests that data input and output are the major contributors to run time. Improved file handling methods might serve to make the program even more efficient.

5.4 Future Work

An effort is currently underway at AEDC to acquire data simultaneously with both the 3.6 and 24 GHz systems. Also, these data will be analyzed using both the TXHARS program and the peak-picking method. The results of this study should help reveal the strengths and weaknesses of the different systems and methods. New methods will also be introduced in an attempt to increase radar signal quality [6].

A new data acquisition system is currently being implemented in the Range G facility. This data system will have the capability to directly digitize the signal from the Interior Ballistics Radar System as a model is launched. Thus, the need for magnetic tape will be eliminated. Since the internal memory capacity of the data acquisition system computer is several times larger than needed to hold the radar signal history from a typical launch, it may be feasible to run the TXHARS program on the new computer. This would be desirable since it would eliminate the data file transfers presently required to move G Range radar signals to the Cray. TXHARS could then provide results more promptly if it operated on the new data acquisition system computer.

In order to implement TXHARS on the new data acquisition computer, two obstacles must be overcome. First, an alternate method of

calculating the Hilbert transform would have to be used since a suitable FFT routine is not available on this computer. (One alternative is a finite impulse response digital filter [17].) Secondly, TXHARS would have to be modified to operate with the plotting software available on the new computer, and it is not certain if the plotting software is suitable. Thus, further investigation is needed to determine if it is warranted to convert TXHARS for use on the new data acquisition system computer.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The objective of this study was met by developing a data reduction program for the Range G Interior Ballistics Radar System. The Hilbert transform has been shown to be well suited for extracting model ballistic information from Doppler radar signals. The program has been shown to be accurate and efficient. Signals from the 3.6 GHz system have proved to provide better results than those from the 24 GHz system.

It is recommended that the use of more advanced filtering methods be pursued in order to improve the ability of the program to deal with noisy signals. Data acquired simultaneously with both the 3.6 and 24 GHz systems should be evaluated, efforts to improve the quality of the radar signals from future tests in Range G should be continued, and the feasibility of implementing TXHARS on the new data acquisition system computer should be studied further.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Norfleet, G. D., Hendrix, R. E., and Jackson, D., "Development of a Hypervelocity Track Facility at AEDC," American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 77-151, 1977.
2. Yagi, F. Jansen, B., Kennedy, L., and Taylor, W. C., "Analysis of Interferometer Records of Projectile Motion in the Bore of Small Arms," Ballistic Research Lab., BRLR 939, May 1955.
3. Pennelegion, L., "Instrumentation of the University of Southampton Hypersonic Gun Tunnel," Doctorate Dissertation, University of Southampton, England.
4. Hendrix, R. E., "Microwave Measurement of Projectile Kinematics within Launcher Barrels," AEDC-TDR-62-213, November 1962.
5. Hendrix, R. E., "Microwave Measurement of Projectile Kinematics within Launcher Barrels," M. S. Thesis, University of Tennessee, August 1963.
6. Harris, H. G., Unpublished Notes, May 1987.
7. Cook, C. E., and Bernfeld, M., Radar Signals: an Introduction to Theory and Application, Academic Press, 1967.
8. Ridenour, L. N., ed., Radar System Engineering, McGraw-Hill, 1947.
9. Bracewell, R. N., The Fourier Transform and Its Applications, McGraw-Hill, 1978.
10. Bendat, J. S., and Piersol, A. G., Random Data Analysis and Measurement Procedures, Second Edition, John Wiley and Sons, 1986.
11. Belvaux, Y., and Vareille, J. C., "Visualization of Phase Objects by Hilbert transform," NASA-TT-F-14046, 1971.
12. Coppolino, R. N., "Integrated Dynamic Test/Analysis Processor Overview," MacNeal-Schwendler Corporation.
13. Coppolino, R. N., Bendat, J. S., and Stroud, R. C., "New Processor Integrates Dynamic Testing and Analysis," Sound and Vibration, August 1986.
14. Udalov, S., "Independent Sideband Modulation/Demodulation Using Digitally Implemented Hilbert Transforms," National Telesystems Conference, Galveston, Texas, Conference Record (184-15623 04-32), November 1982.
15. Henderson, R. G., and Lafrance, P., "Application of Digital Signal Processing to a Low Data Rate Communications Receiver," American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 84-2652, 1984.

16. IMSL LIBRARY Reference Manual, vol. 1, 9th ed., IMSL, Inc., 1982.
17. Rabiner, L. R., and Gold, B., Theory and Application of Digital Signal Processing, Prentice-Hall, 1975.
18. Dewitt, J. R., Unpublished Notes, May 1987.

