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MINUTES

University of Tennessee Faculty Senate
Budget and Planning Committee
December 4, 2009, 8:30 a.m. – SMC 720 (CBER Conference Room)

Present: Don Bruce (Chair), Stephen Blackwell, Conrad Plaut, Harold Roth, Karen Sowers, and Klaus van den Berg
Absent: Chris Cimino, Jerzy Dydak, Michael Essington, Nathalie Hristov, Lane Morris, Jay Pfaffman, and Marlys Staudt

Minutes from November 6, 2009 were approved.

Gender Equity Study and Faculty Salary Study: Bruce has received the updated reports from OIRA and will present them to the Faculty Senate Executive Council at the next meeting in January 2010. They will then come before the full Senate at the next meeting in early February 2010. He reported on a meeting with Sarah Gardial regarding the gender equity issue. She agreed to contact Lou Gross about the best way to internalize and regularize his analysis, either in her office or within OIRA. Bruce offered his assistance with that effort. He is waiting to convene the discussion group until it is determined that such a meeting would be worthwhile. A question about the faculty salary study came up regarding whether UTK is part of the peer group statistics which are compared to UTK numbers. He will follow up with OIRA and report back.

Campus Budget Update: Sowers reported that the Provost is currently convening meetings with Deans of each college for the purposes of budget planning. Those meetings, which are closed, will culminate in the Provost’s presentation as part of the Chancellor’s budget hearings in the spring.

In later discussions, Blackwell brought up the issue of program closures. Specifically, given the budget planning document circulated by the Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences, the question arose as to whether there were any policies to deal with efforts to close programs by essentially starving them to death rather than working through the undergraduate or graduate councils. There was some discussion about current policies and procedures, noting that largely undefined “consultation” was required with the unit in question. The current budget environment could result in efforts to leave open positions unfilled, and to terminate lecturers, without any broad discussion about what we want to be as a university (or a college within the university). Bruce suggested that the current planning should involve a variety of scenarios provided by colleges and other units, with strategic planning by administrators to decide which scenarios would be carried out in which units. Rather than apply cuts in equal percentage rates across the board, this would allow the campus to be more strategic. Current discussions do not appear to be moving in this direction, however.

Non-Academic Spending Review Sub-Committee: The group discussed the process by which input on current assessment practices will be obtained. Rather than a letter, it was recommended that the sub-committee visit first with Chris Cimino and then with directors who oversee the operations in question. The emphasis will be on learning about best practices, toward the goal of
issuing a report and possibly a resolution in the spring. The committee emphasized that we are not auditing any operations or programs.

**Spring Meeting Schedule:** Bruce will contact committee members by e-mail to set spring semester meeting dates and times.