




Figure 1. Partner Gender Effects of Coerciveness on Psychological Aggression Perpetration. Non-significant paths are not depicted to ease interpretation. For readability of the model, correlations for variables included in the model can be found in Table 6.

T1 = baseline; T2 = three-month follow up; T3 = six-month follow up; $^{*}p < .05$ $^{**}p < .01$ $^{\dagger}p < .10$




Figure 2. Partner Gender Effects of Attempts to Control on Psychological Aggression Perpetration. Non-significant paths are not depicted to ease interpretation. For readability of the model, correlations for variables included in the model can be found in Table 7. T1 = baseline; T2 = three-month follow up; T3 = six-month follow up; $*p < .05$ $**p < .01$ $^{\dagger}p < .10$




Figure 3. Partner Gender Effects of Self-Reported Demand-Withdrawal on Psychological Aggression Perpetration. Non-significant paths are not depicted to ease interpretation. For readability of the model, correlations for variables included in the model can be found in Table 8.

T1 = baseline; T2 = three-month follow up; T3 = six-month follow up; $^*p < .05$ $^{**}p < .01$ $^{\dagger}p < .10$




Figure 4. Partner Gender Effects of Coerciveness on Physical Aggression Perpetration. Non-significant paths are not depicted to ease interpretation. For readability of the model, correlations for variables included in the model can be found in Table 9.

T1 = baseline; T2 = three-month follow up; T3 = six-month follow up; * $p < .05$ ** $p < .01$ † $p < .10$




Figure 5. Partner Gender Effects of Attempts to Control on Physical Aggression Perpetration. Non-significant paths are not depicted to ease interpretation. For readability of the model, correlations for variables included in the model can be found in Table 10.

T1 = baseline; T2 = three-month follow up; T3 = six-month follow up; $^*p < .05$ $^{**}p < .01$ $^\dagger p < .10$




Figure 6. Partner Gender Effects of Self-Reported Demand-Withdrawal on Physical Aggression Perpetration. Non-significant paths are not depicted to ease interpretation. For readability of the model, correlations for variables included in the model can be found in Table 11.

T1 = baseline; T2 = three-month follow up; T3 = six-month follow up; $*p < .05$ $**p < .01$ $^{\dagger}p < .10$




Figure 7. Partner Gender Effects of Coerciveness on Psychological Aggression Victimization. Non-significant paths are not depicted to ease interpretation. For readability of the model, correlations for variables included in the model can be found in Table 12. T1 = baseline; T2 = three-month follow up; T3 = six-month follow up; * $p < .05$ ** $p < .01$ [†] $p < .10$




Figure 8. Partner Gender Effects of Attempts to Control on Psychological Aggression Victimization. Non-significant paths are not depicted to ease interpretation. For readability of the model, correlations for variables included in the model can be found in Table 13. T1 = baseline; T2 = three-month follow up; T3 = six-month follow up; $*p < .05$ $**p < .01$ $^{\dagger}p < .10$




Figure 9. Partner Gender Effects of Self-Reported Demand-Withdrawal on Psychological Aggression Victimization. Non-significant paths are not depicted to ease interpretation. For readability of the model, correlations for variables included in the model can be found in Table 14.

T1 = baseline; T2 = three-month follow up; T3 = six-month follow up; * $p < .05$ ** $p < .01$ † $p < .10$




Figure 10. Partner Gender Effects of Coercion on Physical Aggression Victimization. Non-significant paths are not depicted to ease interpretation. For readability of the model, correlations for variables included in the model can be found in Table 15.

T1 = baseline; T2 = three-month follow up; T3 = six-month follow up; * $p < .05$ ** $p < .01$ [†] $p < .10$




Figure 11. Partner Gender Effects of Attempts to Control on Physical Aggression Victimization. Non-significant paths are not depicted to ease interpretation. For readability of the model, correlations for variables included in the model can be found in Table 16. T1 = baseline; T2 = three-month follow up; T3 = six-month follow up; * $p < .05$ ** $p < .01$ [†] $p < .10$




Figure 12. Partner Gender Effects of Self-Reported Demand-Withdrawal on Physical Aggression Victimization. Non-significant paths are not depicted to ease interpretation. For readability of the model, correlations for variables included in the model can be found in Table 17.

T1 = baseline; T2 = three-month follow up; T3 = six-month follow up; * $p < .05$ ** $p < .01$ [†] $p < .10$




Figure 13. Effects of Observed Demand-Withdrawal on Psychological Aggression Perpetration. Non-significant paths are not depicted to ease interpretation. For readability of the model, correlations for variables included in the model can be found in Table 18.

T1 = baseline; T2 = three-month follow up; T3 = six-month follow up; $*p < .05$ $**p < .01$ $^{\dagger}p < .10$




Figure 14. Effects of Observed Demand-Withdrawal on Physical Aggression Perpetration. Non-significant paths are not depicted to ease interpretation. For readability of the model, correlations for variables included in the model can be found in Table 19.

T1 = baseline; T2 = three-month follow up; T3 = six-month follow up; $^*p < .05$ $^{**}p < .01$ $^\dagger p < .10$




Figure 15. Effects of Observed Demand-Withdrawal on Psychological Aggression Victimization. Non-significant paths are not depicted to ease interpretation. For readability of the model, correlations for variables included in the model can be found in Table 20. T1 = baseline; T2 = three-month follow up; T3 = six-month follow up; $^*p < .05$ $^{**}p < .01$ $^{\dagger}p < .10$




Figure 16. Effects of Observed Demand-Withdrawal on Physical Aggression Victimization. Non-significant paths are not depicted to ease interpretation. For readability of the model, correlations for variables included in the model can be found in Table 21.

T1 = baseline; T2 = three-month follow up; T3 = six-month follow up; * $p < .05$ ** $p < .01$ [†] $p < .10$