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A

FIGURES




Figure 1. Hypervelocity Range/Track G




Figure 2. G Range Launcher




Figure 3. Doppler Radar in the Gun Barrel




Figure 4. Analytic Signal





Figure 5. Analytic Signal and Peak Picking Methods




Figure 6. Low-Pass Nature of Hanning Window




Figure 7. Box Car Filter





Figure 8. Phase Angle Unwrapping




Figure 9. Typical End Effect of Smoothing Routine




Figure 10. Effect of Hanning Smoothing on Square Pulse




Figure 11. Signal Amplitude Drop




Figure 12. Velocity Correction Algorithm





Figure 13. Effectiveness of Velocity Correction Algorithm





Figure 14. Theoretical Trajectory for Simulated Signals





Figure 15. TXHARS Results With Perfect 3.6 GHz Signal









Figure 16. TXHARS Results With Perfect 24 GHz Signal





a.) 40 dB Signal-to-Noise Ratio

Figure 17. Effect of Noise on Simulated 3.6 GHz Results





b.) 30 dB Signal-to-Noise Ratio

Figure 17. Concluded





a.) 30 dB Signal-to-Noise Ratio

Figure 18. Effect of Noise on Simulated 24 GHz Results



b.) 20 dB Signal-to-Noise Ratio

Figure 18. Continued



c.) 15 dB Signal-to-Noise Ratio

Figure 18. Concluded





Figure 19. Typical 3.6 GHz Results





Figure 20. Typical 24 GHz Results




Figure 21. Adverse Helix Offset Caused By Amplitude Drop




Figure 22. Effect of Signal Length on Processing Time

APPENDIX B

QUERY PROGRAM PARAMETERS AND OPERATION

The program user will be prompted for the following variables:

- N The number of data points to be included in the analysis. Typically, numerous data points before and after the actual launch are recorded and stored on the computer. The user should select only those points which include the model launch.
- LAMBDA The effective radar wavelength (in feet) in the barrel.
- CUT The ratio of the lightly-smoothed to heavily-smoothed amplitude histories used by the skipping algorithm for establishing a bad time period. Velocity interpolation across bad periods is performed. A value of zero for CUT turns off the skipping algorithm.
- TFILT1 The time span (in milliseconds) of the differentiated Hanning window used for computing the uncorrected velocity history.
- TFILT2 The time span (in milliseconds) of the Hanning window used for the lightly-smoothed amplitude trace. The skipping algorithm multiplies this number by 7 to obtain the size of the Hanning window for computing the heavily-smoothed amplitude trace.
- TFILT3 The time span (in milliseconds) of the Hanning window used for smoothing the "corrected" velocity history produced by the skipping algorithm.
- TFILT4 The time span (in milliseconds) of the differentiated Hanning window used for computing the acceleration history.
- VMIN The minimum velocity (in ft/second) of interest. Used for establishing the lower pass-band frequency for filtering of the radar signal.

VMAX The maximum velocity (in ft/second) of interest. Used for establishing the upper pass-band frequency for filtering of the radar signal.

TSTART Time (in milliseconds) of the first point of the stored data to be included in the analysis.

TYPICAL QUERY SESSION

APRIL 18, 1987 3:21 P.M.

ENTER NUMBER OF POINTS IN SIG. (DEFAULT = 40000)
35000
N = 35000

ENTER RADAR WAVELENGTH IN FEET. (DEFAULT = 0.04)
0.04234
LAMBDA = 0.042

ENTER FRACTION FOR FLOATING CRITERION FOR VELOCITY CORRECTION. (DEFAULT = 0.7)
CUT = 0.700

ENTER SIZE OF HANNING WINDOW (MILLISEC) FOR 1ST VELOCITY CALCULATION (DEFAULT = 0.03)
.1
TFILT1 = 0.100

ENTER SIZE OF HANNING WINDOW (MILLISEC) FOR AMPLITUDE SMOOTHING (DEFAULT = 0.03)
.1
TFILT2 = 0.100

ENTER SIZE OF HANNING WINDOW (MILLISEC) FOR VELOCITY SMOOTHING (DEFAULT = 0.03)
.1
TFILT3 = 0.100

ENTER SIZE OF HANNING WINDOW (MILLISEC) FOR ACCELERATION CALCULATION (DEFAULT = 0.03)
.1
TFILT4 = 0.100

ENTER MINIMUM VELOCITY IN FT/SEC (DEFAULT = 100.)
200.
VMIN = 200.000

ENTER MAXIMUM VELOCITY IN FT/SEC (DEFAULT = 10000.)
VMAX = 10000.000

ENTER START TIME IN MILLISEC (DEFAULT = 75.0)
89.
TSTART = 89.000

VITA

Robert W. Cayse was born June 17, 1959 in Festus, Missouri. Mr. Cayse was graduated from the public schools in Festus, then enrolled in Aerospace Engineering at the University of Missouri at Rolla. Mr. Cayse received his Bachelor of Science degree in Aerospace Engineering with honors in May of 1981. He then was employed by Calspan Corporation in July of 1981 at the United States Air Force Systems Command Arnold Engineering Development Center, and enrolled as a part-time student at the University of Tennessee Space Institute. Mr. Cayse continues to perform experiments and analyses in the areas of high-speed aerodynamics and structural dynamics in his role as a research engineer for Calspan.