



6-29-1923

List of Faculty Members not Recommended for Reappointment

University of Tennessee - Knoxville

Follow this and additional works at: http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_dabn

Recommended Citation

"List of Faculty Members not Recommended for Reappointment" President's Papers, AR-0001. University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Special Collections.

http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_dabn/117

This University Policy is brought to you for free and open access by the Office of the President at Trace: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Charles W. Dabney, 1887-1904 by an authorized administrator of Trace: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange. For more information, please contact trace@utk.edu.

Deans met in the office of Dean Hoskins on June 29th, at 10.15 A. M. The following were present: Deans Porter, McDermott, Willson, Ferris, and Hoskins. It was unanimously agreed that the following members of the faculty be not recommended for reappointment for the reasons stated opposite their names:

Doctor A. A. Schaeffer, Professor of Zoology. Does not cooperate with the administration and has not done so for a long period of time. Attitude has been and is hostile. The University has made a mistake by retaining him so long as it has.

Doctor John R. Neal, Professor of Law. General lack of cooperation and lax in his attention to his duties. Should have been released long before this.

Doctor R. S. Ellis, Professor of Psychology and Philosophy. Dissatisfied with the organization of the University and does not show a willingness to cooperate. Attitude hostile.

Doctor R. S. Radford, Professor of Latin and Roman Archaeology. Dissatisfied with present organization of the University and also protests against the method of employing and dismissing professors. His method of conducting his work not satisfactory. Erratic and injudicious. The University has made a mistake by retaining him as long as it has.

Mr. Maurice Mulvania, Dean of Premedical Course and Associate Professor of Bacteriology. Attitude hostile. Dissatisfied with the organization of the University and with method of procedure in administration. Although an administrative officer he participated in a campaign of publicity against the University.

This was the third meeting held for the consideration of the above recommendation, and the recommendation was adopted after the most careful and painstaking investigation and consideration.