



7-1-2007

W164 Replanting Corn - In a Failed Roundup™ Ready Corn Stand

The University of Tennessee Agricultural Extension Service

Follow this and additional works at: http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_agexcrop

 Part of the [Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

"W164 Replanting Corn - In a Failed Roundup™ Ready Corn Stand," The University of Tennessee Agricultural Extension Service, W164-7/07 08-0017, http://trace.tennessee.edu/utk_agexcrop/60

The publications in this collection represent the historical publishing record of the UT Agricultural Experiment Station and do not necessarily reflect current scientific knowledge or recommendations. Current information about UT Ag Research can be found at the [UT Ag Research website](#).

This Production is brought to you for free and open access by the UT Extension Publications at Trace: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Field & Commercial Crops by an authorized administrator of Trace: Tennessee Research and Creative Exchange. For more information, please contact trace@utk.edu.

Replanting Corn In a Failed Roundup Ready™ Corn Stand

Angela Thompson and Larry Steckel, Assistant Professors, Plant Sciences

In spring 2007, a widespread freeze occurred that led to the replanting of about 200,000 acres of corn in Tennessee. In most years, replant decisions have to be made on a limited number of acres when corn fields have insufficient stands for optimum yields. Producers are then forced to make a number of decisions quickly, because planting delays, particularly into mid-May, can greatly affect yield potential of the replanted crop.

First, a producer must decide if replanting the first crop is justified. It may be desirable to keep a uniform lower population of 19,000 to 20,000 plants per acre, particularly if the decision to replant is being made close to May. If replanting seems the best solution, the next decision to make is whether some kind of action is needed to destroy the old stand. Replanted corn crops that compete with as many as 5,000 plants/acre of the original corn planting can reduce overall yield. Typically, any replanted corn growing near a surviving corn plant will not produce an ear due to the earlier-planted corn having a more extensive root system and maturity advantage. Therefore, it is important to eradicate the established stand if replanting is necessary.

Tillage is an effective way to destroy an existing stand. Typically, this tillage will need to be aggressive to keep the first-planted corn from re-rooting. Running a disk or Triple K™ one or more times perpendicular to the old rows may increase the effectiveness of tillage. Tillage is not an option in upland fields with highly erodible soils, which leaves control with herbicides as the only option.



Freeze-injured corn



Corn regrowing from freeze injury

Prior to the era of Roundup Ready (RR)TM corn hybrids, destroying an old corn stand was simply a matter of spraying the surviving stand with glyphosate, which essentially provided complete control. Injury to the replanted corn was not an issue since glyphosate has no soil residual activity. In 2007, more than 60 percent of Tennessee's planted corn acres contained the RR trait, and this trend is expected to continue. Therefore, other herbicide alternatives will be needed to destroy weak stands of RR corn. One option is to replant to a Liberty LinkTM or Imadazolinone-tolerant corn hybrid and apply LibertyTM or LightningTM herbicides accordingly. These options have been used less often due to the limited supply of hybrids with these traits.

Burndown-herbicide options for control of existing RR corn stands have not been examined recently. Research was conducted in 2007 on freeze-injured corn in three locations to determine which herbicide(s) provided good control of the partial stands of freeze-injured corn and did not injure the replanted corn. Gramoxone InteonTM, Select MaxTM

and IgniteTM were the main products tested for controlling the old corn stand.

The results of the research showed that there are several good options to control RR corn prior to replanting corn. Gramoxone InteonTM was generally more effective than Ignite (Table 1). Select Max three days after application (DAA) showed very little control of the RR corn, but by 14 DAA provided very good control (98 percent). The Gramoxone InteonTM tank-mix combinations with one of the photosystem II inhibitors (AatrexTM, DirexTM, SencorTM, LoroxTM or PrincepTM) provided good control (>95 percent) by 14 DAA. These photosystem II inhibitors are not added to control the corn, but are needed to slow down the Gramoxone InteonTM activity, which helps provide more consistent control. The treatments that provided very poor control were the IgniteTM and lower rates of Gramoxone InteonTM treatments. The treatments that provided an intermediate level of control were the 40 oz/A rate of Gramoxone InteonTM tank-mixed with either atrazine or PrincepTM along with the high rate of Gramoxone InteonTM treatment. No injury or stand reduction was observed for the replanted corn regardless of the herbicide treatments.

Table 1. Control of Roundup Ready™ Corn Prior to Replant

Herbicide	3 DAA	14 DAA	14 DAA ^a
	%		plants/acre
Select Max 4oz	33	98	1000
Gramoxone Inteon 32 oz	62	67	8000
Gramoxone Inteon 40 oz	71	82	4000
Gramoxone Inteon 48 oz	86	91	3000
Gramoxone Inteon 40 oz + Aatrex 16 oz	86	90	3000
Gramoxone Inteon 40 oz + Direx 16 oz	96	97	1000
Gramoxone Inteon 40 oz + Sencor 4 oz	95	98	1000
Gramoxone Inteon 40 oz + Lorox 16 oz	89	96	1000
Gramoxone Inteon 40 oz + Princep 16 oz	94	—	—
Ignite 29 oz	41	64	11000
Ignite 29 oz + Sencor 4 oz	57	83	8000
Non treated	0	0	18000
LSD/0.05	5	6	4000

^aAbbreviations: DAA, days after application

Disclaimer

Use of brand or trade names is for clarity and information. It does not imply approval of the product to the exclusion of other products that may be of similar composition with an appropriate label. Nor does it guarantee or warrant the standard of the product.

Visit the UT Extension Web site at
<http://www.utextension.utk.edu/>

W164-7/07 08-0017

Programs in agriculture and natural resources, 4-H youth development, family and consumer sciences, and resource development.
University of Tennessee Institute of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture and county governments cooperating.
UT Extension provides equal opportunities in programs and employment.