



University of Tennessee, Knoxville
**Trace: Tennessee Research and Creative
Exchange**

Tennessee Department of State, Opinions from the
Administrative Procedures Division

Law

December 2014

Alexis k'eEricia Thomas vs. Safety

Follow this and additional works at: http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_lawopinions

This Initial Order by the Administrative Judges of the Administrative Procedures Division, Tennessee Department of State, is a public document made available by the College of Law Library, and the Tennessee Department of State, Administrative Procedures Division. For more information about this public document, please contact administrative.procedures@tn.gov

**BEFORE THE COMMISSIONER OF THE TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT
OF SAFETY AND HOMELAND SECURITY**

IN THE MATTER OF:

DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY

v.

**One 2011 Chevrolet Camaro
VIN: 2G1FA1ED5B9207445
Seized From: Kesean Parks
Date of Seizure: June 24, 2014
Claimant: Alexis Thomas
Lien Holder: Credit Acceptance Corp.**

**DOCKET NO: 19.01-129108J
D.O.S. Case No. Q0140**

INITIAL ORDER AND NOTICE OF DEFAULT

This matter came for hearing in Memphis, Tennessee on December 1, 2014, before Steve R. Darnell, Administrative Law Judge, assigned by the Secretary of State, and sitting for the Commissioner of the Tennessee Department of Safety. Attorney Joe Bartlett represented the Department of Safety. No one appeared on Claimant's behalf.

This is an action to forfeit the subject property for its alleged use in violation of T.C.A. §53-11-201, *et seq.* and §40-33-201, *et seq.*

Since neither Claimant nor counsel appeared for the hearing, the Department moved for an initial order holding Claimant in **default** and striking Claimant's claim from the record. The motion was **granted** based upon the following findings of fact and conclusions of law.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Claimant was sent notice of the hearing by certified mail to Claimant's address of record. The U.S.P.S. attempted to deliver the notice to Claimant, but no authorized recipient was available to accept the certified mail. The U.S.P.S. left notice at Claimant's residence of how to collect her mail or have it redelivered. When no one contacted the U.S.P.S. the notice of hearing

was returned to the Department marked unclaimed. Claimant has not provided the Department any other contact information. The Department has made all reasonable attempts to notify Claimant of the hearing.

2. Claimant failed to appear on the day of the hearing. Nor did an attorney appear on Claimant's behalf.
3. The Department had its witnesses available and was ready to go forward to prove its case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ANALYSIS

1. Department of Safety Rule 1340-2-2-.11 provides as follows:

(1) At a reasonable time prior to a hearing, a "Notice of Hearing" shall be filed by the Legal Division and served on all parties, per Rule 1340-2-2-.03(4).

(2) In serving a "Notice of Hearing," the Legal Division shall rely upon the addresses of record as given by a claimant or by claimant's counsel. Proof of service per Rule 1340-2-2-.03(4) to the addresses of record shall establish a rebuttable presumption that claimant or claimant's counsel received notice of the hearing date.

(3) Notice of hearing for a second or subsequent setting of the hearing will be by certified mail, return receipt requested. The return receipt card may be filed with the Legal Division and serve as a record of notification.

(4) All claims filed against a specific seized property shall be consolidated for a single hearing.

2. Department of Safety Rule 1340-2-2-.17(1) provides, in relevant part:

(d) No default shall be entered against a claimant for failure to attend except upon proof, by the filing of the return receipt card, that the Legal Division has given notice of hearing.

(e) Upon default by a party, an administrative judge may enter either an initial default order or an order for an uncontested proceeding.

3. Department of Safety Rule 1340-2-2-.17(2) states, in relevant part:

Upon a default by a claimant, a claimant's claim shall be stricken by initial default order.

The Department's motion for default being granted, it is therefore **ordered** that Claimant's **claim be stricken**. The claim being stricken, it is as if no claim had ever been filed, which constructively evokes T.C.A. §40-33-206(c). That section states: "If a claim . . . is not filed with the applicable agency within the time specified . . . the seized property shall be forfeited and disposed of as provided by law."

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the above described vehicle is forfeited to the seizing agency subject to the lien held by Credit Acceptance Corporation.

This Initial Order entered and effective this _____ day of _____ 2014.

Steve R. Darnell
Administrative Law Judge

Filed in the Administrative Procedures Division, Office of the Secretary of State, this
_____ day of _____ 2014.



J. Richard Collier, Director
Administrative Procedures Division