



2-15-2012

Allen W. Hale, Jr TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT  
OF SAFETY vs. One 2004 YAMAHA JYA M/C  
and \$2019.00 US CURRENCY VIN NO.:  
JYA5AHE064A042047, Seized From: ALLEN  
HALE, JR, Date of Seizure: JULY 12, 2011,  
Claimant: ALLAN HALE, JR, Lien Holder:  
NONE NOTED

Follow this and additional works at: [http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk\\_lawopinions](http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_lawopinions)

 Part of the [Administrative Law Commons](#)

---

This Initial Order by the Administrative Judges of the Administrative Procedures Division, Tennessee Department of State, is a public document made available by the College of Law Library, and the Tennessee Department of State, Administrative Procedures Division. For more information about this public document, please contact [administrative.procedures@tn.gov](mailto:administrative.procedures@tn.gov)

**BEFORE THE COMMISSIONER OF THE  
TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**Allen W. Hale, Jr**

**TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF  
SAFETY**

**v.**

**One 2004 YAMAHA JYA M/C and  
\$2019.00 US CURRENCY  
VIN NO.: JYA5AHE064A042047  
Seized From: ALLEN HALE, JR  
Date of Seizure: JULY 12, 2011  
Claimant: ALLAN HALE, JR  
Lien Holder: NONE NOTED**

**DOCKET NO: 19.01-115819J  
(D.O.S. Case No. L8197)**

**INITIAL DEFAULT ORDER**

This matter was heard in Fall Branch, on February 15th, 2012, before the Honorable Dewayne Bunch, Administrative Law Judge, assigned by the Secretary of State, and sitting for the Commissioner of the Tennessee Department of Safety. Nina Harris and Robert Ingram, Staff Attorneys for the Department of Safety, represented the State.

The subject of this hearing was the proposed forfeiture of the subject property for its alleged use in violation of T.C.A. §53-11-201 et seq. and §40-33-201 et seq.

Allen Hale, Jr., Claimant, did not appear at the hearing. The State therefore moved for an initial **default** and dismissal of the case. The motion was **granted** based upon the following findings of fact and conclusions of law.



## FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Claimant was sent notice of the hearing by certified mail at his address of record. A copy of the postal green card shows Lisandra Tucker signed for the notice on Feb. 1, 2012.
2. Claimant failed to appear on the day of the hearing. Nor did an attorney appear on Claimant's behalf.
3. The State had its witnesses available and was ready to go forward to prove its case.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ANALYSIS

1. Department of Safety Rule 1340-2-2-.17(1) provides, in relevant part:
  - (d) No default shall be entered against a claimant for failure to attend except upon proof, by the filing of the return receipt card, that the Legal Division has given notice of hearing.
  - (e) Upon default by a party, an administrative judge may enter either an initial default order or an order for an uncontested proceeding.
2. Department of Safety Rule 1340-2-2-.17(2) states, in relevant part:

Upon a default by a claimant, a claimant's claim shall be stricken by initial default order.
3. The State's motion for default being granted, it is therefore **ordered** that Claimant's **claim be stricken**. The claim being stricken, it is as if no claim had ever been filed, which constructively evokes T.C.A. §40-33-206(c). That section states: "If a

claim . . . is not filed with the applicable agency within the time specified. . . the seized property shall be forfeited and disposed of as provided by law.”

This Initial Order entered and effective this 14th day of March, 2012.

---

Dewayne Bunch  
Administrative Judge

Filed in the Administrative Procedures Division, Office of the Secretary of State,  
this 14th day of March, 2012.



---

Thomas G. Stovall, Director  
Administrative Procedures Division